


Downtown Study Phase II COMMUNITY INPUT REPORT



Contents

- Overview..... 2*
- Active Transportation Opportunities 4*
- Redevelopment And Reinvestment Opportunities..... 7*
 - SW Quadrant 9*
 - NE Quadrant 11*
 - NW Quadrant 13*
- Quadrant Concepts..... 15*
 - SW Quadrant 15*
 - NE Quadrant 20*
 - NW Quadrant 27*
- Long-Term Vision For Downtown..... 31*

- Appendix A: Open House Presentation Boards..... 34*
- Appendix B: Consultant’s Summary Of Open House 46*
- Appendix C: Social Media Reach And Engagement 51*

Overview

Soliciting public input was a major component of the Golden Valley City Council's consideration of the Downtown Study Phase II and before moving forward to Phase III.

Staff solicited input from the community through an online comment form and a public open house regarding the following areas:

- multimodal transportation opportunities through the downtown
- redevelopment and reinvestment possibilities
- draft concepts of each quadrant of the downtown

To promote the feedback opportunities, the City published four online stories in Oct and Nov 2019 and two in the Sept/Oct 2019 and Nov/Dec 2019 issues of *CityNews*.

The City further promoted the comment form and open house through social media posts on Facebook and Twitter.

Open House

The City hosted a Downtown Study Phase II Open House Oct 21, 2019 at Brookview Golden Valley, where community members could learn more about the issues and offer input. See [Appendix A](#) to view the open house presentation boards.

Representatives from the City and Hoisington Koegler Group (HKGi), the City's planning consultant, were on hand to make presentations on each portion of the study and answer questions from attendees. The open house presentations were recorded and published to YouTube for later viewing. See [Appendix B](#) for the consultant's summary of the event.



Downtown Study Phase II Comment Form


To gauge public opinion on the potential future of Golden Valley downtown area, the City asked residents to watch the recorded presentations from the Oct 28 open house, review design concepts, and answer questions about the concepts.

The online comment form went live Nov 4. After noting a few social media complaints about the online comment form being confusing and difficult to understand, staff revised it to include larger cropped images, including breakout images for each downtown area quadrant instead of one overview image. The revised form was open Nov 19–Dec 3. Both comment forms asked the same questions and garnered 58 responses.*

**All comments are included as they were received and not corrected for typos or spelling errors.*

Social Media Outreach

The City posted information and reminders about the open house and the comment form six times on Facebook and six times on Twitter between Oct 1, 2019 and Nov 30, 2019. See **Appendix C** for reach and engagement details for each post.



The image shows two social media posts. The top post is a Facebook announcement from the City of Golden Valley, MN, dated October 1, 2019. It features the city's logo and text announcing an open house on October 21, 5–7 pm at Brookview, to discuss the Downtown Study. The bottom post is a Twitter tweet from @GoldenValleyMN, dated November 19, 2019. It includes a photograph of a street intersection and text explaining that a new survey was created after receiving feedback about complications with the original survey. The tweet also includes a link to the city's news archive.

City of Golden Valley, MN - Local Government
Published by Loomly [?] · October 1 · 🌐

An open house Oct 21, 5–7 pm at Brookview, will update community members about the City's Downtown Study and its exploration on the four quadrants surrounding Winnetka Ave and Golden Valley Rd.
<https://www.goldenvalleymn.gov/.../oct-21-open-house-to-focu.../>

City of Golden Valley, MN
@GoldenValleyMN


After receiving feedback from residents about complications with the original Downtown Study Phase II survey, a new survey was created to fix the initial issues. The deadline for the new survey is Dec 3, 4:30 pm.
[goldenvalleymn.gov/newsarchive/in...](https://www.goldenvalleymn.gov/newsarchive/in...)

5:25 PM · Nov 19, 2019 · Loomly

||| View Tweet activity

Active Transportation Opportunities

Respondents were asked to study the map and indicate which of the potential future trail routes through downtown they like or don't like and why. (See complete presentation board in Appendix C.)



Which of the potential future trail routes through the downtown do you like and why?

No strong preference. I like the purple ones that go through downtown N-S. I personally like C2 because I live on the East side of Winnetka versus West.
Bike lanes! We need bike lanes
C1 because it will make the "downtown" core more bikeable/walkable and will allow people to experience Basset Creek.
C2 because it is most direct route to the shops and eateries in downtown
They all look like good options. We walk and ride bikes, and would potentially like to use the electric scooters as well
D2 - connects to existing trails and connection to retail, restaurants, and city services.
The purple trail along Basset Creek and the Green along Winnetka - Crossing 55 at Winnetka is a high desire line
a1, a2, d1, d2
None


C and B, because they improve on bike and pedestrian traffic in this area, go near businesses, and existing crossings
C2 easy access to down town
A1 and A2 - they connect to the Luce Line west of Winnetka. C1 and C2 - nice to bike through the main business area.
D2
Like A1, A2, B, C2. Do not like C1, D1, D2.
A1 - I don't like the way it never takes the bikers really anywhere near the downtown.
I love the light rail connection and wish it would come into downtown a bit more
It depends on future developments. I'd like to be able to ride to a grocery store.
This map is very confusing.
I like all of them, especially A1, C2, and D2. These seem to be the most high traffic areas for pedestrian use.
C1/C2 options both look safe for bikers (less used) and seem to give key access to local businesses or events (farmers market)
Trail route D and C which allow easier access to the most destinations downtown.
D. We ride our bikes on the Luce Line at least 3-4 times each week from early April through November. Getting traffic off Pennsylvania is an advantage - I have been hit twice by "errant golf balls". Also away from the Calvary traffic is an advantage.
Green- keeps bikes away from traffic
C - If you are going to bother with trails, you need to make them go to and through areas people care about. Going around the outside of downtown makes little sense.
B or C because I don't like being on the roads
Purple potential trail links
All of them. Nice to have multiple options.
"C", it allows bikers and pedestrians safe passage to either side of downtown without further constriction of traffic.
"C", it allows residents on either side of the busy Winnetka Ave to visit either side of Golden Valley's Split Downtown. Though it begs for a better bridge system to cross Hwy 55 to get to the Community Center.
The bike lanes down Rhode Island and on Winnetka and all of the potential trail links. Anything that can make the area more accessible to bikes.
Rt C; It goes thru the center and covers both sides
Boone or Rhode Island.
The Green Future Bike Lanes that connect the Luce Line to 55 would be ideal as it is currently a hazardous area to ride bikes/walk
B and C because it adds safer access to retail locations
D2, it is the most direct path with existing land to use
The C route east of winnetka
Purple line—because it's on streets that are less busy and wider (or, at least without double traffic lanes)
D2, will interfere less with car traffic
Like the bike lane proposal and the potential trail links. I wish something good to be done to make crossing 55 easier even at the pedestian bridge.
I would prefer off-road trail options. I think any sharing of the road ie: bike lanes, will not allow families to use the trails. Also walking trails need to be an option.
It's nearly impossible to understand the map and read the map legend. And this is not a properly structured survey question.
D. Most accessible.
I like linking the bike trails and added bike lanes.
Any increase in the walkability of downtown is a positive.

