

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns I
Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 001

Q1. How many years have you lived in Golden Valley?

	<-RESIDENTIAL LONGEV->				<EXPECTED LONGEVITY->			<-TYPE OF HOUSEHOLD-->			<--GENDER-->	
	TOTAL	Less 10 Yrs	10-30 Years	Over 30 Years	Less 5 Yrs	5-10 Years	Over 10 Yrs	Senior	Child	Empty Nest	Male	Female
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
TOTAL	400	138	178	84	35	30	335	155	108	292	192	208
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
Less than 2 years	20CDG 5%	20ACD 14%	-	-	7AG 20%	2 7%	11 3%	4 3%	3 3%	17 6%	9 5%	11 5%
2 to 5 years	43CDGHJ 11%	43ACD 31%	-	-	10AG 29%	7AG 23%	26 8%	2 1%	19AHJ 18%	24H 8%	20 10%	23 11%
6 to 10 years	75CDHJ 19%	75ACD 54%	-	-	9 26%	7 23%	59 18%	5 3%	34AHJ 31%	41H 14%	38 20%	37 18%
11 to 20 years	109BD 27%	-	109ABD 61%	-	7 20%	10 33%	92 27%	34 22%	35 32%	74 25%	46 24%	63 30%
21 to 30 years	69BDEI 17%	-	69ABD 39%	-	-	4E 13%	65AE 19%	41AI 26%	10 9%	59AI 20%	33 17%	36 17%
Over 30 years	84BCEFI 21%	-	-	84ABC 100%	2 6%	-	82AEF 24%	69AIJ 45%	7 6%	77AI 26%	46 24%	38 18%
Unsure	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

(sig=.05) (all_pairs) columns tested T=ABCD, T=AEFG, T=AHIJ, T=AKL

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns II
 Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 002

Q1. How many years have you lived in Golden Valley?

	<-AGE OF RESPONDENT->				<-KNOW GOVT->		<RESIDENCE>		<--PRECINCT OF RESIDENCE-->			
	TOTAL	18-44	45-64	65+	Great /Fair	Very Little	Own	Rent	1&2	3&4	5&6	7&8
	-----	-----	-----	----	-----	-----	---	-----	---	---	---	---
TOTAL	400 100% %	158 100% %	159 100% %	83 100% %	235 100% %	165 100% %	303 100% %	97 100% %	107 100% %	94 100% %	97 100% %	102 100% %
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
Less than 2 years	20CEG 5%	14AC 9%	3 2%	3 4%	5 2%	15AE 9%	4 1%	16AG 16%	7 7%	2 2%	4 4%	7 7%
2 to 5 years	43CDG 11%	36ACD 23%	4 3%	3 4%	25 11%	18 11%	26 9%	17AG 18%	14 13%	7 7%	14 14%	8 8%
6 to 10 years	75CDE 19%	53ACD 34%	18 11%	4 5%	35 15%	40AE 24%	55 18%	20 21%	25 23%	15 16%	17 18%	18 18%
11 to 20 years	109D 27%	44D 28%	52AD 33%	13 16%	72 31%	37 22%	84 28%	25 26%	24 22%	32 34%	27 28%	26 25%
21 to 30 years	69BHI 17%	7 4%	45AB 28%	17B 20%	42 18%	27 16%	60AH 20%	9 9%	11 10%	19I 20%	18 19%	21I 21%
Over 30 years	84BH 21%	4 3%	37B 23%	43ABC 52%	56 24%	28 17%	74AH 24%	10 10%	26 24%	19 20%	17 18%	22 22%
Unsure	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

(sig=.05) (all_pairs) columns tested T=ABCD, T=AEF, T=AGH, T=AIJKL

Tables Prepared by The Morris Leatherman Company

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns I
 Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 003

Q2. How long in the future do you expect to live in Golden Valley?

	<-RESIDENTIAL LONGEV->				<EXPECTED LONGEVITY->			<-TYPE OF HOUSEHOLD-->			<--GENDER-->	
	TOTAL	Less 10 Yrs	10-30 Years	Over 30 Years	Less 5 Yrs	5-10 Years	Over 10 Yrs	Senior	Child	Empty Nest	Male	Female
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
TOTAL	400	138	178	84	35	30	335	155	108	292	192	208
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
Less than 2 years	6G 2%	3 2%	2 1%	1 1%	6AFG 17%	-	-	3 2%	1 1%	5 2%	3 2%	3 1%
2 to 5 years	29CDGH 7%	23ACD 17%	5 3%	1 1%	29AFG 83%	-	-	3 2%	6 6%	23H 8%	17 9%	12 6%
5 to 10 years	30DGH 8%	16AD 12%	14D 8%	-	-	30AEG 100%	-	2 1%	12H 11%	18H 6%	14 7%	16 8%
Over 10 years	85DEFHJ 21%	39AD 28%	41D 23%	5 6%	-	-	85AEF 25%	6 4%	46AHJ 43%	39H 13%	34 18%	51 25%
Rest of life	198BEFI 50%	33 24%	93B 52%	72ABC 86%	-	-	198AEF 59%	125AIJ 81%	25 23%	173AI 59%	97 51%	101 49%
Over thirty years	33D 8%	13D 9%	18D 10%	2 2%	-	-	33A 10%	14 9%	13 12%	20 7%	17 9%	16 8%
Unsure	19H 5%	11AC 8%	5 3%	3 4%	-	-	19A 6%	2 1%	5 5%	14 5%	10 5%	9 4%

 (sig=.05) (all_pairs) columns tested T=ABCD, T=AEFG, T=AHIJ, T=AKL

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns II
 Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 004

Q2. How long in the future do you expect to live in Golden Valley?

	<-AGE OF RESPONDENT->			<-KNOW GOVT->		<RESIDENCE>		<--PRECINCT OF RESIDENCE-->				
	TOTAL	18-44	45-64	65+	Great /Fair	Very Little	Own	Rent	1&2	3&4	5&6	7&8
	-----	-----	-----	---	-----	-----	---	-----	---	---	---	---
TOTAL	400 100% %	158 100% %	159 100% %	83 100% %	235 100% %	165 100% %	303 100% %	97 100% %	107 100% %	94 100% %	97 100% %	102 100% %
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
Less than 2 years	6C 2%	3 2%	-	3C 4%	4 2%	2 1%	3 1%	3 3%	3 3%	-	1 1%	2 2%
2 to 5 years	29CDG 7%	27ACD 17%	1 1%	1 1%	15 6%	14 8%	12 4%	17AG 18%	9 8%	6 6%	6 6%	8 8%
5 to 10 years	30D 8%	21ACD 13%	9D 6%	-	13 6%	17 10%	23 8%	7 7%	11 10%	6 6%	8 8%	5 5%
Over 10 years	85D 21%	50ACD 32%	32D 20%	3 4%	49 21%	36 22%	64 21%	21 22%	19 18%	19 20%	21 22%	26 25%
Rest of life	198BH 50%	34 22%	95AB 60%	69ABC 83%	120 51%	78 47%	162AH 53%	36 37%	46 43%	55AIL 59%	52 54%	45 44%
Over thirty years	33K 8%	14 9%	15 9%	4 5%	21 9%	12 7%	27 9%	6 6%	16AK 15%	7 7%	3 3%	7 7%
Unsure	19 5%	9 6%	7 4%	3 4%	13 6%	6 4%	12 4%	7 7%	3 3%	1 1%	6 6%	9AJ 9%

 (sig=.05) (all_pairs) columns tested T=ABCD, T=AEF, T=AGH, T=AIJKL

Tables Prepared by The Morris Leatherman Company

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns I
 Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 005

Q3. How would you rate the quality of life in Golden Valley?

	TOTAL	<-RESIDENTIAL LONGEV->			<EXPECTED LONGEVITY->			<-TYPE OF HOUSEHOLD-->			<--GENDER-->	
		Less 10 Yrs	10-30 Years	Over 30 Years	Less 5 Yrs	5-10 Years	Over 10 Yrs	Senior	Child	Empty Nest	Male	Female
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
TOTAL	400	138	178	84	35	30	335	155	108	292	192	208
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
FAVOR	391	133	177AB	81	33	29	329	150	106	285	188	203
-----	98%	96%	99%	96%	94%	97%	98%	97%	98%	98%	98%	98%
Excellent	190	67	85	38	16	13	161	85A	51	139	85	105
	48%	49%	48%	45%	46%	43%	48%	55%	47%	48%	44%	50%
Good	201H	66	92	43	17	16	168	65	55	146	103	98
	50%	48%	52%	51%	49%	53%	50%	42%	51%	50%	54%	47%
UNFAVOR	9C	5C	1	3	2	1	6	5	2	7	4	5
-----	2%	4%	1%	4%	6%	3%	2%	3%	2%	2%	2%	2%
Only fair	8	4	1	3	2	1	5	4	2	6	4	4
	2%	3%	1%	4%	6%	3%	1%	3%	2%	2%	2%	2%
Poor	1	1	-	-	-	-	1	1	-	1	-	1
	*	1%					*	1%		*		*
UNSURE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

(sig=.05) (all_pairs) columns tested T=ABCD, T=AEFG, T=AHIJ, T=AKL
 Note: Percentage less than 0.5 printed as *.

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns II
 Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 006

Q3. How would you rate the quality of life in Golden Valley?

	TOTAL	<-AGE OF RESPONDENT->			<-KNOW GOVT-> Great Very /Fair Little		<RESIDENCE>		<--PRECINCT OF RESIDENCE-->			
		18-44	45-64	65+			Own	Rent	1&2	3&4	5&6	7&8
	-----	-----	-----	---	-----	-----	---	-----	---	---	---	---
TOTAL	400	158	159	83	235	165	303	97	107	94	97	102
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
FAVOR	391	153	159	79	227	164	296	95	106	90	96	99
-----	98%	97%	100%	95%	97%	99%	98%	98%	99%	96%	99%	97%
Excellent	190	67	84	39	105	85	153	37	64	43	41	42
	48%	42%	53%	47%	45%	52%	50%	38%	60%	46%	42%	41%
Good	201	86	75	40	122	79	143	58	42	47	55	57
	50%	54%	47%	48%	52%	48%	47%	60%	39%	50%	57%	56%
UNFAVOR	9	5	-	4	8	1	7	2	1	4	1	3
-----	2%	3%		5%	3%	1%	2%	2%	1%	4%	1%	3%
Only fair	8	5	-	3	7	1	6	2	1	3	1	3
	2%	3%		4%	3%	1%	2%	2%	1%	3%	1%	3%
Poor	1	-	-	1	1	-	1	-	-	1	-	-
	*			1%	*		*			1%		
UNSURE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

(sig=.05) (all_pairs) columns tested T=ABCD, T=AEF, T=AGH, T=AIJKL
 Note: Percentage less than 0.5 printed as *.

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns I
Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 007

Q4. What comes to mind as being high quality?

	<-RESIDENTIAL LONGEV->			<EXPECTED LONGEVITY->			<-TYPE OF HOUSEHOLD-->			<--GENDER-->		
	TOTAL	Less 10 Yrs	10-30 Years	Over 30 Years	Less 5 Yrs	5-10 Years	Over 10 Yrs	Senior	Child	Empty Nest	Male	Female
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
TOTAL	400	138	178	84	35	30	335	155	108	292	192	208
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
Housing/Neighborhood	135	43	60	32	16	8	111	61	35	100	66	69
	34%	31%	34%	38%	46%	27%	33%	39%	32%	34%	34%	33%
People	68	21	35	12	2	3	63A	24	13	55	35	33
	17%	15%	20%	14%	6%	10%	19%	15%	12%	19%	18%	16%
City government/Services	53	15	30	8	5	8AG	40	20	11	42	29	24
	13%	11%	17%	10%	14%	27%	12%	13%	10%	14%	15%	12%
Schools	52HJ	27ACD	18	7	3	6	43	6	32AHJ	20	25	27
	13%	20%	10%	8%	9%	20%	13%	4%	30%	7%	13%	13%
Natural/Open spaces	38IK	13	18	7	2	-	36	20I	4	34AI	12	26AK
	10%	9%	10%	8%	6%		11%	13%	4%	12%	6%	13%
Parks and trails	33	13	12	8	3	4	26	10	12	21	15	18
	8%	9%	7%	10%	9%	13%	8%	6%	11%	7%	8%	9%
Nothing	9G	4	2	3	3AG	1	5	5	-	9	5	4
	2%	3%	1%	4%	9%	3%	1%	3%		3%	3%	2%
Scattered	12	2	3	7ABC	1	-	11	9AI	1	11	5	7
	3%	1%	2%	8%	3%		3%	6%	1%	4%	3%	3%

(sig=.05) (all_pairs) columns tested T=ABCD, T=AEFG, T=AHIJ, T=AKL

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns II
Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 008

Q4. What comes to mind as being high quality?