Which don't you like and why?

No strong preference.
I don't dislike any of them, but route B seems the least important.
Don't like any of them... "trail routes" thru the middle of downtown don't make any sense. The ____ Millennials have gone overboard! and have not considered the needs, and constraints, of senior citizens>
A1 because it is too far out of the way
A and D are too far out of the way
Crossings over 55 should be tunnels, preferably the one at Winnetka so kids can bike to Brookview, and families can bike safely to events in the park.
I dislike the planned BRT stops on Winnetka and 55.
C1-constructing a tunnel under Winnetka is not cost-effective for the "anticipated" results. Taking a southerly exit from B to the west part of C1 would be much more cost-effective. Anything along Golden Valley Road (D1 and D2) would greatly affect driving and not have much benefit for bike trails without adverse impact.
D2 - I like the way this connects to both the east and west existing lanes. It keeps bikes just passing through from clogging the downtown roads.
None
All look fine.
Not applicable. I think that any and all would be a great improvement.
D2 is not an ideal option - Rhode Island is already congested in the mornings and, with an incredibly long stoplight I could see a lot of safety concerns and additional congestion
Routes A and B don't actually cross any roads. Traffic calming on Winnetka is needed to allow people to actually bike in downtown.
I do not like C2 - too much interaction with vehicle traffic. I do not like A - most of Golden Valley is to the east of this area and there is little residential development in the immediate area of A. It is unlikely to have high value to those of us who are G.V. residents and ride the Luce Line regularly.
Purple- doesn't go anywhere
I don't understand the pont of A - it is on teh far edge of town and would seem to make most residents go out of their way to use it.
I think A is too far west and D is on a busier road. I avoid biking and walking on busy roads
"A", it is only easily accessed for those west of Winnetka and forces the larger population to navigate the busy Winnetka Ave to shop, eat or visit anything on ghe west side.
"A", it forces the larger population on the east side of Winnetka to have to navigate the busy Winnetka Ave to visit anything or anyone on the west side. It also provides only one option for crossing Hwy 55.
if a question of funding and something had to be left out, I would say the link in A2. The bike lane on Winnetka could be used to get to area C1.
Other routes circumnavigate the cityto much
Highly disagree with a trail trough private property. Streets are already too narrow.
The sidewalk gaps don't seem to be as helpful as other options
Do not have issues with any of them
C2, it goes through a very busy entrance to the strip mall
Red line—much too crazily busy of a street as is. I think this would be very dangerous.
D1 and C1, it will interfere more with car traffic
I don't like any trail that is placed on a busy street. Trails and bike lanes should be on side street when ever possible. Bikes should be separated from car traffic as much as possible
The tunnel seems excessive, if there is a safe crossing - why go to the expense of a tunnel?
Provided there is not an appreciable negative effect to traffic it's all good.

Redevelopment And Reinvestment Opportunities

Respondents were asked to study the SW, NE, and NW quadrants of the Redevelopment and Reinvestment Opportunities map and comment on the potential change areas, including their concerns. The first comment form showed only the combined map below.



Do you have any comments on the potential change areas on the Redevelopment/Reinvestment Opportunities map?

Please don't toss out our favorite vendors!
Please keep the iconic Golden Valley shopping center. Granted, it needs some work, but it's such a great landmark.
A grocery store would be wonderful!
in area C the retro shopping mall should be saved. it is of the midcentury era that defines this city. any and all development should work with this.
If traffic at the 55/winnetka exchange is already horrific during rush hour, how will the addition of more dense housing effect it?
We don't need to expand City Hall per se... its not that old!!!
Would like a retrofit of Golden Valley Shopping Center to have a more current/updated look.
no strong opinion on this
Would lobe to see the shopping mall area redeveloped! Driving through the parking lot is kind of a nightmare, and biking along Winnetka in this area is challenging with all the entry/exit points.


I think the City misses an opportunity when it neglects to make Brookview Park a focal point in the planning process. Downtown needs to fully and seamlessly bridge Brookview with any development across 55. Currently parking lots are the face of GV when driving through 55, on Winnetka and Rhode Island.
Leave the NE Quadrant alone. Anything but minor changes would adversely affect Calvary Lutheran Church and Center Cooperative. Right now it is a convenient and quiet area for residents, agencies, and businesses already there.
I like item in 1 - particularly the redevelopment if of the strip mall. it needs updating.
We need more retail in any and all areas of downtown, with more walkability.

What concerns do you have regarding the Redevelopment/Reinvestment Opportunities map, if any?

The updates will raise the rents of the commercial spaces and drive out some of the businesses we have counted in for years and years!
My greatest concern is that any new development will look like every other suburban development where everything is beige with fake brick/stone. We don't need another 50th and France, or Excelsior and Grand. This is a tremendous opportunity for Golden Valley to create a character all it's own.
Please get more small business/non-chain / no more fast food restaurants
Traffic
Whatever you do, don't you DARE to chase McDonald's from its existing location. It is ideally located and serves a much needed service. IF you dare mess with McDonalds, you WILL run into a firestorm of opposition!!!!
None.
Need something long lasting, stability.
That they don't fully integrate or see lesson connect downtown to one of GV's most amazing assets: Brookview Park.
You do not look beyond the borders of the areas being addressed, thereby affecting neighboring businesses and homes.
I would hate to see any public money put into 4 - it abuts the freeway and is far from the "downtown" of Golden Valley.
Some residential is a good idea; too much residential is not. There is a need for affordable housing, not luxury condos.

The revised comment form asked the same questions but broke each quadrant into separate maps to give respondents a better view of the areas.

SW Quadrant



Do you have any comments on the potential change of the SW Quadrant?

quadrant A seems like such wasted space - love the idea of redeveloping!
There is currently no area to walk along storefronts in Golden Valley. An internal street with zero setbacks or along Golden Valley Road would help make a true downtown Main street feel.
The Golden Valley Shopping Center needs to be redeveloped. It is an eyesore at the entry to Golden Valley. Taking the entire SW quadrant and re-developing could help change the whole look of the gateway into Golden Valley. What an exciting opportunity!
Revamp shopping center
I hope the city entices existing businesses to stay. I'd hate to lose Down in the Valley, for example. Otherwise, that whole area needs to be revised. It is half empty all the time, and having a town with two strip malls instead of any actual downtown is sad.
I think it's time for the shopping center to go. It's tired and doesn't match the rest of the Area. I would love a grocery store
Who will be purchasing these buildings? If it's the city/taxpayers, NO!
Since Golden Valley's "Downtown" is split the western side is in need of an update but it needs to be done so we preserve the great businesses that make that "Downtown" popular. If it is to be a mix of residential and retail, perhaps the better approach will allow the businesses to stay at their current rental rates and subsidize the increases with residential convenience fees for a several year transition
Golden Valley doesn't have a real "Downtown" or a "Downtown Look". redeveloping the SW Quadrant could bring a better and more community-minded look to the Downtown, with both sides of Winnetka looking upgraded. The plans showed a mixed use area with residential above retail. If done similarly to Excelsior & Grand or the West End, it could bring that Downtown feel
Why Not Move this idea closer to 169 around where the strip malls are? Better flow of traffic
Minimize parking area. Add a co-op and increase walking friendly areas


I like the grocery store. And PLEASE leave the hardware.
Looks appropriate to me.
This area seems to be a total redevelopment opportunity for A+B+C given the poor use of space
We shop at the existing retailers in the SW Quadrant. It seems the biggest benefit of this plan would be modernizing the space, making it look and function better.
Make sure facilities are accessible for wheelchairs. It would be nice to have accessible, public restrooms that are ADA accessible and all gender.
No
This spot definitely needs refreshing. Green space would be lovely Please, please, please consider attracting a grocery store or, better yet, a cooperative
It would great to revitalize the GV shopping center area. Having the New Bohemia restaurant moving in was great, can new businesses be lured in?
Would like to see grocery store and more restaurants and retail
It would be great to coordinate a redevelopment or reinvigoration of this dated strip mall development type. This is the face of Golden Valley and it is a strip mall and is not pedestrian friendly.
The Golden Valley Shopping Center is long overdue for redevelopment. I recall Mayor Harris talking about a potential food coop (like The Wedge) coming there 4 years ago.
I would definately like to see the GV Shopping center redeveloped.
This question assumes a planner level understanding of the maps and language. Do you really want resident input or are you just saying that you are so city leaders can do what they want?
C is outdated and for a focal point of our city it can be summarized best as meh. Would encourage mixed use development along the A, B, and C zones.
Reverse the mall. Put storefronts on GV Road
I find many of the businesses currently located in this location to be convenient
A retrofit of GV Shopping Center is overdue.
Retrofit of GV Shopping enter would be excellent.

What concerns do you have regarding the (SW) map, if any?

Other than the fact that the strip mall looks like it's from 1970, I LOVE all of the local businesses (especially Down in the Valley & Liquor Barrel - GREAT customer services and local offerings). I'd hate to see them pushed out by a development.
Added commerce requires more dense residential nearby to make it a true destination.
I'd like to see the entire "downtown" area carefully revised, not done in pieces where we end up with more of the same of what we have. Entice these people to work with us on a general revision to the entire area.
What happens to existing businesses??
How will the crosswalks or bridges be placed/reconstructed to compliment new thinking?
Major concerns are that the businesses in the west side shopping center are "cornerstones" to the community and are why the community wants access to that side. A drastic remodel/redevelopment would raise rental rates that some may not be able to bare. If the residential units sold above them were to be made to pay a "Convenience Fee" for a period of time, it could off-set the rate increases and allow them to slowly adjust to the new rental rates. This would preserve the "Community" that exists there, and that is so heavily patronized by our residents.
Disaster ahead with traffic at 55 and Winnetka
It is not a "user" friendly area.
Parking
The curve in Golden Valley Road is already a traffic hazard. Need to control for that.
There really, really has to be a better connection here to Brookview than existing overpass for pedestrians and bikes. This is an urgent consideration as 55 is a major hurdle in this area and barrier to success.
My family banks at Wells Fargo and shops at several of the retailers in this quadrant. It's not clear from the map if they would be relocated within downtown Golden Valley, or close altogether. We'd be disappointed if they closed.
Making the right improvements that add to the city of GV
That we'll put more stupid little strip mall-esque buildings there.
I want to make sure that all of the residential housing that is added is not rental-I'd like there to be stability and not a population that is just temporary. Condos vs. appts.

We dont need DT housing. Dont mess up the small business's. I shop there daily.
I don't want to lose the easy access to the businesses there
Redevelopment would not have a positive effect on the area.

NE Quadrant



Do you have any comments on the potential change of the NE Quadrant?

Would love to see some more local or small restaurants come in - similar to LAT14.
Similar to Golden Valley Drive, this is in area with an opportunity for a more pedestrian feel by significantly reducing allowable setbacks.
I like the idea of combining City Hall and the library into one building. We use the library a lot and love that it is smaller than some of the other H.C. libraries.
Better traffic flow
Our downtown needs to NOT be industrial. There is plenty of area elsewhere for that, and over time, I'm certain these buildings will turn into empty shells.
I think it's smart to have fewer city buildings if we don't need them all
Who will be purchasing these buildings? If it's the city/taxpayers, NO!
This section is truly the "Municipal" portion of the city, with so much infrastructure buried in it (e.g. public works water supply piping, police and fire utilities and facilities and equipment storage) and I think it should stay there to minimize costs. Sections A & B could certainly use upgrades that provide Police, Fire and Public Works with more state-of-the-art facilities and space for more community training and education of what they do. Sections C & E could be reconfigured to allow the library to expand and provide more services to the community and meeting spaces for groups north of Hwy 55.


Dense housing is already over running the area, adding here will create potential issues of traffic and if affordable housing can possibly bring additional crime
none at this time
The relocation of City Hall, fire and police departments is a taxpayers nightmare. Plus the convenience of having them in their current locations iw wonderful. They are part of the living community.
The best choice.
renovation urgently needed for Library area and the tie to the river
None
I love the idea of updating the library! It is important to have community space that is beautiful and inviting for all residents and visitors. I believe the city owns a lot of land in this quadrant - it would be cool for GV to work with the Dakota/Indigenous leaders and explore the options for giving part of the land back (since it was stolen). I'm not sure how this could look - but it would be amazing to be part of a city that actually returned stolen land to its original stewards!
Would like to make sure the changes are good for us now as well as looking towards the future
It is nice to have our GV services in one location.
No
It doesn't seem to me that A, B, C or D are in need of work. Save the money.
Want to see retail in this sector
The intersection of Winnetka and GV Road needs a major overhaul. Get rid of the silly and ill suited pillars. I would like to see green space there but not something that has overgrown shrubbery after a season or two. Provide resources to keep it looking healthy and if possible, pollinator friendly.
I like the police station, fire station and city hall central to the city in this quadrant
No
A new Fire Department building is needed, let the Police take over the entire public safety facility.
Relocation of Public Safety and Public Works for expansion would be great.

What concerns do you have regarding the (NE) map, if any?