	<-AGE OF RESPONDENT->			<-KNOW GOV'T->		<RESIDENCE>		<--PRECINCT OF RESIDENCE-->				
	TOTAL	18-44	45-64	65+	Great /Fair	Very Little	Own	Rent	1&2	3&4	5&6	7&8
	-----	-----	-----	---	-----	-----	---	-----	---	---	---	---
TOTAL	400 100% % (A)	158 100% % (B)	159 100% % (C)	83 100% % (D)	235 100% % (E)	165 100% % (F)	303 100% % (G)	97 100% % (H)	107 100% % (I)	94 100% % (J)	97 100% % (K)	102 100% % (L)
Housing/Neighborhood	135L 34%	47 30%	55 35%	33 40%	84 36%	51 31%	106 35%	29 30%	45AL 42%	28 30%	36 37%	26 25%
People	68I 17%	27 17%	31 19%	10 12%	35 15%	33 20%	47 16%	21 22%	11 10%	11 12%	11 11%	35AIJK 34%
City government/Services	53 13%	21 13%	21 13%	11 13%	32 14%	21 13%	41 14%	12 12%	14 13%	13 14%	11 11%	15 15%
Schools	52C 13%	38ACD 24%	8 5%	6 7%	32 14%	20 12%	36 12%	16 16%	15 14%	16 17%	11 11%	10 10%
Natural/Open spaces	38B 10%	9 6%	18 11%	11B 13%	23 10%	15 9%	29 10%	9 9%	9 8%	12 13%	9 9%	8 8%
Parks and trails	33L 8%	12 8%	18D 11%	3 4%	18 8%	15 9%	27 9%	6 6%	6 6%	9 10%	15AIL 15%	3 3%
Nothing	9 2%	3 2%	1 1%	5AC 6%	6 3%	3 2%	7 2%	2 2%	1 1%	4 4%	3 3%	1 1%
Scattered	12B 3%	1 1%	7B 4%	4B 5%	5 2%	7 4%	10 3%	2 2%	6 6%	1 1%	1 1%	4 4%

(sig=.05) (all_pairs) columns tested T=ABCD, T=AEF, T=AGH, T=AIJKL

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns I
Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 009

Q5. What comes to mind as being low quality?

	<-RESIDENTIAL LONGEV->				<EXPECTED LONGEVITY->			<-TYPE OF HOUSEHOLD-->			<--GENDER-->	
	TOTAL	Less 10 Yrs	10-30 Years	Over 30 Years	Less 5 Yrs	5-10 Years	Over 10 Yrs	Senior	Child	Empty Nest	Male	Female
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
TOTAL	400 100% %	138 100% %	178 100% %	84 100% %	35 100% %	30 100% %	335 100% %	155 100% %	108 100% %	292 100% %	192 100% %	208 100% %
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
Nothing	155F 39%	51 37%	72 40%	32 38%	14F 40%	5 17%	136F 41%	63 41%	34 31%	121 41%	74 39%	81 39%
Streets	60 15%	16 12%	27 15%	17 20%	5 14%	7 23%	48 14%	28 18%	13 12%	47 16%	33 17%	27 13%
Low income housing	56EI 14%	15 11%	30 17%	11 13%	1 3%	2 7%	53AE 16%	27I 17%	9 8%	47AI 16%	28 15%	28 13%
Job market	26GHJ 7%	14AD 10%	10 6%	2 2%	6AG 17%	4G 13%	16 5%	4 3%	15AHJ 14%	11 4%	13 7%	13 6%
Economic development	18HJ 5%	9 7%	7 4%	2 2%	1 3%	3 10%	14 4%	3 2%	10AHJ 9%	8 3%	7 4%	11 5%
Lack of public transit	18H 5%	9 7%	7 4%	2 2%	1 3%	3 10%	14 4%	3 2%	8H 7%	10 3%	6 3%	12 6%
Schools	14 4%	5 4%	4 2%	5 6%	-	2 7%	12 4%	4 3%	5 5%	9 3%	8 4%	6 3%
City Council/Government	7 2%	3 2%	4 2%	-	3AG 9%	-	4 1%	1 1%	3 3%	4 1%	4 2%	3 1%
Unsure	33 8%	11 8%	11 6%	11 13%	3 9%	3 10%	27 8%	12 8%	9 8%	24 8%	14 7%	19 9%
Scattered	13 3%	5 4%	6 3%	2 2%	1 3%	1 3%	11 3%	10A 6%	2 2%	11 4%	5 3%	8 4%

(sig=.05) (all_pairs) columns tested T=ABCD, T=AEFG, T=AHIJ, T=AKL

Tables Prepared by The Morris Leatherman Company

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns II
Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 010

Q5. What comes to mind as being low quality?

	TOTAL	<-AGE OF RESPONDENT->			<-KNOW GOV'T->		<RESIDENCE>		<--PRECINCT OF RESIDENCE-->			
		18-44	45-64	65+	Great /Fair	Very Little	Own	Rent	1&2	3&4	5&6	7&8
	-----	-----	-----	----	-----	-----	---	-----	---	---	---	---
TOTAL	400 100% %	158 100% %	159 100% %	83 100% %	235 100% %	165 100% %	303 100% %	97 100% %	107 100% %	94 100% %	97 100% %	102 100% %
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
Nothing	155EGK 39%	56 35%	61 38%	38 46%	80 34%	75AE 45%	107 35%	48AG 49%	37 35%	45AK 48%	28 29%	45K 44%
Streets	60F 15%	23 15%	27 17%	10 12%	45AF 19%	15 9%	45 15%	15 15%	14 13%	12 13%	19 20%	15 15%
Low income housing	56H 14%	16 10%	29AB 18%	11 13%	39 17%	17 10%	50AH 17%	6 6%	19 18%	14 15%	11 11%	12 12%
Job market	26 7%	18ACD 11%	6 4%	2 2%	14 6%	12 7%	16 5%	10 10%	8 7%	6 6%	7 7%	5 5%
Economic development	18HI 5%	9 6%	7 4%	2 2%	13 6%	5 3%	18AH 6%	-	1 1%	2 2%	10AIJ 10%	5 5%
Lack of public transit	18CG 5%	12AC 8%	3 2%	3 4%	9 4%	9 5%	10 3%	8AG 8%	6 6%	3 3%	4 4%	5 5%
Schools	14FH 4%	5 3%	7 4%	2 2%	12AF 5%	2 1%	14AH 5%	-	2 2%	3 3%	7A 7%	2 2%
City Council/Government	7 2%	5 3%	2 1%	-	5 2%	2 1%	7 2%	-	1 1%	-	3 3%	3 3%
Unsure	33E 8%	13 8%	11 7%	9 11%	10 4%	23AE 14%	24 8%	9 9%	16AJK 15%	5 5%	5 5%	7 7%
Scattered	13B 3%	1 1%	6 4%	6AB 7%	8 3%	5 3%	12 4%	1 1%	3 3%	4 4%	3 3%	3 3%

(sig=.05) (all_pairs) columns tested T=ABCD, T=AEF, T=AGH, T=AIJKL

Tables Prepared by The Morris Leatherman Company

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns I
Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 011

Q6. What do you think is the most serious issue facing Golden Valley today?

	TOTAL	<-RESIDENTIAL LONGEV->			<EXPECTED LONGEVITY->			<-TYPE OF HOUSEHOLD-->			<--GENDER-->	
		Less 10 Yrs	10-30 Years	Over 30 Years	Less 5 Yrs	5-10 Years	Over 10 Yrs	Senior	Child	Empty Nest	Male	Female
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
TOTAL	400	138	178	84	35	30	335	155	108	292	192	208
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
Nothing	108	36	53	19	8	4	96	37	22	86	60	48
	27%	26%	30%	23%	23%	13%	29%	24%	20%	29%	31%	23%
High taxes	57	22	23	12	5	6	46	26	13	44	33	24
	14%	16%	13%	14%	14%	20%	14%	17%	12%	15%	17%	12%
Growing diversity	33L	10	17	6	1	1	31	14	7	26	22AL	11
	8%	7%	10%	7%	3%	3%	9%	9%	6%	9%	11%	5%
Rising crime	28K	10	11	7	3	3	22	9	11	17	7	21AK
	7%	7%	6%	8%	9%	10%	7%	6%	10%	6%	4%	10%
Too much growth	28B	4	16B	8B	1	2	25	15	4	24	13	15
	7%	3%	9%	10%	3%	7%	7%	10%	4%	8%	7%	7%
Street maintenance	24C	10	6	8C	2	3	19	9	7	17	9	15
	6%	7%	3%	10%	6%	10%	6%	6%	6%	6%	5%	7%
Light rail development	24J	12	9	3	-	1	23	10	11AJ	13	8	16
	6%	9%	5%	4%		3%	7%	6%	10%	4%	4%	8%
Poor city spending	19G	7	7	5	4G	5AG	10	4	8	11	10	9
	5%	5%	4%	6%	11%	17%	3%	3%	7%	4%	5%	4%
Lack of jobs	18GL	9	6	3	4AG	3	11	4	8	10	14AL	4
	5%	7%	3%	4%	11%	10%	3%	3%	7%	3%	7%	2%
Lack of businesses	6	1	3	2	-	-	6	3	3	3	2	4
	2%	1%	2%	2%			2%	2%	3%	1%	1%	2%
Unsure	45K	17	19	9	5	2	38	17	12	33	13	32AK
	11%	12%	11%	11%	14%	7%	11%	11%	11%	11%	7%	15%
Scattered	10BK	-	8AB	2	2	-	8	7A	2	8	1	9AK
	3%		4%	2%	6%		2%	5%	2%	3%	1%	4%

(sig=.05) (all_pairs) columns tested T=ABCD, T=AEFG, T=AHIJ, T=AKL
Tables Prepared by The Morris Leatherman Company
Page 11

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns II
Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 012

Q6. What do you think is the most serious issue facing Golden Valley today?

	TOTAL	<-AGE OF RESPONDENT->			<-KNOW GOV'T-> Great Very /Fair Little		<RESIDENCE>		<--PRECINCT OF RESIDENCE-->			
		18-44	45-64	65+			Own	Rent	1&2	3&4	5&6	7&8
	-----	-----	-----	---	-----	-----	---	-----	---	---	---	---
TOTAL	400 100% %	158 100% %	159 100% %	83 100% %	235 100% %	165 100% %	303 100% %	97 100% %	107 100% %	94 100% %	97 100% %	102 100% %
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
Nothing	108GK 27%	42 27%	42 26%	24 29%	56 24%	52 32%	72 24%	36AG 37%	23 21%	27K 29%	16 16%	42AIK 41%
High taxes	57F 14%	24 15%	22 14%	11 13%	41AF 17%	16 10%	43 14%	14 14%	12 11%	18 19%	15 15%	12 12%
Growing diversity	33B 8%	7 4%	17B 11%	9 11%	21 9%	12 7%	27 9%	6 6%	7 7%	4 4%	15AIJ 15%	7 7%
Rising crime	28 7%	10 6%	13 8%	5 6%	16 7%	12 7%	22 7%	6 6%	8 7%	4 4%	11 11%	5 5%
Too much growth	28B 7%	4 3%	22ABD 14%	2 2%	19 8%	9 5%	25 8%	3 3%	13A 12%	5 5%	5 5%	5 5%
Street maintenance	24 6%	9 6%	8 5%	7 8%	16 7%	8 5%	19 6%	5 5%	11AK 10%	7 7%	2 2%	4 4%
Light rail development	24 6%	10 6%	8 5%	6 7%	12 5%	12 7%	19 6%	5 5%	6 6%	4 4%	6 6%	8 8%
Poor city spending	19 5%	10 6%	6 4%	3 4%	13 6%	6 4%	16 5%	3 3%	4 4%	3 3%	9A 9%	3 3%
Lack of jobs	18C 5%	14ACD 9%	3 2%	1 1%	9 4%	9 5%	15 5%	3 3%	5 5%	6 6%	4 4%	3 3%
Lack of businesses	6F 2%	3 2%	2 1%	1 1%	6AF 3%	-	5 2%	1 1%	-	2 2%	2 2%	2 2%
Unsure	45 11%	22 14%	13 8%	10 12%	21 9%	24 15%	32 11%	13 13%	16 15%	10 11%	12 12%	7 7%
Scattered	10 3%	3 2%	3 2%	4 5%	5 2%	5 3%	8 3%	2 2%	2 2%	4K 4%	-	4K 4%

(sig=.05) (all_pairs) columns tested T=ABCD, T=AEF, T=AGH, T=AIJKL

Tables Prepared by The Morris Leatherman Company

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns I
 Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 013

Q7. Do you think things are generally headed in the right direction?