Feels like a bit of a waste to put City Hall at the top right off the bike trail - as local businesses could be a better traffic driver. I do love the idea of better visibility to the library to the community with a combo City/Hall but worry it would be the most congested spot - curious what any type of traffic study would say about it.
It would be good to maintain an area for the farmers market.
Same as before, please coordinate all of this to create a real downtown.
I think we need to find the right mix of commercial and residential
Wasn't the Library recently closed for 18 months and remodeled for millions \$\$\$?
My concerns are based on the extreme cost of possibly moving water tower (or making it fit the new surroundings), moving or building on the associated plumbing for the water tower, and will the land be suitable for residential use since the soils may have issues from public works refuse and the possible contamination. (i.e. the Reilly Tar & Chemical Corp. site in St. Louis Park).
Disaster ahead with traffic at 55 and Winnetka. Before any of this should be done, it needs to be revamped like Hwy 7 and Louisiana which will take much more tax \$
I like having a convenient library. I think running easy access for pedestrians/bikes thru the center would be nice. I don't feel it is advantages to the taxpayer to waste the dollars in buying out McDonalds. It is actually nice and would be nicer if there was pedestrian access to them.
Cost of relocating current tenants.
It's concerning that there isn't a plan to include revitalization of the Bassett Creek and surroundings to capitalize on this strong asset in the downtown area
None
None
This quadrant doesn't need market-driven redevelopment. It should remain municipal
None
I'm not a huge McDonalds fan, but it's probably one of the most successful businesses in GV, so I'm not sure a new "investment" will necessarily be better.
Just hoping for an aesthetically pleasing design for Winnetka/GV Road intersection. do not move the fire station, police station away from this area. It is central to the community

No
None.

NW Quadrant



Do you have any comments on the potential change of the NW Quadrant?

Unsure - I've lived here several years and have never visited the spot other than riding through on Luce Line.
The area along the Luce Line is a great opportunity to create a regional attraction (cafe, etc.) that Luce Line riders would connect with Golden Valley
We bike the Luce Line a lot - all the way to Watertown and sometimes beyond. Some of the other communities have really nice trailheads - it would be great to see Golden Valley with a trailhead including offstreet vehicle parking, portapots, drinking water, bike tools & tire air, etc. It is quite a ways on the trail before another community with restaurants close to the trail. This could be a huge benefit to G.V.
Better mixed use, traffic flow
Same as other quad - move away from industrial
Who will be purchasing these buildings? If it's the city/taxpayers, NO!
Possibly a great location for a Single Story Townhome Association, that would provide the aging members of the community another option to down-size and stay in the city they love and, grocery store/market which this side of the city is needing.
Move this idea to the left where the strip malls are. They are run down eyesores
Like the addition of trailhead additon.
sounds fine.
Good for non retail
Definitely agree with the need for a trailhead in this area
None
It seems this area would have mixed use opportunity. I think it's important to have affordable housing options and free parking options for visitors to the shops and restaurants. It would also be great to have community innovation/incubator labs - to support residents in growing their own businesses. Access to public transportation will be important to make sure residents can get to their jobs and access their social networks.
Make sure there is adequate parking for the trailhead
A trail head is a nice idea

Would have made a convenient and pleasant high density residential area
I'd much prefer revitalizing this whole area with more retail or restaurants. These types of businesses would be better place farther away from "main street"
Want to see retail
Not thrilled about a self storage facility on 10th Av. Is there where McKesson was?
No
No Opinion
No comments.

What concerns do you have regarding the (NW) map, if any?

None
Redevelop all of this with a coordinated plan.
Because of the immense amount of buried utilities in this area, is it safe for residential use?
Traffic is already an issue and anything with this will require a big shift on 55
Could area A be developed for affordable housing.
N/A
None
None
No
No

Quadrant Concepts

Respondents were then asked to study the each quadrant of the map and share their thoughts about the concepts proposed for them, what they thought should be changed, and what they viewed as the long-term vision each area.

SW Quadrant Concept A



What do you think about this concept?

Weak on substance relying on eminent domain to give tax breaks and freebies to developers
I like the concept where the shopping center remains.
Absolutely love the grocery store!
I think that we should celebrate the unique layout and character of the existing "mall" buildings, Concept A is my preferred. If we do something like Concept B (getting rid of the unique, quirky architecture), then GV becomes like every other soul-less suburb.
I like the concept of adding residential
We do not need any more apartments or condos in this area. We need more nice restaurant options so we don't have to leave GV to eat.
Prefer Concept A but keep the Wells Fargo instead of erecting new apartments/condos.
Like Concept A better
Maybe a Trader Joe's? Like the smaller grocery store concept.
Not impressed. Seem to be replacing dull with dull. Not much green space. New buildings and materials seem outdated.
Do not try to make the SW Quadrant like the SE Quadrant. The cost of development with related higher leasing/rental costs would not benefit downtown Golden Valley. Businesses need to have a reasonable place for business without high lease/rent rates due to being "upgraded and redeveloped".
Again, would love to take care of historical local businesses - Down in the Valley, Liquor Barrel..etc. but love the idea of a refresh. A local grocery store besides the incredibly outdated Cub would be welcomed!
Unfriendly to pedestrians and bikers. This appears to be an unpleasant place to be outside of a car.


More AFFORDABLE residential units are a great idea! Especially in this area with easy access to public transportation. And a grocery store next door would be a huge bonus. I don't think reconfiguring the shopping center is enough - it needs to be torn down.
Too much residential with poor traffic configuration
It sucks. We need to get away from strip malls
It's ok, but prefer the others
Too many apartment buildings in GV already.
As I mentioned above, this concept is a good one only if it is designed to preserve the current business mix and if the grocery store is the product of a stable and growth minded vendor.
A grocery store or better a co-op would be a great investment in the community.
Doable but paying for relocating Wells Fargo.....
100-120 new units would overload Golden Valley Road
I think the residential area is a good use, other areas could use some help
Don't like the removal of Wells Fargo as we bank there. Unless the grocery store is Lunds & Byerlys, a co-op, or Kowalski's, I won't shop there.
Housing is a really big concern - could we build more units on top of the stores in the GV shopping mall?
I like it, it would be good to have another grocery option in town
Do we need more housing here?
Excellent ideas
I like the addition of a grocery store
Love grocery store
Love the idea of integrating a grocery store and higher density residential. This makes complete sense. I just wish the existing stripmall could be completely changed as it is an eye sore and dated development style. It is the face of the city as most people see it from 55 and should be treated as such.
Keep strip mall but smaller. Would like to keep hardware store auto supply store, would be nice to add a couple more restaurants especially none chains
I like it but will Wells Fargo relocate and still have a presence in GV?
There are many variables that go into making decisions about a given concept - important considerations. So whether we "like" or "don't like" an option is meaningless.
Not bad.
mixed use and reconfiguration of the shopping complex.
I like the grocery store, that's something that is definitely lacking in the immediate area
In my opinion this would be an appropriate change to downtown.
This would be preferred to the redevelopment. Change, but not a lot.

What do you think should be changed about this concept?