	TOTAL	<-RESIDENTIAL LONGEV->			<EXPECTED LONGEVITY->			<-TYPE OF HOUSEHOLD-->			<--GENDER-->	
		Less 10 Yrs	10-30 Years	Over 30 Years	Less 5 Yrs	5-10 Years	Over 10 Yrs	Senior	Child	Empty Nest	Male	Female
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
TOTAL	400	138	178	84	35	30	335	155	108	292	192	208
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
Right direction	353E	118	164A	71	27	27	299E	132	97	256	167	186
	88%	86%	92%	85%	77%	90%	89%	85%	90%	88%	87%	89%
Wrong track	36CG	19AC	10	7	7AG	3	26	14	9	27	17	19
	9%	14%	6%	8%	20%	10%	8%	9%	8%	9%	9%	9%
Unsure	11	1	4	6AB	1	-	10	9A	2	9	8	3
	3%	1%	2%	7%	3%		3%	6%	2%	3%	4%	1%

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns II
 Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 014

Q7. Do you think things are generally headed in the right direction?

	TOTAL	<-AGE OF RESPONDENT->			<-KNOW GOVT->		<RESIDENCE>		<--PRECINCT OF RESIDENCE-->			
		18-44	45-64	65+	Great /Fair	Very Little	Own	Rent	1&2	3&4	5&6	7&8
	-----	-----	-----	---	-----	-----	---	-----	---	---	---	---
TOTAL	400	158	159	83	235	165	303	97	107	94	97	102
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
Right direction	353	137	145	71	205	148	262	91	97	83	85	88
	88%	87%	91%	86%	87%	90%	86%	94%	91%	88%	88%	86%
Wrong track	36	19C	9	8	26	10	31	5	8	7	8	13
	9%	12%	6%	10%	11%	6%	10%	5%	7%	7%	8%	13%
Unsure	11	2	5	4	4	7	10	1	2	4	4	1
	3%	1%	3%	5%	2%	4%	3%	1%	2%	4%	4%	1%

 (sig=.05) (all_pairs) columns tested T=ABCD, T=AEF, T=AGH, T=AIJKL

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns I
Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 015

Q8. Do you consider the City's share of property taxes to be very high?

	<-RESIDENTIAL LONGEV->				<EXPECTED LONGEVITY->			<-TYPE OF HOUSEHOLD-->			<--GENDER-->	
	TOTAL	Less 10 Yrs	10-30 Years	Over 30 Years	Less 5 Yrs	5-10 Years	Over 10 Yrs	Senior	Child	Empty Nest	Male	Female
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
TOTAL	400	138	178	84	35	30	335	155	108	292	192	208
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
HIGH	249BJ	75	117B	57B	20	20	209	106AJ	77AJ	172	126	123
----	62%	54%	66%	68%	57%	67%	62%	68%	71%	59%	66%	59%
Very high	69BFI	11	30B	28ABC	4	1	64AF	53AIJ	10	59AI	30	39
	17%	8%	17%	33%	11%	3%	19%	34%	9%	20%	16%	19%
Somewhat high	180DHJ	64	87D	29	16	19AG	145	53	67AHJ	113	96	84
	45%	46%	49%	35%	46%	63%	43%	34%	62%	39%	50%	40%
AVERAGE	130I	50	58	22	10	7	113	43	25	105AI	61	69
-----	33%	36%	33%	26%	29%	23%	34%	28%	23%	36%	32%	33%
LOW	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
---	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Somewhat low	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Very low	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
UNSURE	21CGK	13AC	3	5	5AG	3	13	6	6	15	5	16AK
-----	5%	9%	2%	6%	14%	10%	4%	4%	6%	5%	3%	8%

(sig=.05) (all_pairs) columns tested T=ABCD, T=AEFG, T=AHIJ, T=AKL

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns II
 Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 016

Q8. Do you consider the City's share of property taxes to be very high?

	<-AGE OF RESPONDENT->				<-KNOW GOVT->		<RESIDENCE>		<--PRECINCT OF RESIDENCE-->			
	TOTAL	18-44	45-64	65+	Great /Fair	Very Little	Own	Rent	1&2	3&4	5&6	7&8
	-----	-----	-----	---	-----	-----	---	-----	---	---	---	---
TOTAL	400	158	159	83	235	165	303	97	107	94	97	102
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
HIGH	249BFH	88	107B	54	174AF	75	218AH	31	64	62	63	60
----	62%	56%	67%	65%	74%	45%	72%	32%	60%	66%	65%	59%
Very high	69BFHK	10	31B	28ABC	54AF	15	61AH	8	18	24AK	10	17
	17%	6%	19%	34%	23%	9%	20%	8%	17%	26%	10%	17%
Somewhat high	180DFH	78D	76D	26	120AF	60	157AH	23	46	38	53AJ	43
	45%	49%	48%	31%	51%	36%	52%	24%	43%	40%	55%	42%
AVERAGE	130EG	60	44	26	59	71AE	79	51AG	30	32	29	39
-----	33%	38%	28%	31%	25%	43%	26%	53%	28%	34%	30%	38%
LOW	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
----	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Somewhat low	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Very low	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
UNSURE	21EGJ	10	8	3	2	19AE	6	15AG	13AJL	-	5J	3
-----	5%	6%	5%	4%	1%	12%	2%	15%	12%		5%	3%

 (sig=.05) (all_pairs) columns tested T=ABCD, T=AEF, T=AGH, T=AIJKL

Tables Prepared by The Morris Leatherman Company

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns I
Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 017
Q9. Police protection?

	TOTAL	<-RESIDENTIAL LONGEV->			<EXPECTED LONGEVITY->			<-TYPE OF HOUSEHOLD-->			<--GENDER-->	
		Less 10 Yrs	10-30 Years	Over 30 Years	Less 5 Yrs	5-10 Years	Over 10 Yrs	Senior	Child	Empty Nest	Male	Female
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
TOTAL	400	138	178	84	35	30	335	155	108	292	192	208
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
FAVOR	390EH	135	175	80	32	29	329AE	148	107	283	187	203
-----	98%	98%	98%	95%	91%	97%	98%	95%	99%	97%	97%	98%
Excellent	215E	74	93	48	13	15	187E	92	59	156	97	118
	54%	54%	52%	57%	37%	50%	56%	59%	55%	53%	51%	57%
Good	175H	61	82	32	19	14	142	56	48	127	90	85
	44%	44%	46%	38%	54%	47%	42%	36%	44%	43%	47%	41%
UNFAVOR	8	2	2	4A	1	1	6	6A	1	7	5	3
-----	2%	1%	1%	5%	3%	3%	2%	4%	1%	2%	3%	1%
Only fair	3	-	1	2	1	-	2	2	-	3	3	-
	1%		1%	2%	3%		1%	1%		1%	2%	
Poor	5	2	1	2	-	1	4	4	1	4	2	3
	1%	1%	1%	2%		3%	1%	3%	1%	1%	1%	1%
UNSURE	2G	1	1	-	2AG	-	-	1	-	2	-	2
-----	1%	1%	1%		6%			1%		1%		1%

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns II
Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 018
Q9. Police protection?

	TOTAL	<-AGE OF RESPONDENT->			<-KNOW GOVT-> Great Very /Fair Little		<RESIDENCE>		<--PRECINCT OF RESIDENCE-->			
		18-44	45-64	65+			Own	Rent	1&2	3&4	5&6	7&8
	-----	-----	-----	---	-----	-----	---	-----	---	---	---	---
TOTAL	400 100% %	158 100% %	159 100% %	83 100% %	235 100% %	165 100% %	303 100% %	97 100% %	107 100% %	94 100% %	97 100% %	102 100% %
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
FAVOR -----	390DJ 98%	156D 99%	156 98%	78 94%	227 97%	163 99%	296 98%	94 97%	106 99%	89 95%	97JL 100%	98 96%
Excellent	215B 54%	75 47%	90 57%	50 60%	119 51%	96 58%	168 55%	47 48%	67AK 63%	51 54%	46 47%	51 50%
Good	175D 44%	81AD 51%	66 42%	28 34%	108 46%	67 41%	128 42%	47 48%	39 36%	38 40%	51AI 53%	47 46%
UNFAVOR -----	8F 2%	1 1%	3 2%	4AB 5%	8AF 3%	-	7 2%	1 1%	-	5AIK 5%	-	3 3%
Only fair	3 1%	-	2 1%	1 1%	3 1%	-	2 1%	1 1%	-	-	-	3A 3%
Poor	5 1%	1 1%	1 1%	3A 4%	5 2%	-	5 2%	-	-	5AIKL 5%	-	-
UNSURE -----	2G 1%	1 1%	-	1 1%	-	2 1%	-	2AG 2%	1 1%	-	-	1 1%

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns I
Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 019
Q10. Fire protection?

	TOTAL	<-RESIDENTIAL LONGEV->			<EXPECTED LONGEVITY->			<-TYPE OF HOUSEHOLD-->			<--GENDER-->	
		Less 10 Yrs	10-30 Years	Over 30 Years	Less 5 Yrs	5-10 Years	Over 10 Yrs	Senior	Child	Empty Nest	Male	Female
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
TOTAL	400	138	178	84	35	30	335	155	108	292	192	208
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
FAVOR	377B	123	172B	82B	33	30	314	146	101	276	181	196
-----	94%	89%	97%	98%	94%	100%	94%	94%	94%	95%	94%	94%
Excellent	225BF	62	108B	55B	18	11	196AF	95	55	170	100	125
	56%	45%	61%	65%	51%	37%	59%	61%	51%	58%	52%	60%
Good	152G	61	64	27	15	19AG	118	51	46	106	81	71
	38%	44%	36%	32%	43%	63%	35%	33%	43%	36%	42%	34%
UNFAVOR	3	2	1	-	-	-	3	1	-	3	1	2
-----	1%	1%	1%				1%	1%		1%	1%	1%
Only fair	2	1	1	-	-	-	2	-	-	2	1	1
	1%	1%	1%				1%			1%	1%	*
Poor	1	1	-	-	-	-	1	1	-	1	-	1
	*	1%					*	1%		*		*
UNSURE	20	13ACD	5	2	2	-	18	8	7	13	10	10
-----	5%	9%	3%	2%	6%		5%	5%	6%	4%	5%	5%

(sig=.05) (all_pairs) columns tested T=ABCD, T=AEFG, T=AHIJ, T=AKL
Note: Percentage less than 0.5 printed as *.

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns II
Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 020
Q10. Fire protection?