Please don't toss out our favorite vendors!
Any new development should face away from Hwy 55. An ample green buffer between 55 and any development would be ideal.
Combining the parts of A concept that keep the mall with adding some retail and residential density in Concept B would be ok. Clearly parking would have to be reconsidered bc you could make a unique pedestrian amenity between the existing mall and the new ground level retail along 55
better and more thought out green space. this looks like an architect dropped some trees in a plan. there needs to be a strong concept around the pedestrian experience.
Nothing
Remove residential development--that does not make me want to go there.
Both concepts increase population density and thus traffic in an already busy area.
Materials. So much focus on parking - don't make that be the focus and what people see first. More green space needed, perhaps consider micro real estate too.
Leave the strip mall alone. If you want to "upgrade" to look, offer some TIF to the owners without requiring teardown and rebuilding of the mall.

It should be harder to drive and easier/safer to walk - more like a downtown, less like a shopping center.
Tear down the G.V. Shopping Center and start over.
Drive it out of town with pitchforks? Burn it? Perform an exorcism?
Need significant changes to strip mall
There are 15+ grocery stores within 5 miles.
I think the egress routes and entry points for this section should be re-thought to consider, not only pedestrian traffic, but how to make it easier for entry/exit from Hwy 55.
Is there another way to address parking? Ramp or less parking lot? It seems there is an unnecessary amount of parking in front of the strip mall.
grocery store should be mini-Target or equivalent; there really needs to be a direct tie-in with the Brookview side of 55; where's the beautification of this piece with native prairies, trees, etc?
It seems like a lot of residential is replacing existing retailers, which makes the space less useful.
Would the grocery store focus on local/sustainable food sources? Could we use more of a global market concept where a variety of local, small businesses could sell items?
Make larger grocery area like concept B
A park, please
No sure what is meant by reconfigure.
Not sure we need even more residential added. There have been a series of residential already put up up and down 55
Redevelop or reinvigorate the strip mall area. There could be a more commercial density and it could be much more pedestrian friendly.
Wheres the bank going? Thats a busy bank.
Do we really need more apartment buildings? It seems like the area would be saturated. I'd rather see businesses located there.

SW Quadrant Concept B



What do you think about this concept?

<p>Concept B is better. smaller parking areas with an emphasis on the pedestrian. The precedents are terrible. We should not be looking at existing suburban models of off tan colors. We need to have a bolder more progressive vision for the architecture of our community.</p>
<p>I like the mixed use approach of Concept B. Gives the area a more urban feel while also adding restaurants and pedestrian opportunities.</p>
<p>I like both. I like concept B slightly better, with the residential. Really like the idea of a Fresh Thyme, Sprouts, or Coop Grocery store is great, and I like the courtyards in concept B.</p>
<p>I do not like the mixed use at all.</p>
<p>There appears to be more sidewalks, and small setbacks along Winnetka.</p>
<p>I like this better than Concept A. High Density, affordable housing on this site makes a lot of sense. Close to public transportation. Close to a new grocery store. Close to retail.</p>
<p>Better use of shopping center area but concerned about congestion with too much residential space</p>
<p>Moving towards biz, more for the community to enjoy, green space.</p>
<p>I like this</p>
<p>LUV IT</p>
<p>See comments in Concept A</p>
<p>This concept, like the other, provides for a community/village feel, it would be reasonable to expect the facade of the buildings to look like the section east of Winnetka. The same concerns for preserving the current business mix, that is patronized by so many of Golden Valley's resident, exist.</p>
<p>Like the grocery store idea but still doesn't consider the traffic of Winnetka and 55</p>
<p>You're removing too much shopping from GV</p>
<p>Good concept.</p>
<p>better than concept A, but looks very crowded, like access by car/bike/pedestrian will be tough</p>
<p>Don't like the removal of Wells Fargo as we bank there. Unless the grocery store is Lunds & Byerlys, a co-op, or Kowalski's, I won't shop there. I prefer the location of residential in Concept A.</p>

It would be cool to have a co-working space for people who own small businesses and/or work from home (e.g. the Coven).
More downtown residence would be good
Makes sense as well
I prefer this over Concept A. Still don't love more residential, but like this paired with the expanded retail, which will be a greater draw.
Like other better. Too much housing in plan
Better than A.
Grocery store will be welcome. Updating and improving the visual appeal of the strip mall is needed so glad that is being considered.
Hate it. Just stop.
Like this more than A. like the parking w/ courtyard above.
I greatly dislike this concept
Too much change.
There is plenty of residential/retail in GV already.

What do you think should be changed about this concept?

too many apartments in B
Concept B is the better of the two concepts, but there is perhaps too much residential.
Is the parking in the residential enough for all the units? It seems they continue to build without adequate tenant parking let alone guest parking - would depend if it's apartments or single family homes.
The added "street" should have storefronts all along it with minimal setbacks. Sidewalks along the highway 55 side of the retail are useless.
Do what you can to make this look and feel like a real, old school, downtown. Make it walkable, make it have parking. Do not make it feel too closed in. I worry about a wall of retail facing outward to the busy highway. You get a little sense of that in St. Louis Park where those areas are just not filling up and keeping businesses. There is nothing like having two sides of a street lined with biz - there is a reason it has worked for hundreds of years.
Just need to make sure parking works. The grocery store at west end has a crazy parking lot. This feels it could be similar
Perhaps a skyway system that would allow the residents in these developments to get to the retail locations easily, even in bad/cold weather. Another feature that would allow for a "Convenience Fee" that off-sets the rental rates for the community businesses.
Your retail only allows for residential customers....No parking. Which leads to social situations requiring more police work.
needs a direct/better tie to Brookview; more pedestrian access; more natural areas
Again, seems like a lot of residential is replacing retail, making it less useful.
What resources are in place to help renters in GV to become home owners (if this is desired by the individual)?
Exiting commercial businesses in GV mall would probably permanently relocate, and potentially out of GV
No more large-scale apartment buildings! Housing doesn't have to be massive, ugly, and bereft of green space.
Hope you don't price out classic places like Down in the Valley.
The residential adjacent the ground level retail adjacent highway 55 would be better used for more commercial or alternately, have the commercial be more dense against 55.
Not crazy about residential on Hwy 55.
We lose the convenient businesses with more apartment building
Less residential/commercial. Already enough high rise housing along 394.

NE Quadrant Concept A



What do you think about this concept?

Why can't we have brave architecture!? Why so much more of this rubbish that surrounds Uptown Minneapolis and St. Louis Park!?
Accentuating and allowing access to the creek.
minimized and smaller parking is great. but it still feels parking heavy
Don't you dare move McDonald's out of its present location, or you will be sorry when the opposition rears it's head.
Like Concept A the best
that the residences are off in a corner away from retail. I like the bike and walkway example.
Nothing.
Don't like the additional appts but if have to choose, concept A
I Don't.
I prefer A as it utilizes current building and will not cost nearly as much as the other concepts. However, it down allow for more housing without overwhelming the area with lots of apartments.
I like the residential more than the commercial here
I like the added residential and bike/walk pathway.
A large portion of these housing units need to be affordable. It makes sense to move the public works building to a space that does not have some of the amenities inherent to this area.