	TOTAL	<-AGE OF RESPONDENT->			<-KNOW GOVT-> Great Very /Fair Little		<RESIDENCE>		<--PRECINCT OF RESIDENCE-->			
		18-44	45-64	65+			Own	Rent	1&2	3&4	5&6	7&8
	-----	-----	-----	---	-----	-----	---	-----	---	---	---	---
TOTAL	400 100% %	158 100% %	159 100% %	83 100% %	235 100% %	165 100% %	303 100% %	97 100% %	107 100% %	94 100% %	97 100% %	102 100% %
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
FAVOR	377FH 94%	146 92%	154 97%	77 93%	228AF 97%	149 90%	295AH 97%	82 85%	100 93%	89 95%	92 95%	96 94%
Excellent	225K 56%	80 51%	93 58%	52 63%	141 60%	84 51%	177 58%	48 49%	65K 61%	58K 62%	37 38%	65K 64%
Good	152 38%	66 42%	61 38%	25 30%	87 37%	65 39%	118 39%	34 35%	35 33%	31 33%	55AIJL 57%	31 30%
UNFAVOR	3 1%	1 1%	1 1%	1 1%	3 1%	-	2 1%	1 1%	-	1 1%	1 1%	1 1%
Only fair	2 1%	1 1%	1 1%	-	2 1%	-	1 *	1 1%	-	-	1 1%	1 1%
Poor	1 *	-	-	1 1%	1 *	-	1 *	-	-	1 1%	-	-
UNSURE	20EG 5%	11 7%	4 3%	5 6%	4 2%	16AE 10%	6 2%	14AG 14%	7 7%	4 4%	4 4%	5 5%

(sig=.05) (all_pairs) columns tested T=ABCD, T=AEF, T=AGH, T=AIJKL
Note: Percentage less than 0.5 printed as *.

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns I
Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 021
Q11. Recycling service?

	TOTAL	<-RESIDENTIAL LONGEV->			<EXPECTED LONGEVITY->			<-TYPE OF HOUSEHOLD-->			<--GENDER-->	
		Less 10 Yrs	10-30 Years	Over 30 Years	Less 5 Yrs	5-10 Years	Over 10 Yrs	Senior	Child	Empty Nest	Male	Female
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
TOTAL	400	138	178	84	35	30	335	155	108	292	192	208
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
FAVOR	371B	119	170B	82B	31	28	312	145	97	274	178	193
-----	93%	86%	96%	98%	89%	93%	93%	94%	90%	94%	93%	93%
Excellent	140	40	71B	29	12	13	115	54	41	99	71	69
	35%	29%	40%	35%	34%	43%	34%	35%	38%	34%	37%	33%
Good	231	79	99	53	19	15	197	91	56	175	107	124
	58%	57%	56%	63%	54%	50%	59%	59%	52%	60%	56%	60%
UNFAVOR	7	4	1	2	1	-	6	3	2	5	4	3
-----	2%	3%	1%	2%	3%		2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	1%
Only fair	7	4	1	2	1	-	6	3	2	5	4	3
	2%	3%	1%	2%	3%		2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	1%
Poor	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
UNSURE	22D	15ACD	7	-	3	2	17	7	9	13	10	12
-----	6%	11%	4%		9%	7%	5%	5%	8%	4%	5%	6%

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns II
 Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 022
 Q11. Recycling service?

	TOTAL	<-AGE OF RESPONDENT->			<-KNOW GOV'T->		<RESIDENCE>		<--PRECINCT OF RESIDENCE-->			
		18-44	45-64	65+	Great /Fair	Very Little	Own	Rent	1&2	3&4	5&6	7&8
	-----	-----	-----	---	-----	-----	---	-----	---	---	---	---
TOTAL	400 100% %	158 100% %	159 100% %	83 100% %	235 100% %	165 100% %	303 100% %	97 100% %	107 100% %	94 100% %	97 100% %	102 100% %
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
FAVOR	371FH 93%	143 91%	152 96%	76 92%	231AF 98%	140 85%	296AH 98%	75 77%	99 93%	88 94%	91 94%	93 91%
Excellent	140H 35%	52 33%	61 38%	27 33%	81 34%	59 36%	117AH 39%	23 24%	32 30%	41AI 44%	35 36%	32 31%
Good	231F 58%	91 58%	91 57%	49 59%	150AF 64%	81 49%	179 59%	52 54%	67 63%	47 50%	56 58%	61 60%
UNFAVOR	7 2%	2 1%	1 1%	4AC 5%	2 1%	5 3%	5 2%	2 2%	3 3%	1 1%	1 1%	2 2%
Only fair	7 2%	2 1%	1 1%	4AC 5%	2 1%	5 3%	5 2%	2 2%	3 3%	1 1%	1 1%	2 2%
Poor	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
UNSURE	22EG 6%	13 8%	6 4%	3 4%	2 1%	20AE 12%	2 1%	20AG 21%	5 5%	5 5%	5 5%	7 7%

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns I
Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 023
Q12. Storm drainage and flood control?

	TOTAL	<-RESIDENTIAL LONGEV->			<EXPECTED LONGEVITY->			<-TYPE OF HOUSEHOLD-->			<--GENDER-->	
		Less 10 Yrs	10-30 Years	Over 30 Years	Less 5 Yrs	5-10 Years	Over 10 Yrs	Senior	Child	Empty Nest	Male	Female
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
TOTAL	400	138	178	84	35	30	335	155	108	292	192	208
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
FAVOR	383E	133	171	79	31	29	323E	147	103	280	185	198
-----	96%	96%	96%	94%	89%	97%	96%	95%	95%	96%	96%	95%
Excellent	146D	52	73D	21	16	12	118	56	36	110	74	72
	37%	38%	41%	25%	46%	40%	35%	36%	33%	38%	39%	35%
Good	237E	81	98	58AC	15	17	205E	91	67	170	111	126
	59%	59%	55%	69%	43%	57%	61%	59%	62%	58%	58%	61%
UNFAVOR	-----	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Only fair	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Poor	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
UNSURE	17	5	7	5	4AG	1	12	8	5	12	7	10
-----	4%	4%	4%	6%	11%	3%	4%	5%	5%	4%	4%	5%

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns II
 Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 024
 Q12. Storm drainage and flood control?

	TOTAL	<-AGE OF RESPONDENT->			<-KNOW GOVT-> Great Very /Fair Little		<RESIDENCE>		<--PRECINCT OF RESIDENCE-->			
		18-44	45-64	65+			Own	Rent	1&2	3&4	5&6	7&8
	-----	-----	-----	---	-----	-----	---	-----	---	---	---	---
TOTAL	400	158	159	83	235	165	303	97	107	94	97	102
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
FAVOR	383H	150	155	78	228	155	296AH	87	105	91	92	95
-----	96%	95%	97%	94%	97%	94%	98%	90%	98%	97%	95%	93%
Excellent	146L	63	55	28	83	63	114	32	38	31	52AIJL	25
	37%	40%	35%	34%	35%	38%	38%	33%	36%	33%	54%	25%
Good	237K	87	100	50	145	92	182	55	67K	60K	40	70AK
	59%	55%	63%	60%	62%	56%	60%	57%	63%	64%	41%	69%
UNFAVOR	-----	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Only fair	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Poor	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
UNSURE	17G	8	4	5	7	10	7	10AG	2	3	5	7
-----	4%	5%	3%	6%	3%	6%	2%	10%	2%	3%	5%	7%

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns I
Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 025
Q13. Park maintenance?

	TOTAL	<-RESIDENTIAL LONGEV->			<EXPECTED LONGEVITY->			<-TYPE OF HOUSEHOLD-->			<--GENDER-->	
		Less 10 Yrs	10-30 Years	Over 30 Years	Less 5 Yrs	5-10 Years	Over 10 Yrs	Senior	Child	Empty Nest	Male	Female
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
TOTAL	400	138	178	84	35	30	335	155	108	292	192	208
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
FAVOR	388DEH	135	175D	78	32	29	327E	147	105	283	187	201
-----	97%	98%	98%	93%	91%	97%	98%	95%	97%	97%	97%	97%
Excellent	207	73	87	47	20	18	169	76	57	150	98	109
	52%	53%	49%	56%	57%	60%	50%	49%	53%	51%	51%	52%
Good	181	62	88	31	12	11	158	71	48	133	89	92
	45%	45%	49%	37%	34%	37%	47%	46%	44%	46%	46%	44%
UNFAVOR	5	2	1	2	1	1	3	2	2	3	1	4
-----	1%	1%	1%	2%	3%	3%	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	2%
Only fair	4	1	1	2	1	1	2	2	1	3	1	3
	1%	1%	1%	2%	3%	3%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%
Poor	1	1	-	-	-	-	1	-	1	-	-	1
	*	1%					*		1%			*
UNSURE	7	1	2	4AB	2	-	5	6A	1	6	4	3
-----	2%	1%	1%	5%	6%		1%	4%	1%	2%	2%	1%

(sig=.05) (all_pairs) columns tested T=ABCD, T=AEFG, T=AHIJ, T=AKL
Note: Percentage less than 0.5 printed as *.

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns II
 Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 026
 Q13. Park maintenance?

	TOTAL	<-AGE OF RESPONDENT->			<-KNOW GOV'T->		<RESIDENCE>		<--PRECINCT OF RESIDENCE-->			
		18-44	45-64	65+	Great /Fair	Very Little	Own	Rent	1&2	3&4	5&6	7&8
	-----	-----	-----	---	-----	-----	---	-----	---	---	---	---
TOTAL	400	158	159	83	235	165	303	97	107	94	97	102
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
FAVOR	388	155	155	78	228	160	296	92	104	91	96	97
-----	97%	98%	97%	94%	97%	97%	98%	95%	97%	97%	99%	95%
Excellent	207	80	83	44	114	93	160	47	56	55	46	50
	52%	51%	52%	53%	49%	56%	53%	48%	52%	59%	47%	49%
Good	181	75	72	34	114	67	136	45	48	36	50	47
	45%	47%	45%	41%	49%	41%	45%	46%	45%	38%	52%	46%
UNFAVOR	5	2	3	-	2	3	3	2	3	1	-	1
-----	1%	1%	2%		1%	2%	1%	2%	3%	1%		1%
Only fair	4	1	3	-	2	2	3	1	2	1	-	1
	1%	1%	2%		1%	1%	1%	1%	2%	1%		1%
Poor	1	1	-	-	-	1	-	1	1	-	-	-
	*	1%				1%		1%	1%			
UNSURE	7	1	1	5ABC	5	2	4	3	-	2	1	4I
-----	2%	1%	1%	6%	2%	1%	1%	3%		2%	1%	4%

(sig=.05) (all_pairs) columns tested T=ABCD, T=AEF, T=AGH, T=AIJKL
 Note: Percentage less than 0.5 printed as *.

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns I
Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 027
Q14. City recreation facilities?

	<-RESIDENTIAL LONGEV->				<EXPECTED LONGEVITY->			<-TYPE OF HOUSEHOLD-->			<--GENDER-->	
	TOTAL	Less 10 Yrs	10-30 Years	Over 30 Years	Less 5 Yrs	5-10 Years	Over 10 Yrs	Senior	Child	Empty Nest	Male	Female
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
TOTAL	400	138	178	84	35	30	335	155	108	292	192	208
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
FAVOR	387DK	133	177ABD	77	32	30	325	147	106	281	182	205AK
-----	97%	96%	99%	92%	91%	100%	97%	95%	98%	96%	95%	99%
Excellent	156H	57	73	26	17	9	130	46	45H	111	69	87
	39%	41%	41%	31%	49%	30%	39%	30%	42%	38%	36%	42%
Good	231	76	104	51	15	21E	195	101A	61	170	113	118
	58%	55%	58%	61%	43%	70%	58%	65%	56%	58%	59%	57%
UNFAVOR	1G	-	1	-	1AG	-	-	1	1	-	1	-
-----	*		1%		3%			1%	1%		1%	
Only fair	1G	-	1	-	1AG	-	-	1	1	-	1	-
	*		1%		3%			1%	1%		1%	
Poor	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
UNSURE	12C	5C	-	7AC	2	-	10	7	1	11	9	3
-----	3%	4%		8%	6%		3%	5%	1%	4%	5%	1%

(sig=.05) (all_pairs) columns tested T=ABCD, T=AEFG, T=AHIJ, T=AKL
Note: Percentage less than 0.5 printed as *.

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns II
 Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 028
 Q14. City recreation facilities?

	TOTAL	<-AGE OF RESPONDENT->			<-KNOW GOVT-> Great Very /Fair Little		<RESIDENCE>		<--PRECINCT OF RESIDENCE-->			
		18-44	45-64	65+			Own	Rent	1&2	3&4	5&6	7&8
	-----	-----	-----	---	-----	-----	---	-----	---	---	---	---
TOTAL	400	158	159	83	235	165	303	97	107	94	97	102
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
FAVOR	387	155	153	79	228	159	296	91	102	92	95	98
-----	97%	98%	96%	95%	97%	96%	98%	94%	95%	98%	98%	96%
Excellent	156I	72AD	59	25	96	60	121	35	32	48AIK	33	43
	39%	46%	37%	30%	41%	36%	40%	36%	30%	51%	34%	42%
Good	231J	83	94	54	132	99	175	56	70J	44	62J	55
	58%	53%	59%	65%	56%	60%	58%	58%	65%	47%	64%	54%
UNFAVOR	1	1	-	-	-	1	-	1	1	-	-	-
-----	*	1%				1%		1%	1%			
Only fair	1	1	-	-	-	1	-	1	1	-	-	-
	*	1%				1%		1%	1%			
Poor	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
UNSURE	12	2	6	4	7	5	7	5	4	2	2	4
-----	3%	1%	4%	5%	3%	3%	2%	5%	4%	2%	2%	4%

(sig=.05) (all_pairs) columns tested T=ABCD, T=AEF, T=AGH, T=AIJKL
 Note: Percentage less than 0.5 printed as *.

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns I
Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 029

Q15. City-sponsored recreation programs?

	<-RESIDENTIAL LONGEV->				<EXPECTED LONGEVITY->			<-TYPE OF HOUSEHOLD-->			<--GENDER-->	
	TOTAL	Less 10 Yrs	10-30 Years	Over 30 Years	Less 5 Yrs	5-10 Years	Over 10 Yrs	Senior	Child	Empty Nest	Male	Female
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
TOTAL	400	138	178	84	35	30	335	155	108	292	192	208
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
FAVOR	372DHJ	130D	176ABD	66	32	30	310	136	105AHJ	267	177	195
-----	93%	94%	99%	79%	91%	100%	93%	88%	97%	91%	92%	94%
Excellent	163DH	59D	82D	22	18	11	134	53	46	117	74	89
	41%	43%	46%	26%	51%	37%	40%	34%	43%	40%	39%	43%
Good	209	71	94	44	14	19	176	83	59	150	103	106
	52%	51%	53%	52%	40%	63%	53%	54%	55%	51%	54%	51%
UNFAVOR	1G	-	1	-	1AG	-	-	1	1	-	1	-
-----	*		1%		3%			1%	1%		1%	
Only fair	1G	-	1	-	1AG	-	-	1	1	-	1	-
	*		1%		3%			1%	1%		1%	
Poor	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
UNSURE	27CI	8C	1	18ABC	2	-	25	18AI	2	25AI	14	13
-----	7%	6%	1%	21%	6%		7%	12%	2%	9%	7%	6%

(sig=.05) (all_pairs) columns tested T=ABCD, T=AEFG, T=AHIJ, T=AKL
Note: Percentage less than 0.5 printed as *.

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns II
 Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 030

Q15. City-sponsored recreation programs?

	<-AGE OF RESPONDENT->				<-KNOW GOVT->		<RESIDENCE>		<--PRECINCT OF RESIDENCE-->			
	TOTAL	18-44	45-64	65+	Great /Fair	Very Little	Own	Rent	1&2	3&4	5&6	7&8
	-----	-----	-----	----	-----	-----	---	-----	---	---	---	---
TOTAL	400	158	159	83	235	165	303	97	107	94	97	102
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
FAVOR	372D	153AD	146	73	222	150	282	90	97	87	91	97
-----	93%	97%	92%	88%	94%	91%	93%	93%	91%	93%	94%	95%
Excellent	163DK	69D	70D	24	91	72	128	35	42	52AIKL	30	39
	41%	44%	44%	29%	39%	44%	42%	36%	39%	55%	31%	38%
Good	209J	84	76	49	131	78	154	55	55J	35	61AJ	58J
	52%	53%	48%	59%	56%	47%	51%	57%	51%	37%	63%	57%
UNFAVOR	1	1	-	-	-	1	-	1	1	-	-	-
-----	*	1%				1%		1%	1%			
Only fair	1	1	-	-	-	1	-	1	1	-	-	-
	*	1%				1%		1%	1%			
Poor	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
UNSURE	27B	4	13B	10AB	13	14	21	6	9	7	6	5
-----	7%	3%	8%	12%	6%	8%	7%	6%	8%	7%	6%	5%

(sig=.05) (all_pairs) columns tested T=ABCD, T=AEF, T=AGH, T=AIJKL
 Note: Percentage less than 0.5 printed as *.

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns I
 Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 031
 Q16. Neighborhood Watch programs?

	TOTAL	<-RESIDENTIAL LONGEV->			<EXPECTED LONGEVITY->			<-TYPE OF HOUSEHOLD-->			<--GENDER-->	
		Less 10 Yrs	10-30 Years	Over 30 Years	Less 5 Yrs	5-10 Years	Over 10 Yrs	Senior	Child	Empty Nest	Male	Female
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
TOTAL	400	138	178	84	35	30	335	155	108	292	192	208
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
FAVOR	328D	116D	153D	59	31	26	271	125	94	234	157	171
-----	82%	84%	86%	70%	89%	87%	81%	81%	87%	80%	82%	82%
Excellent	111K	39	55	17	10	11	90	48	29	82	41	70AK
	28%	28%	31%	20%	29%	37%	27%	31%	27%	28%	21%	34%
Good	217L	77	98	42	21	15	181	77	65	152	116AL	101
	54%	56%	55%	50%	60%	50%	54%	50%	60%	52%	60%	49%
UNFAVOR	24	6	12	6	-	1	23	12	4	20	12	12
-----	6%	4%	7%	7%		3%	7%	8%	4%	7%	6%	6%
Only fair	14	3	7	4	-	1	13	7	2	12	5	9
	4%	2%	4%	5%		3%	4%	5%	2%	4%	3%	4%
Poor	10	3	5	2	-	-	10	5	2	8	7	3
	3%	2%	3%	2%			3%	3%	2%	3%	4%	1%
UNSURE	48C	16	13	19ABC	4	3	41	18	10	38	23	25
-----	12%	12%	7%	23%	11%	10%	12%	12%	9%	13%	12%	12%

 (sig=.05) (all_pairs) columns tested T=ABCD, T=AEFG, T=AHIJ, T=AKL

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns II
 Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 032
 Q16. Neighborhood Watch programs?

	TOTAL	<-AGE OF RESPONDENT->			<-KNOW GOVT-> Great Very /Fair Little		<RESIDENCE>		<--PRECINCT OF RESIDENCE-->			
		18-44	45-64	65+	Great /Fair	Very Little	Own	Rent	1&2	3&4	5&6	7&8
	-----	-----	-----	----	-----	-----	---	-----	---	---	---	---
TOTAL	400 100% %	158 100% %	159 100% %	83 100% %	235 100% %	165 100% %	303 100% %	97 100% %	107 100% %	94 100% %	97 100% %	102 100% %
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
FAVOR	328HJ 82%	131 83%	128 81%	69 83%	194 83%	134 81%	257AH 85%	71 73%	90 84%	70 74%	87AJL 90%	81 79%
Excellent	111 28%	45 28%	42 26%	24 29%	66 28%	45 27%	85 28%	26 27%	39AJK 36%	20 21%	20 21%	32 31%
Good	217 54%	86 54%	86 54%	45 54%	128 54%	89 54%	172 57%	45 46%	51 48%	50 53%	67AIJL 69%	49 48%
UNFAVOR	24 6%	6 4%	13 8%	5 6%	13 6%	11 7%	19 6%	5 5%	4 4%	8 9%	4 4%	8 8%
Only fair	14 4%	3 2%	9 6%	2 2%	7 3%	7 4%	10 3%	4 4%	2 2%	7AK 7%	1 1%	4 4%
Poor	10 3%	3 2%	4 3%	3 4%	6 3%	4 2%	9 3%	1 1%	2 2%	1 1%	3 3%	4 4%
UNSURE	48GK 12%	21 13%	18 11%	9 11%	28 12%	20 12%	27 9%	21AG 22%	13 12%	16K 17%	6 6%	13 13%

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns I
Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 033
Q17. Animal control?

	TOTAL	<-RESIDENTIAL LONGEV->			<EXPECTED LONGEVITY->			<-TYPE OF HOUSEHOLD-->			<--GENDER-->	
		Less 10 Yrs	10-30 Years	Over 30 Years	Less 5 Yrs	5-10 Years	Over 10 Yrs	Senior	Child	Empty Nest	Male	Female
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
TOTAL	400	138	178	84	35	30	335	155	108	292	192	208
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
FAVOR	365D	129D	165D	71	31	30	304	139	101	264	175	190
-----	91%	93%	93%	85%	89%	100%	91%	90%	94%	90%	91%	91%
Excellent	108	43	45	20	9	9	90	40	35	73	48	60
	27%	31%	25%	24%	26%	30%	27%	26%	32%	25%	25%	29%
Good	257	86	120	51	22	21	214	99	66	191	127	130
	64%	62%	67%	61%	63%	70%	64%	64%	61%	65%	66%	63%
UNFAVOR	21	5	6	10ABC	-	-	21A	10	5	16	11	10
-----	5%	4%	3%	12%			6%	6%	5%	5%	6%	5%
Only fair	16	3	6	7AB	-	-	16	8	3	13	8	8
	4%	2%	3%	8%			5%	5%	3%	4%	4%	4%
Poor	5C	2	-	3AC	-	-	5	2	2	3	3	2
	1%	1%		4%			1%	1%	2%	1%	2%	1%
UNSURE	14	4	7	3	4AG	-	10	6	2	12	6	8
-----	4%	3%	4%	4%	11%		3%	4%	2%	4%	3%	4%

(sig=.05) (all_pairs) columns tested T=ABCD, T=AEFG, T=AHIJ, T=AKL

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns II
 Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 034
 Q17. Animal control?

	TOTAL	<-AGE OF RESPONDENT->			<-KNOW GOV'T-> Great Very /Fair Little		<RESIDENCE>		<--PRECINCT OF RESIDENCE-->			
		18-44	45-64	65+	Great /Fair	Very Little	Own	Rent	1&2	3&4	5&6	7&8
	-----	-----	-----	---	-----	-----	---	-----	---	---	---	---
TOTAL	400 100% %	158 100% %	159 100% %	83 100% %	235 100% %	165 100% %	303 100% %	97 100% %	107 100% %	94 100% %	97 100% %	102 100% %
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
FAVOR	365I 91%	150A 95%	142 89%	73 88%	215 91%	150 91%	278 92%	87 90%	92 86%	88 94%	92I 95%	93 91%
Excellent	108 27%	50 32%	38 24%	20 24%	61 26%	47 28%	75 25%	33 34%	30 28%	29 31%	25 26%	24 24%
Good	257H 64%	100 63%	104 65%	53 64%	154 66%	103 62%	203AH 67%	54 56%	62 58%	59 63%	67 69%	69 68%
UNFAVOR	21B 5%	4 3%	10 6%	7B 8%	12 5%	9 5%	17 6%	4 4%	7 7%	5 5%	4 4%	5 5%
Only fair	16B 4%	2 1%	7 4%	7AB 8%	11 5%	5 3%	14 5%	2 2%	4 4%	4 4%	3 3%	5 5%
Poor	5 1%	2 1%	3 2%	-	1 *	4 2%	3 1%	2 2%	3 3%	1 1%	1 1%	-
UNSURE	14 4%	4 3%	7 4%	3 4%	8 3%	6 4%	8 3%	6 6%	8AJK 7%	1 1%	1 1%	4 4%

(sig=.05) (all_pairs) columns tested T=ABCD, T=AEF, T=AGH, T=AIJKL
 Note: Percentage less than 0.5 printed as *.

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns I
 Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 035

Q18. Communications, such as newsletters, cable television and media coverage?