Too much residential with poor traffic flow/congestion Nothing.
Seems like a good use of funds
This works for me
The residential offerings here, by sheer numbers, implies high density rentals or lower cost condos which may put stress on the area with added traffic, parking needs and pedestrian interaction/safety.
I like it. Practical. Park areas and a place for outdoor gathering such as the farm market which it a friendly place
Like it. Spreads housing density.
Fine with the exception of the northern residential 40-50 units
No comment
It's good, but I like B better
Nope. Our public buildings aren't that old. This is wasteful.
I like this option least.
Too much housing
The additional higher density residential is ideal here.
Where will the farmers market be
It's OK.
This survey is flat out embarrassing in its incompetence.
Eheres the city moving to? Needs to be answered first.
Where does public works go?
I like locating the apartment buildings (IF WE MUST HAVE THEM) in this area over replacing businesses near the strip mall
Not a lot of improvement.
Doesn't address enough changes needed.

What do you think should be changed about this concept?

All of it. Your mood boards look dated and do not inspire. Please don't fill out beautiful city with this faux facade garbage buildings
Cool it with the beige/brick aesthetic, seriously.
I think a plaza more internal to the development would be far more desirable. Winnetka will always be an unfriendly, fast corridor and not someplace to linger.
All developments should be forced to put parking below grade. This would transform this plan and make for a precedent setting development in the suburbs. The housing examples shown are terrible and look like the uninspired low rise housing that is scaring our suburban landscapes.
Keep the existing city buildings. That is the most efficient use of our tax dollars.
Imagine the traffice nightmares if we increased both retail/commercial and housing. It is already a busy area.
less apartments
No new residential. Leave the NE Quadrant alone. Anything but minor changes would adversely affect Calvary Lutheran Church and Center Cooperative. Right now it is a convenient and quiet area for residents, agencies, and businesses already there.
Again, stop light at Rhode Island is already long and congested and I worry this could make it worse without adequate adjustments and the influx of new residents inevitably heading downtown.
The area along Winnetka should be improved as well - what is the realistic parking need at City Hall?
Everything. Look, we need to make this a town we WANT to live in folks - having a bunch of parking lots and yet MORE apartments is not helping the existing residents. I would change this plan to not exist.
Keep the number of housing units lower, consider a mix of 1st-Time and Empty-Nest options. All if, and only if, the soils test out to show no potential for contamination surfacing from the site that would endanger residents.

nothing
The entire northern area, should be the library, Bassett Creek and restored area surrounding the creek and library - scrap the northern 40-50 residential units and tie to your asset, the river, No comment
The library is not updated
Scale down the residential units.
Everything
Less housing
Keep MacDonalDs. Hate to admit how often I go there
N/A
Don't bother, Concept B is better.

NE Quadrant Concept B



What do you think about this concept?

I like the additional commercial space in concept B.
I like that B and C celebrate Bassett Creek. There is currently no real expression of it that is truly publicly accessible.
Love the plaza and small commercial spaces connected by a bike path - very interesting proposal!
I like the added commercial and residential.
I would prefer to have police and fire moved out of this area.
City buildings should be more central- park once and walk to businesses. Too much residential space
Much better. Move this all to be a place where people want to be. Shops, green space, preserve the best community parts like the library an move the rest.
Fine, but what's it going to cost the taxpayers?
No. I don't want my taxes to increase for more housing.
Police, City and Fire take a back seat and become less prominent and doesn't help establish the village feel.
Retail/Commercial will further fragment the community gathering space and will have a further negative impact on the small shopping center on the west side of Winnetka.
Awful idea, adding that much dense housing will cause issues with schools, and just overall crowdedness
This concept has potential depending on the type of housing and commercial options. Golden Valley is in need of affordable housing. The number of units proposed in this plan could include mixed housing (emphasis on affordable housing).
Too expensive and disruptive to all with no real advantage except to the contractors.
Like this the best.no grocery store. Definitely needed.

Much, much better than A, the mixed use is great
No comment
Love it, the city campus is condensed
I like having a larger municipal presence.
Yuck, except for the McDonald's part.
I like the additional commercial and like putting all the city buildings together and using up less prime real estate.
Much prefer mix of use especially retail
Much nicer than scheme B. Not sure if the expense of moving city hall is worth it though.
Expensive and unnecessary to move city buildings
It's OK
Ugh. Why?
Like Concept B more than A. The commercial space would make this area more desirable.
The current facilities seem adequate, this seems like a waste of resources.
Unsure that police and fire would have enough room to grow.
Not enough room for Public safety.

What do you think should be changed about this concept?

I think Concept B could pose a safety issue with people having to cross a street to get from the parking lot to the library.
B and C require way too much public money and are not necessary. Golden Valley has a great residential feel and taxes are not completely out of control -- yet. Lets keep it that way so that existing residents are not priced out of their own homes.
I think concept A doesn't have enough commercial space, and concept C has too much residential. Concept B has a good blend.
Looks like adequate parking while balancing a lot of green space - would be curious again on the residential parking allotment- is it enough for residents/guests so we avoid getting into a congested position where no one can park at businesses or visit residents?
Compare the setbacks, commercial space size, and parking to a real downtown (i.e., Robbinsdale). This concept could be improved by emulating areas which have very high value/square foot rather than areas with much lower value/square foot.
I would encourage sufficient public parking while considering whether we can create a plaza that is large enough and open enough for events, whether farmer's market, music, or just people to gather. I can't tell whether we're killing that given the design. Maybe again we should rethink how much more we need to invest in apartment space in this city. We seem to have boomed with it already.
It seems like library and city hall end up with the best views of the creek. Would be great to have a restaurant and or park area for people to enjoy. Maybe this is where the Trailhead area is?
Bring the City Hall to the southwest portion of the quadrant, establishing a City presence as seen in many established cities. Allow Police and Fire to expand and take the space shown in the northeast corner, by themselves.
Adding more green space.
leave the fire and police station alone. Same with library and city hall
Needs better access, more space for creek and surrounding landscape and library, much, much more expanded pedestrian access to the area
No comment
Do *not* take away the lovely green space by the library.
The side streets off of Winnetka will be problematic.
We need more single level "patio" type housing with no interior or exterior steps. That stuff built on Winnetka and 27th is useless for seniors. Preserve as much green space as possible and create walking trails
What is the taxpayer funded cost to relocate the public service buildings?
Do we really need brand new fire, police, and city hall?

NE Quadrant Concept C



What do you think about this concept?

Don't like that it's missing the plaza or community space. Feels like a residential grab.
I think the plaza is a great idea.
I love it! What an amazing improvement this will be for Golden Valley as long as it includes a high % of affordable residential units that are not all congregated in the same building.
No.
I like smaller government buildings
LUV IT
No. Change for change sake doesn't always work.
I would replace the Commercial development in the southwest corner with Police and Fire. And change the total 300 units of Residential to Condominiums, or 200 units of rentals
This would be my 2nd choice of plans.
not muvh
Better than A, not better than B; like the city hall, library consolidation
No comment
No.
Same comments as above.
I like the 2nd best
Like this too
City Hall and library combined to one building sounds good.
Im not paying for this.

Like more than A due to mixed use of the space and the increased density.
It seems like a big effort for little return
Looks great.
This would be great.

What do you think should be changed about this concept?

Prefer the option with a bit less residential and more business space (Option B)
As with concept B, separated large commercial buildings with large setbacks and lots of parking are not what the market values.
Fire and police kept central is still a good idea, and while I appreciate the library and city hall in one concept, I think having a huge chunk of central space facing Winnetka be parking is a tragic mistake.
Might be too much Housing. If these are low end apartments then the area will become run down in a short time
I still think offering Commercial spaces here fragments the community and will suffocate the businesses in the strip on the west side of Winnetka.
Adding more green space.
I want City Hall downtown; plus where do you plan to move the firestation? And with the much residential how far away do you plan to move the police
Needs better access, more space for creek and surrounding landscape much, much more expanded pedestrian access to the area
No comment
Please leave this quadrant alone.
The side streets off of winnetka will be problematic.
Do not move the police and fire station from tis location.
sufficient parking whether surge or underground/ramp based.
I don't think we need brand new fire, police, and city hall buildings, I think their current locations make sense

NW Quadrant Concept A



What do you think about this concept?


Change
Accentuating and allowing access to the creek.
I like that Bassett Creek is more celebrated - as long as that is what this is saying
I like adding residential
not a strong opinion on either
The residential component.
I like the idea of the sw quadrant having a small grocery store.
I am ambivalent.
Again, I prefer the concept with the least amount of expense.
Both fine.
No Opinion
Stormwater management is a good idea near the creek.
If we have to take it, ok? This is an odd comparison between A and B. The assumptions about the giant middle area changing or not are key.
Seems reasonable
Excellent choice of use if the units are not rentals and are feasible for 1st-Time owners or empty-nesters.
Looks fine to me
Residential housing is too concentrated

this is fine, but doesn't do enough for beautification/restoration/access to Basset Creek and trail
No comment
It would be great to have emergency shelter for people experiencing homelessness. And easy access to mental health resources.
It's better than B
Ok
i don't like this proposal.
Like the increase in higher density housing.
It's OK.
I hope we're not spending money to someone to create this survey. What a waste of city funds it would be.
Why didnt the city buy the McKesson building? Lost oppertunity.
Like the trail connection and residential use.
This seems reasonable
Meh.

What do you think should be changed about this concept?

The architect
A large greenspace,, as in concept A, would be ideal, but it should be a public amenity.
parking goes below grade. more sustainable features. Better architecture
I don't like the aesthetics of being on the Luce Line trail and seeing parking lots. The substation is ugly enough, and now that the one business cut down all their trees, the trail would lose the natural landscape aspect.
Way to much housing crammed in to these areas. Tons more traffic.
NW Quadrant would be perfect for additional development as long as you do not force out the businesses already there. They are part of Golden Valley downtown too.
Too much residential.
Trail connection seems nice but a bit unnecessary
Missed opportunity to allow a destination along the Luce Line.
? What can we? The middle area changing is a question - assuming it can't means this is about the only thing you can do, assuming it can pushes to Plan B.
Is there really a call for this much of an increase in office space?
nothing
I'd say this concept should be scrapped, buildings too close to creek/trail
No comment
I would make it higher density
It shoud be more densily developmed to fully utilize the space.
Sufficient parking for residential units.
Would love to see an off leash dog park

NW Quadrant Concept B



What do you think about this concept

Concept B gets rid of that eye sore building. I like the additional office space; bring more businesses to GV.
No Opinion
Too much parking for the office buildings.
Prefer this to the other, can't tell whether feasible.
Luv It!
It could be acceptable, depending on the types of units of residential units and the types of office space planned.
This concept might be preferable to concept A, however, I would need to give it more thought.
Hard to have a viable business without parking.
Not sure that we want additional fixes buildings, given the level of congestion already in this area.
this is fine, but doesn't do enough for beautification/restoration/access to Basset Creek and trail
No comment
The residential units are too large.
No comment
I prefer this concept. Better location for residential than some of the other plans.
Prefer this option
Like the increase in higher density housing.
It's OK
Ugh.
Like more than A due to mixed use.
I like this - gives the businesses an upgraded look and feel as well
This would be an improvement on what is currently there.

What do you think should be changed about this concept?

I prefer the apartment configuration of concept B better than that of A.
Narrow 10th avenue to make trail crossings safer.
Look folks, if you are going to push 500-1000 more units on us for apartment living no matter what concept, what's the point in asking? If you do this, you need to make real changes to require affordable living, and good luck with traffic.
Reduce the number of Residential units to avoid over-crowding.
I would not remove all the businesses
I'd say this concept should be scrapped, buildings too close to creek/trail
No comment
Do not repeat the mistake of allowing the too-large, too close to the street apartment building on Xenia and Laurel.
I would make this quadrant all residential
It should be more densely developed to fully utilize the space.
does this have sufficient parking?
Add an off leash dog park

Long-Term Vision For Downtown

What do you think the long-term vision should be for the downtown?

A place where old and new can coexist; one where we aren't taken over by big box stores and fly by night chain stores
A new urbanist haven with plenty of trees and greenspace, where you can bike or walk to get groceries, run errands, dine out, etc.
more walkability to and within the corridor
we need to flip the suburban model and look to outlining cities like Chicago that have dense active pedestrian friendly areas around their transportation hubs. It should be vibrant and attract millennials and younger generations. If it looks like the precedents it will be no different than every other suburb in America. uninspired, and cheap architecture. The site should have design guidelines that are not style based but quality based.
Slim chance of getting the owner of the strip mall to renovate this eyesore!!
Mix of shops and eateries along with residential
We do not need more high-rise condos/apartments...we have too many. Look at what downtown Robbinsdale has done-- it's a mini eat street. Retail, restaurants that people flock to, bakery, coffee shop, hardware, etc.
It isn't a downtown. If you want a downtown, tear everything down on both sides of GV Road and Winnetka and start over with a pedestrian friendly concept- think downtown Robbinsdale.
Grow businesses (retail, breweries, restaurants)
Not what is proposed. Groceries are being delivered to homes more and more, so why add a grocery store with a massive parking lot. How about bringing in unique restaurants and boutiques. GV doesn't seem to have an identity. Perhaps, consult with the folks who helped create the North Loop.
Go lightly and DO NOT CARRY A BIG STICK.
An area with more of a downtown feel. However, parking remains important as that is the primary way Golden Valley residents (especially the elderly) get around.
Retail, grocery, residential, walking/or biking friendly
Make it a place that residents want to go to. More activity, more commercial, more entertainment.
Continue to work with the retailers
Love the idea of a more downtown style space for events like the Arts & Music festival or the farmer's market, not sure that this provides that.
An area that is pleasant to spend time going to multiple stores, sit outside and spend time. It does not need to be easy to drive in and out of.
A mix of affordable housing, high walkability, connections to the Luce Line, increase in options for public transportation, better esthetics as one side of the gateway into Golden Valley.
Primarily commerce. Not industrial, residents if we can support the infrastructure and if they feed to our tax base and to maintaining the new biz below.
A place to congregate. Could there be a plaza? A splash pad?
Shopping, Coffee Shops, and Residential and Grocery
Again, who will be paying for this?
Make this the area recognized as "Downtown", with a significant city government presence, and utilizing the Courtyards areas for city festivals, farmer's markets and special events. If the buildings with the Ground Level Retail were kept with a slightly lower profile than the rest, the Courtyards could be a viewing place for celebratory fireworks that could be done from Brookview Golf Course!
On the right track but this needs much more infrastructure
We need either a grocery store or co-op and much more affordable housing!
I think you need more open space and parks. There's no where to recreate outside other than walk. UGH!!!!
A space that offers basic amenities (bank, post office, city services, hardware store, coffee shop) and unique/local retailers and restaurants.