	<-RESIDENTIAL LONGEV->				<EXPECTED LONGEVITY->			<-TYPE OF HOUSEHOLD-->			<--GENDER-->	
	TOTAL	Less 10 Yrs	10-30 Years	Over 30 Years	Less 5 Yrs	5-10 Years	Over 10 Yrs	Senior	Child	Empty Nest	Male	Female
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
TOTAL	400	138	178	84	35	30	335	155	108	292	192	208
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
FAVOR	373	127	169	77	33	30	310	142	103	270	179	194
-----	93%	92%	95%	92%	94%	100%	93%	92%	95%	92%	93%	93%
Excellent	157	54	76	27	17	13	127	59	41	116	71	86
	39%	39%	43%	32%	49%	43%	38%	38%	38%	40%	37%	41%
Good	216	73	93	50	16	17	183	83	62	154	108	108
	54%	53%	52%	60%	46%	57%	55%	54%	57%	53%	56%	52%
UNFAVOR	6L	1	1	4ABC	-	-	6	3	1	5	6AL	-
-----	2%	1%	1%	5%			2%	2%	1%	2%	3%	
Only fair	5L	1	1	3A	-	-	5	2	1	4	5AL	-
	1%	1%	1%	4%			1%	1%	1%	1%	3%	
Poor	1	-	-	1	-	-	1	1	-	1	1	-
	*			1%			*	1%		*	1%	
UNSURE	21	10	8	3	2	-	19	10	4	17	7	14
-----	5%	7%	4%	4%	6%		6%	6%	4%	6%	4%	7%

(sig=.05) (all_pairs) columns tested T=ABCD, T=AEFG, T=AHIJ, T=AKL
 Note: Percentage less than 0.5 printed as *.

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns II
Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 036

Q18. Communications, such as newsletters, cable television and media coverage?

	TOTAL	<-AGE OF RESPONDENT->			<-KNOW GOVT-> Great Very /Fair Little		<RESIDENCE>		<--PRECINCT OF RESIDENCE-->			
		18-44	45-64	65+			Own	Rent	1&2	3&4	5&6	7&8
	-----	-----	-----	---	-----	-----	---	-----	---	---	---	---
TOTAL	400	158	159	83	235	165	303	97	107	94	97	102
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
FAVOR	373FH	148	150	75	229AF	144	293AH	80	100	90	90	93
-----	93%	94%	94%	90%	97%	87%	97%	82%	93%	96%	93%	91%
Excellent	157	63	61	33	96	61	125	32	44	42	31	40
	39%	40%	38%	40%	41%	37%	41%	33%	41%	45%	32%	39%
Good	216	85	89	42	133	83	168	48	56	48	59	53
	54%	54%	56%	51%	57%	50%	55%	49%	52%	51%	61%	52%
UNFAVOR	6	1	4	1	2	4	6	-	1	1	3	1
-----	2%	1%	3%	1%	1%	2%	2%		1%	1%	3%	1%
Only fair	5	1	4	-	1	4	5	-	1	1	3	-
	1%	1%	3%		*	2%	2%		1%	1%	3%	
Poor	1	-	-	1	1	-	1	-	-	-	-	1
	*			1%	*		*					1%
UNSURE	21EG	9	5	7	4	17AE	4	17AG	6	3	4	8
-----	5%	6%	3%	8%	2%	10%	1%	18%	6%	3%	4%	8%

(sig=.05) (all_pairs) columns tested T=ABCD, T=AEF, T=AGH, T=AIJKL
Note: Percentage less than 0.5 printed as *.

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns I
Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 037
Q19. Street lighting?

	TOTAL	<-RESIDENTIAL LONGEV->			<EXPECTED LONGEVITY->			<-TYPE OF HOUSEHOLD-->			<--GENDER-->	
		Less 10 Yrs	10-30 Years	Over 30 Years	Less 5 Yrs	5-10 Years	Over 10 Yrs	Senior	Child	Empty Nest	Male	Female
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
TOTAL	400	138	178	84	35	30	335	155	108	292	192	208
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
FAVOR	362	127	162	73	34	29	299	138	99	263	179	183
-----	91%	92%	91%	87%	97%	97%	89%	89%	92%	90%	93%	88%
Excellent	128	43	61	24	11	9	108	48	30	98	65	63
	32%	31%	34%	29%	31%	30%	32%	31%	28%	34%	34%	30%
Good	234	84	101	49	23	20	191	90	69	165	114	120
	59%	61%	57%	58%	66%	67%	57%	58%	64%	57%	59%	58%
UNFAVOR	35K	10	15	10	-	1	34AE	16	8	27	11	24AK
-----	9%	7%	8%	12%		3%	10%	10%	7%	9%	6%	12%
Only fair	28K	7	13	8	-	1	27	14	5	23	7	21AK
	7%	5%	7%	10%		3%	8%	9%	5%	8%	4%	10%
Poor	7	3	2	2	-	-	7	2	3	4	4	3
	2%	2%	1%	2%			2%	1%	3%	1%	2%	1%
UNSURE	3	1	1	1	1	-	2	1	1	2	2	1
-----	1%	1%	1%	1%	3%		1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	*

(sig=.05) (all_pairs) columns tested T=ABCD, T=AEFG, T=AHIJ, T=AKL
Note: Percentage less than 0.5 printed as *.

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns II
 Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 038
 Q19. Street lighting?

	TOTAL	<-AGE OF RESPONDENT->			<-KNOW GOVT-> Great Very /Fair Little		<RESIDENCE>		<--PRECINCT OF RESIDENCE-->			
		18-44	45-64	65+			Own	Rent	1&2	3&4	5&6	7&8
	-----	-----	-----	----	-----	-----	----	-----	----	----	----	----
TOTAL	400 100% %	158 100% %	159 100% %	83 100% %	235 100% %	165 100% %	303 100% %	97 100% %	107 100% %	94 100% %	97 100% %	102 100% %
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
FAVOR -----	362F 91%	149AC 94%	140 88%	73 88%	219AF 93%	143 87%	271 89%	91 94%	96 90%	83 88%	87 90%	96 94%
Excellent	128 32%	53 34%	45 28%	30 36%	81 34%	47 28%	99 33%	29 30%	32 30%	27 29%	39A 40%	30 29%
Good	234K 59%	96 61%	95 60%	43 52%	138 59%	96 58%	172 57%	62 64%	64 60%	56 60%	48 49%	66K 65%
UNFAVOR -----	35B 9%	7 4%	19B 12%	9 11%	16 7%	19 12%	30 10%	5 5%	10 9%	11 12%	9 9%	5 5%
Only fair	28B 7%	4 3%	16B 10%	8B 10%	12 5%	16 10%	24 8%	4 4%	10 9%	10 11%	4 4%	4 4%
Poor	7 2%	3 2%	3 2%	1 1%	4 2%	3 2%	6 2%	1 1%	-	1 1%	5AI 5%	1 1%
UNSURE -----	3E 1%	2 1%	-	1 1%	-	3AE 2%	2 1%	1 1%	1 1%	-	1 1%	1 1%

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns I
 Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 039

20. Code enforcement for property maintenance?

	TOTAL	<-RESIDENTIAL LONGEV->			<EXPECTED LONGEVITY->			<-TYPE OF HOUSEHOLD-->			<--GENDER-->	
		Less 10 Yrs	10-30 Years	Over 30 Years	Less 5 Yrs	5-10 Years	Over 10 Yrs	Senior	Child	Empty Nest	Male	Female
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
TOTAL	400	138	178	84	35	30	335	155	108	292	192	208
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
FAVOR	374I	128	168	78	32	27	315	147I	95	279AI	183	191
-----	94%	93%	94%	93%	91%	90%	94%	95%	88%	96%	95%	92%
Excellent	144	52	60	32	13	8	123	47	41	103	69	75
	36%	38%	34%	38%	37%	27%	37%	30%	38%	35%	36%	36%
Good	230	76	108	46	19	19	192	100AI	54	176	114	116
	58%	55%	61%	55%	54%	63%	57%	65%	50%	60%	59%	56%
UNFAVOR	10B	-	5B	5AB	1	-	9	6	3	7	3	7
-----	3%		3%	6%	3%		3%	4%	3%	2%	2%	3%
Only fair	10B	-	5B	5AB	1	-	9	6	3	7	3	7
	3%		3%	6%	3%		3%	4%	3%	2%	2%	3%
Poor	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
UNSURE	16HJ	10AD	5	1	2	3	11	2	10AHJ	6	6	10
-----	4%	7%	3%	1%	6%	10%	3%	1%	9%	2%	3%	5%

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns II
 Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 040

20. Code enforcement for property maintenance?

	TOTAL	<-AGE OF RESPONDENT->			<-KNOW GOV'T-> Great Very /Fair Little		<RESIDENCE>		<--PRECINCT OF RESIDENCE-->			
		18-44	45-64	65+			Own	Rent	1&2	3&4	5&6	7&8
	-----	-----	-----	---	-----	-----	---	-----	---	---	---	---
TOTAL	400	158	159	83	235	165	303	97	107	94	97	102
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
FAVOR	374BH	143	155ABD	76	224	150	289AH	85	98	86	95AIJ	95
-----	94%	91%	97%	92%	95%	91%	95%	88%	92%	91%	98%	93%
Excellent	144	61	57	26	91	53	114	30	38	37	39	30
	36%	39%	36%	31%	39%	32%	38%	31%	36%	39%	40%	29%
Good	230	82	98	50	133	97	175	55	60	49	56	65
	58%	52%	62%	60%	57%	59%	58%	57%	56%	52%	58%	64%
UNFAVOR	10	2	2	6ABC	6	4	9	1	2	4	1	3
-----	3%	1%	1%	7%	3%	2%	3%	1%	2%	4%	1%	3%
Only fair	10	2	2	6ABC	6	4	9	1	2	4	1	3
	3%	1%	1%	7%	3%	2%	3%	1%	2%	4%	1%	3%
Poor	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
UNSURE	16CEG	13ACD	2	1	5	11AE	5	11AG	7K	4	1	4
-----	4%	8%	1%	1%	2%	7%	2%	11%	7%	4%	1%	4%

 (sig=.05) (all_pairs) columns tested T=ABCD, T=AEF, T=AGH, T=AIJKL

Tables Prepared by The Morris Leatherman Company

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns I
Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 041
Q21. Quality of city drinking water?

	<-RESIDENTIAL LONGEV->				<EXPECTED LONGEVITY->			<-TYPE OF HOUSEHOLD-->			<--GENDER-->	
	TOTAL	Less 10 Yrs	10-30 Years	Over 30 Years	Less 5 Yrs	5-10 Years	Over 10 Yrs	Senior	Child	Empty Nest	Male	Female
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
TOTAL	400	138	178	84	35	30	335	155	108	292	192	208
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
FAVOR	383BE	126	174B	83B	31	28	324AE	151	101	282	185	198
-----	96%	91%	98%	99%	89%	93%	97%	97%	94%	97%	96%	95%
Excellent	157	51	73	33	9	12	136	52	43	114	81	76
	39%	37%	41%	39%	26%	40%	41%	34%	40%	39%	42%	37%
Good	226	75	101	50	22	16	188	99A	58	168	104	122
	57%	54%	57%	60%	63%	53%	56%	64%	54%	58%	54%	59%
UNFAVOR	16C	12ACD	3	1	3	2	11	3	7	9	7	9
-----	4%	9%	2%	1%	9%	7%	3%	2%	6%	3%	4%	4%
Only fair	11C	9AC	1	1	2	1	8	3	4	7	4	7
	3%	7%	1%	1%	6%	3%	2%	2%	4%	2%	2%	3%
Poor	5	3	2	-	1	1	3	-	3H	2	3	2
	1%	2%	1%		3%	3%	1%		3%	1%	2%	1%
UNSURE	1G	-	1	-	1AG	-	-	1	-	1	-	1
-----	*		1%		3%			1%		*		*

(sig=.05) (all_pairs) columns tested T=ABCD, T=AEFG, T=AHIJ, T=AKL
Note: Percentage less than 0.5 printed as *.

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns II
 Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 042
 Q21. Quality of city drinking water?