I agree that a mix of residential, grocery/Target/ light retail is needed; however there needs to be innovation in a link to Brookview both from a pedestrian standpoint (something better than existing overpass) and making this area more natural (e.g., restored prairie)
Long term vision of down town should be a SPACE WHERE EVERY PERSON IS UNDERSTOOD, VALUED, AND GIVEN THE OPPORTUNITY TO THRIVE.
A good mix of commercial and residential. Potentially commercial LL and residential about like some of the downtown MPLS areas that have recently been done been
Some housing is good. But please preserve set-backs and create green space.
I'd be more enthusiastic about the housing options if we actually had decent bus service. Perhaps with higher densities we would get it.
More retail and non-chain restaurants. People want new, hip-er restaurants.
Vibrant shopping, eating and entertainment area
The city's goal should be to view this area as the focal point of the city and as such it should focus on increasing density in a mixed use fashion. There should be more consideration given to how the area is seen from highway 55. There should be more focus on creating community gathering spaces and making it more pedestrian friendly.
Businesses to which residents may walk and take care of basic errands along with restaurants. Easy entrance / exit from Hwy 55 and Winnetka. Visually appealing!
More business friendly, not less.
the Winnetka, Golden Valley, 55 area needs to be the focal point of the city.
More businesses - less apartment buildings
Improved possibilities without changing the character.
I think we should look deeper into the options for better architects; the kind with vision and not just dollar signs in their eyes. Also, where are the plans for low income housing and mixed use buildings?
A new urbanist haven with plenty of trees and greenspace, where you can bike or walk to get groceries, run errands, dine out, etc.
density, sustainability, vibrancy, diversity, accessibility. we need to desire to be in our downtown. it is currently a gathering of strip mall type suburban shops.
Put a grocery store in. There's a big lacking of this as the nearest is in plymouth
City government sector
Redesign the fire station so we don't have to worry about where to build a bigger second fire station.
minimal to no change
Community center that includes a water park. Seriously. Like Crystal Cove or the one in Maple Grove.
More green space and family friendly space.
Go lightly and DO NOT CARRY A BIG STICK.
Make it a place that residents want to go to. More activity, more commercial, more entertainment.
Community space with a bit of a 'downtown' feel where you can go to businesses and meet up with the community at local events (e.g. Pride).
Dense, small commercial buildings which allow small businesses and not just chain stores to create a real downtown that Golden Valley residents can be proud of.
We need a place for people to enjoy. It needs to drive us to come to it as residents. We have so very little in the way of shops and good entertainment, we should be encouraging things beyond yet more apartments and parking lots. I'm fine with police and fire being located centrally - and their space can be integrated with city service buildings of multiple stories that look nice and integrated into the landscape. Make all these quads harmonize, not be slapped together over 50 years.
Connection to the creek. A place to congregate
The long-term vision should be to develop a site that says community, invites participation from all directions and a destination for work, family and fun.
Downtown Golden Valley should include a grocery store option, more affordable housing , more green space and bike lanes.
Keepit cohesive. with lots of green space in nooks and crannies for neighborly interaction.

Focus should be on bringing the Bassett Creek and restoration out as a gem/asset of Golden Valley as priority, second is improving pedestrian access, third is improving the library
I think what's here is fine
Keep the municipal campus, and don't mess with the library or the green space west of it.
Should stay as is, except, perhaps, for the McDonald's site.
It would be ideal to look at this area primarily as a civic space and then infill with residential and commercial beyond that.
Make this the focal point of the city. The commercial/residential use would be great to have.
Businesses
Weak, late to the party architecture with overpriced commercial spaces that only chain stores and fast food places can afford. Stop looking at St. Louis Park for your "inspiration". Dream bigger
With the increase in housing comes the need for an increase in local goods and services. It would be nice if we could be selective about what businesses occupy the new retail spaces. Preference should be given for affordable grocery stores, and businesses that serve/appeal to people of all cultures and financial brackets.
Keep the light rail out.
Talk to the folks who've created the neighborhoods downtown. GV has much to offer, but all these plans/designs seem traditional and uninspiring.
Go lightly and DO NOT CARRY A BIG STICK.
It is more removed, so a quieter residential approach makes sense. Could have higher residential density to help support downtown walkable commerce.
You folks can't add a possible 1000-2000 working individuals in apartments within these 4 areas combined including this one, plus all the other construction, and expect Winnetka to not become a living hell. You folks failed to bring the light rail down 55 to accomodate all these people living centrally to GV, and you aren't going to win this battle with bike trails, so you really can't get good feedback on this stuff without total infrastructure analysis. In the morning, Winnetka is messed up enough. You can't feed more people down it during rush hour without screwing up the entire town. Time to think of such things.
Many other problems/issues to deal with first. Do we really need a "downtown"?
The long-term vision should be consistent with prior visioning. These areas will all have a common theme and look
it would be nice if encouragement fo business other than retail with jobs that paid more than minimum wage were encouraged. Your concepts have nothing there except to do away with allo of them and put in houseing which will defintely degrade with time if all the people have is minimum wage.
Mix of housing, retail, and a grocery store.
Vision: How do you tie the Luce Line and Basset Creek to Golden Valley? Make this area into a major trailhead highlighting the creek and giving access the city, huge restoration of the creek and bringing back a Big Woods remnant too
Plan looks fine
Thank you for gathering input from residents - I know some of the apartment complexes in GV allow outside groups to conduct "info sessions" on site. Please take advantage of this opportunity to make sure renters have a voice in the decision making process.
Residential
good spot for residential if the other proposed areas have increased commercial and restaurants.
More focus on high density residential.
I think this area could use a facelift and would be a good spot for apartments if absolutely required