	TOTAL	<-AGE OF RESPONDENT->			<-KNOW GOVT-> Great Very /Fair Little		<RESIDENCE>		<--PRECINCT OF RESIDENCE-->			
		18-44	45-64	65+			Own	Rent	1&2	3&4	5&6	7&8
	-----	-----	-----	---	-----	-----	---	-----	---	---	---	---
TOTAL	400	158	159	83	235	165	303	97	107	94	97	102
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
FAVOR	383	151	153	79	228	155	292	91	101	88	95	99
-----	96%	96%	96%	95%	97%	94%	96%	94%	94%	94%	98%	97%
Excellent	157	66	63	28	97	60	121	36	36	43	44	34
	39%	42%	40%	34%	41%	36%	40%	37%	34%	46%	45%	33%
Good	226	85	90	51	131	95	171	55	65	45	51	65J
	57%	54%	57%	61%	56%	58%	56%	57%	61%	48%	53%	64%
UNFAVOR	16	7	6	3	7	9	11	5	6	6	2	2
-----	4%	4%	4%	4%	3%	5%	4%	5%	6%	6%	2%	2%
Only fair	11	4	4	3	5	6	7	4	5	3	1	2
	3%	3%	3%	4%	2%	4%	2%	4%	5%	3%	1%	2%
Poor	5	3	2	-	2	3	4	1	1	3	1	-
	1%	2%	1%		1%	2%	1%	1%	1%	3%	1%	
UNSURE	1	-	-	1	-	1	-	1	-	-	-	1
-----	*			1%		1%		1%				1%

(sig=.05) (all_pairs) columns tested T=ABCD, T=AEF, T=AGH, T=AIJKL
 Note: Percentage less than 0.5 printed as *.

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns I
Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 043

Q22. Dependability of city drinking water?

	TOTAL	<-RESIDENTIAL LONGEV->			<EXPECTED LONGEVITY->			<-TYPE OF HOUSEHOLD-->			<--GENDER-->	
		Less 10 Yrs	10-30 Years	Over 30 Years	Less 5 Yrs	5-10 Years	Over 10 Yrs	Senior	Child	Empty Nest	Male	Female
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
TOTAL	400	138	178	84	35	30	335	155	108	292	192	208
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
FAVOR	394	136	174	84	34	30	330	153	106	288	190	204
-----	99%	99%	98%	100%	97%	100%	99%	99%	98%	99%	99%	98%
Excellent	186B	54	87	45B	14	13	159	77	46	140	91	95
	47%	39%	49%	54%	40%	43%	47%	50%	43%	48%	47%	46%
Good	208	82A	87	39	20	17	171	76	60	148	99	109
	52%	59%	49%	46%	57%	57%	51%	49%	56%	51%	52%	52%
UNFAVOR	5	2	3	-	-	-	5	1	2	3	2	3
-----	1%	1%	2%				1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	1%
Only fair	4	2	2	-	-	-	4	1	1	3	1	3
	1%	1%	1%				1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%
Poor	1	-	1	-	-	-	1	-	1	-	1	-
	*		1%				*		1%		1%	
UNSURE	1G	-	1	-	1AG	-	-	1	-	1	-	1
-----	*		1%		3%			1%		*		*

(sig=.05) (all_pairs) columns tested T=ABCD, T=AEFG, T=AHIJ, T=AKL
Note: Percentage less than 0.5 printed as *.

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns II
 Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 044

Q22. Dependability of city drinking water?

	<-AGE OF RESPONDENT->			<-KNOW GOVT->		<RESIDENCE>		<--PRECINCT OF RESIDENCE-->				
	TOTAL	18-44	45-64	65+	Great /Fair	Very Little	Own	Rent	1&2	3&4	5&6	7&8
	-----	-----	-----	----	-----	-----	---	-----	---	---	---	---
TOTAL	400	158	159	83	235	165	303	97	107	94	97	102
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
FAVOR	394	156	157	81	231	163	298	96	106	93	94	101
-----	99%	99%	99%	98%	98%	99%	98%	99%	99%	99%	97%	99%
Excellent	186	70	76	40	114	72	149	37	44	54AIL	45	43
	47%	44%	48%	48%	49%	44%	49%	38%	41%	57%	46%	42%
Good	208GJ	86	81	41	117	91	149	59AG	62J	39	49	58J
	52%	54%	51%	49%	50%	55%	49%	61%	58%	41%	51%	57%
UNFAVOR	5	2	2	1	4	1	5	-	1	1	3	-
-----	1%	1%	1%	1%	2%	1%	2%		1%	1%	3%	
Only fair	4	1	2	1	3	1	4	-	1	-	3A	-
	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%		1%		3%	
Poor	1	1	-	-	1	-	1	-	-	1	-	-
	*	1%			*		*			1%		
UNSURE	1	-	-	1	-	1	-	1	-	-	-	1
-----	*			1%		1%		1%				1%

(sig=.05) (all_pairs) columns tested T=ABCD, T=AEF, T=AGH, T=AIJKL
 Note: Percentage less than 0.5 printed as *.

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns I
Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 045

Q23. Quality of sanitary sewer service?

	<-RESIDENTIAL LONGEV->				<EXPECTED LONGEVITY->			<-TYPE OF HOUSEHOLD-->			<--GENDER-->	
	TOTAL	Less 10 Yrs	10-30 Years	Over 30 Years	Less 5 Yrs	5-10 Years	Over 10 Yrs	Senior	Child	Empty Nest	Male	Female
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
TOTAL	400	138	178	84	35	30	335	155	108	292	192	208
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
FAVOR	399	137	178	84	35	30	334	155	108	291	192	207
-----	100%	99%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Excellent	160	47	83AB	30	15	11	134	59	38	122	82	78
	40%	34%	47%	36%	43%	37%	40%	38%	35%	42%	43%	38%
Good	239C	90C	95	54	20	19	200	96	70	169	110	129
	60%	65%	53%	64%	57%	63%	60%	62%	65%	58%	57%	62%
UNFAVOR	1	1	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	1	-	1
-----	*	1%					*			*		*
Only fair	1	1	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	1	-	1
	*	1%					*			*		*
Poor	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
UNSURE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

(sig=.05) (all_pairs) columns tested T=ABCD, T=AEFG, T=AHIJ, T=AKL
Note: Percentage less than 0.5 printed as *.

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns II
Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 046

Q23. Quality of sanitary sewer service?

	<-AGE OF RESPONDENT->			<-KNOW GOVT->		<RESIDENCE>		<--PRECINCT OF RESIDENCE-->				
	TOTAL	18-44	45-64	65+	Great /Fair	Very Little	Own	Rent	1&2	3&4	5&6	7&8
	-----	-----	-----	---	-----	-----	---	-----	---	---	---	---
TOTAL	400	158	159	83	235	165	303	97	107	94	97	102
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
FAVOR	399	158	158	83	235	164	302	97	107	94	96	102
-----	100%	100%	99%	100%	100%	99%	100%	100%	100%	100%	99%	100%
Excellent	160	64	60	36	91	69	127	33	35	49AIL	39	37
	40%	41%	38%	43%	39%	42%	42%	34%	33%	52%	40%	36%
Good	239J	94	98	47	144	95	175	64	72J	45	57	65J
	60%	59%	62%	57%	61%	58%	58%	66%	67%	48%	59%	64%
UNFAVOR	1	-	1	-	-	1	1	-	-	-	1	-
-----	*		1%			1%	*				1%	
Only fair	1	-	1	-	-	1	1	-	-	-	1	-
	*		1%			1%	*				1%	
Poor	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
UNSURE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

(sig=.05) (all_pairs) columns tested T=ABCD, T=AEF, T=AGH, T=AIJKL
Note: Percentage less than 0.5 printed as *.

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns I
 Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 047

Q24. Dependability of sanitary sewer service?

	<-RESIDENTIAL LONGEV->				<EXPECTED LONGEVITY->			<-TYPE OF HOUSEHOLD-->			<--GENDER-->	
	TOTAL	Less 10 Yrs	10-30 Years	Over 30 Years	Less 5 Yrs	5-10 Years	Over 10 Yrs	Senior	Child	Empty Nest	Male	Female
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
TOTAL	400	138	178	84	35	30	335	155	108	292	192	208
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
FAVOR	399	137	178	84	35	30	334	155	108	291	192	207
-----	100%	99%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Excellent	161BF	46	76	39	14	6	141F	69	42	119	80	81
	40%	33%	43%	46%	40%	20%	42%	45%	39%	41%	42%	39%
Good	238	91	102	45	21	24AG	193	86	66	172	112	126
	60%	66%	57%	54%	60%	80%	58%	55%	61%	59%	58%	61%
UNFAVOR	1	1	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	1	-	1
-----	*	1%					*			*		*
Only fair	1	1	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	1	-	1
	*	1%					*			*		*
Poor	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
UNSURE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

(sig=.05) (all_pairs) columns tested T=ABCD, T=AEFG, T=AHIJ, T=AKL
 Note: Percentage less than 0.5 printed as *.

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns II
 Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 048

Q24. Dependability of sanitary sewer service?

	<-AGE OF RESPONDENT->			<-KNOW GOVT->		<RESIDENCE>		<--PRECINCT OF RESIDENCE-->				
	TOTAL	18-44	45-64	65+	Great /Fair	Very Little	Own	Rent	1&2	3&4	5&6	7&8
	-----	-----	-----	---	-----	-----	---	-----	---	---	---	---
TOTAL	400	158	159	83	235	165	303	97	107	94	97	102
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
FAVOR	399	158	158	83	235	164	302	97	107	94	96	102
-----	100%	100%	99%	100%	100%	99%	100%	100%	100%	100%	99%	100%
Excellent	161L	63	64	34	91	70	122	39	42	49AL	42L	28
	40%	40%	40%	41%	39%	42%	40%	40%	39%	52%	43%	27%
Good	238J	95	94	49	144	94	180	58	65	45	54	74AJK
	60%	60%	59%	59%	61%	57%	59%	60%	61%	48%	56%	73%
UNFAVOR	1	-	1	-	-	1	1	-	-	-	1	-
-----	*		1%			1%	*				1%	
Only fair	1	-	1	-	-	1	1	-	-	-	1	-
	*		1%			1%	*				1%	
Poor	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
UNSURE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

(sig=.05) (all_pairs) columns tested T=ABCD, T=AEF, T=AGH, T=AIJKL
 Note: Percentage less than 0.5 printed as *.

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns I
Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 049

Q25. City street repair and maintenance?

	<-RESIDENTIAL LONGEV->				<EXPECTED LONGEVITY->			<-TYPE OF HOUSEHOLD-->			<--GENDER-->	
	TOTAL	Less 10 Yrs	10-30 Years	Over 30 Years	Less 5 Yrs	5-10 Years	Over 10 Yrs	Senior	Child	Empty Nest	Male	Female
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
TOTAL	399	138	177	84	34	30	335	154	108	291	192	207
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
FAVOR	335DH	119D	153D	63	31	26	278	118	88	247H	164	171
-----	84%	86%	86%	75%	91%	87%	83%	77%	81%	85%	85%	83%
Excellent	92	31	43	18	8	7	77	32	21	71	42	50
	23%	22%	24%	21%	24%	23%	23%	21%	19%	24%	22%	24%
Good	243	88	110	45	23	19	201	86	67	176	122	121
	61%	64%	62%	54%	68%	63%	60%	56%	62%	60%	64%	58%
UNFAVOR	64	19	24	21ABC	3	4	57	36AJ	20	44	28	36
-----	16%	14%	14%	25%	9%	13%	17%	23%	19%	15%	15%	17%
Only fair	38	11	14	13A	2	2	34	24AJ	11	27	16	22
	10%	8%	8%	15%	6%	7%	10%	16%	10%	9%	8%	11%
Poor	26	8	10	8	1	2	23	12	9	17	12	14
	7%	6%	6%	10%	3%	7%	7%	8%	8%	6%	6%	7%
UNSURE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

(sig=.05) (all_pairs) columns tested T=ABCD, T=AEFG, T=AHIJ, T=AKL

Tables Prepared by The Morris Leatherman Company

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns II
 Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 050

Q25. City street repair and maintenance?

	TOTAL	<-AGE OF RESPONDENT->			<-KNOW GOVT-> Great Very /Fair Little		<RESIDENCE>		<--PRECINCT OF RESIDENCE-->			
		18-44	45-64	65+			Own	Rent	1&2	3&4	5&6	7&8
	-----	-----	-----	---	-----	-----	---	-----	---	---	---	---
TOTAL	399	158	159	82	235	164	303	96	107	94	97	101
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
FAVOR	335C	140AC	126	69	193	142	252	83	88	75	84	88
-----	84%	89%	79%	84%	82%	87%	83%	86%	82%	80%	87%	87%
Excellent	92GI	40	32	20	60	32	61	31AG	17	18	31AIJ	26
	23%	25%	20%	24%	26%	20%	20%	32%	16%	19%	32%	26%
Good	243E	100	94	49	133	110AE	191	52	71	57	53	62
	61%	63%	59%	60%	57%	67%	63%	54%	66%	61%	55%	61%
UNFAVOR	64B	18	33AB	13	42	22	51	13	19	19	13	13
-----	16%	11%	21%	16%	18%	13%	17%	14%	18%	20%	13%	13%
Only fair	38K	11	18	9	22	16	27	11	11	13K	4	10
	10%	7%	11%	11%	9%	10%	9%	11%	10%	14%	4%	10%
Poor	26H	7	15	4	20	6	24AH	2	8	6	9	3
	7%	4%	9%	5%	9%	4%	8%	2%	7%	6%	9%	3%
UNSURE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

(sig=.05) (all_pairs) columns tested T=ABCD, T=AEF, T=AGH, T=AIJKL

Tables Prepared by The Morris Leatherman Company

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns I
Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 051
Q26. Snow plowing?

	TOTAL	<-RESIDENTIAL LONGEV->			<EXPECTED LONGEVITY->			<-TYPE OF HOUSEHOLD-->			<--GENDER-->	
		Less 10 Yrs	10-30 Years	Over 30 Years	Less 5 Yrs	5-10 Years	Over 10 Yrs	Senior	Child	Empty Nest	Male	Female
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
TOTAL	398	138	176	84	34	30	334	153	108	290	191	207
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
FAVOR	389DH	136	174D	79	34	29	326	146	107	282	187	202
-----	98%	99%	99%	94%	100%	97%	98%	95%	99%	97%	98%	98%
Excellent	135	44	62	29	9	13	113	50	36	99	70	65
	34%	32%	35%	35%	26%	43%	34%	33%	33%	34%	37%	31%
Good	254	92	112	50	25	16	213	96	71	183	117	137
	64%	67%	64%	60%	74%	53%	64%	63%	66%	63%	61%	66%
UNFAVOR	8	2	2	4A	-	1	7	6A	1	7	3	5
-----	2%	1%	1%	5%		3%	2%	4%	1%	2%	2%	2%
Only fair	8	2	2	4A	-	1	7	6A	1	7	3	5
	2%	1%	1%	5%		3%	2%	4%	1%	2%	2%	2%
Poor	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
UNSURE	1	-	-	1	-	-	1	1	-	1	1	-
-----	*			1%			*	1%		*	1%	

(sig=.05) (all_pairs) columns tested T=ABCD, T=AEFG, T=AHIJ, T=AKL
Note: Percentage less than 0.5 printed as *.

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns II
Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 052
Q26. Snow plowing?

	TOTAL	<-AGE OF RESPONDENT->			<-KNOW GOV'T->		<RESIDENCE>		<--PRECINCT OF RESIDENCE-->			
		18-44	45-64	65+	Great /Fair	Very Little	Own	Rent	1&2	3&4	5&6	7&8
	-----	-----	-----	---	-----	-----	---	-----	---	---	---	---
TOTAL	398 100% %	157 100% %	159 100% %	82 100% %	234 100% %	164 100% %	302 100% %	96 100% %	106 100% %	94 100% %	97 100% %	101 100% %
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
FAVOR	389D 98%	155D 99%	159AD 100%	75 91%	230 98%	159 97%	294 97%	95 99%	104 98%	90 96%	97J 100%	98 97%
Excellent	135 34%	52 33%	52 33%	31 38%	79 34%	56 34%	103 34%	32 33%	36 34%	29 31%	41AL 42%	29 29%
Good	254D 64%	103 66%	107D 67%	44 54%	151 65%	103 63%	191 63%	63 66%	68 64%	61 65%	56 58%	69 68%
UNFAVOR	8C 2%	2 1%	-	6ABC 7%	4 2%	4 2%	7 2%	1 1%	2 2%	4K 4%	-	2 2%
Only fair	8C 2%	2 1%	-	6ABC 7%	4 2%	4 2%	7 2%	1 1%	2 2%	4K 4%	-	2 2%
Poor	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
UNSURE	1 *	-	-	1A 1%	-	1 1%	1 *	-	-	-	-	1 1%

(sig=.05) (all_pairs) columns tested T=ABCD, T=AEF, T=AGH, T=AIJKL
Note: Percentage less than 0.5 printed as *.

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns I
Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 053

Q27. Why did you rate that service as only fair or poor?

	TOTAL	<-RESIDENTIAL LONGEV->			<EXPECTED LONGEVITY->			<-TYPE OF HOUSEHOLD-->			<--GENDER-->	
		Less 10 Yrs	10-30 Years	Over 30 Years	Less 5 Yrs	5-10 Years	Over 10 Yrs	Senior	Child	Empty Nest	Male	Female
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
TOTAL	139	44	54	41	8	8	123	65	37	102	65	74
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
Poor street repair	42	13	15	14	1	3	38	24	13	29	20	22
	30%	30%	28%	34%	13%	38%	31%	37%	35%	28%	31%	30%
More lights	21	7	11	3	-	1	20	8	6	15	6	15
	15%	16%	20%	7%		13%	16%	12%	16%	15%	9%	20%
More neighborhood watch	15	4	9	2	-	1	14	5	2	13	6	9
	11%	9%	17%	5%		13%	11%	8%	5%	13%	9%	12%
Poor water	14GH	9AD	4	1	3AG	2	9	2	5H	9	6	8
	10%	20%	7%	2%	38%	25%	7%	3%	14%	9%	9%	11%
Loose animal	12	3	3	6	-	-	12	6	3	9	7	5
	9%	7%	6%	15%			10%	9%	8%	9%	11%	7%
Slow service	7	4	2	1	-	1	6	2	3	4	2	5
	5%	9%	4%	2%		13%	5%	3%	8%	4%	3%	7%
Poor quality service	3	-	1	2	-	-	3	2	-	3	2	1
	2%		2%	5%			2%	3%		3%	3%	1%
More rec facilities	3	3A	-	-	1AG	-	2	1	1	2	2	1
	2%	7%			13%		2%	2%	3%	2%	3%	1%
Weekly recycling	2	-	-	2A	-	-	2	2	-	2	-	2
	1%			5%			2%	3%		2%		3%
Flooding	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Unsure	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Scattered	20BL	1	9B	10AB	3	-	17	13	4	16	14AL	6
	14%	2%	17%	24%	38%		14%	20%	11%	16%	22%	8%

(sig=.05) (all_pairs) columns tested T=ABCD, T=AEFG, T=AHIJ, T=AKL

Tables Prepared by The Morris Leatherman Company

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns II
 Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 054
 Q27. Why did you rate that service as only fair or poor?

	TOTAL	<-AGE OF RESPONDENT->			<-KNOW GOVT-> Great Very /Fair Little		<RESIDENCE>		<--PRECINCT OF RESIDENCE-->			
		18-44	45-64	65+	Great /Fair	Very Little	Own	Rent	1&2	3&4	5&6	7&8
	-----	-----	-----	----	-----	-----	---	-----	---	---	---	---
TOTAL	139 100% %	40 100% %	65 100% %	34 100% %	78 100% %	61 100% %	112 100% %	27 100% %	42 100% %	41 100% %	26 100% %	30 100% %
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
Poor street repair	42 30%	12 30%	22 34%	8 24%	27 35%	15 25%	35 31%	7 26%	15 36%	9 22%	9 35%	9 30%
More lights	21E 15%	5 13%	10 15%	6 18%	7 9%	14AE 23%	17 15%	4 15%	8 19%	7 17%	4 15%	2 7%
More neighborhood watch	15 11%	5 13%	9 14%	1 3%	10 13%	5 8%	11 10%	4 15%	3 7%	5 12%	1 4%	6 20%
Poor water	14 10%	6 15%	6 9%	2 6%	6 8%	8 13%	10 9%	4 15%	5 12%	4 10%	4 15%	1 3%
Loose animal	12 9%	3 8%	6 9%	3 9%	7 9%	5 8%	8 7%	4 15%	2 5%	3 7%	2 8%	5 17%
Slow service	7 5%	3 8%	2 3%	2 6%	6 8%	1 2%	6 5%	1 4%	3 7%	2 5%	1 4%	1 3%
Poor quality service	3 2%	-	1 2%	2 6%	3 4%	-	3 3%	-	-	2 5%	-	1 3%
More rec facilities	3E 2%	2 5%	-	1 3%	-	3AE 5%	2 2%	1 4%	3A 7%	-	-	-
Weekly recycling	2 1%	-	-	2A 6%	-	2 3%	2 2%	-	-	2A 5%	-	-
Flooding	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Unsure	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Scattered	20 14%	4 10%	9 14%	7 21%	12 15%	8 13%	18 16%	2 7%	3 7%	7 17%	5 19%	5 17%

(sig=.05) (all_pairs) columns tested T=ABCD, T=AEF, T=AGH, T=AIJKL

Tables Prepared by The Morris Leatherman Company

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns I
 Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 055

Q28. When you consider the property taxes you pay and the services you receive, how would you rate it?

	TOTAL	<-RESIDENTIAL LONGEV->			<EXPECTED LONGEVITY->			<-TYPE OF HOUSEHOLD-->			<--GENDER-->	
		Less 10 Yrs	10-30 Years	Over 30 Years	Less 5 Yrs	5-10 Years	Over 10 Yrs	Senior	Child	Empty Nest	Male	Female
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
TOTAL	400	138	178	84	35	30	335	155	108	292	192	208
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
FAVOR	368EL	126	167	75	28	26	314AE	138	98	270	184AL	184
-----	92%	91%	94%	89%	80%	87%	94%	89%	91%	92%	96%	88%
Excellent	48	24AD	19	5	2	4	42	15	15	33	26	22
	12%	17%	11%	6%	6%	13%	13%	10%	14%	11%	14%	11%
Good	320B	102	148B	70	26	22	272	123	83	237	158	162
	80%	74%	83%	83%	74%	73%	81%	79%	77%	81%	82%	78%
UNFAVOR	17	5	4	8AC	1	2	14	9	6	11	5	12
-----	4%	4%	2%	10%	3%	7%	4%	6%	6%	4%	3%	6%
Only fair	13	4	4	5	1	2	10	5	6	7	3	10
	3%	3%	2%	6%	3%	7%	3%	3%	6%	2%	2%	5%
Poor	4	1	-	3AC	-	-	4	4A	-	4	2	2
	1%	1%		4%			1%	3%		1%	1%	1%
UNSURE	15GK	7	7	1	6AG	2	7	8	4	11	3	12AK
-----	4%	5%	4%	1%	17%	7%	2%	5%	4%	4%	2%	6%

 (sig=.05) (all_pairs) columns tested T=ABCD, T=AEFG, T=AHIJ, T=AKL

Tables Prepared by The Morris Leatherman Company

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns II
 Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 056

Q28. When you consider the property taxes you pay and the services you receive, how would you rate it?

	<-AGE OF RESPONDENT->			<-KNOW GOV'T->		<RESIDENCE>		<--PRECINCT OF RESIDENCE-->				
	TOTAL	18-44	45-64	65+	Great /Fair	Very Little	Own	Rent	1&2	3&4	5&6	7&8
	-----	-----	-----	---	-----	-----	---	-----	---	---	---	---
TOTAL	400 100% %	158 100% %	159 100% %	83 100% %	235 100% %	165 100% %	303 100% %	97 100% %	107 100% %	94 100% %	97 100% %	102 100% %
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
FAVOR	368HI	146	149	73	220	148	286AH	82	92	89I	96AIL	91
-----	92%	92%	94%	88%	94%	90%	94%	85%	86%	95%	99%	89%
Excellent	48 12%	25 16%	17 11%	6 7%	23 10%	25 15%	32 11%	16 16%	14 13%	7 7%	12 12%	15 15%
Good	320FHI 80%	121 77%	132 83%	67 81%	197AF 84%	123 75%	254AH 84%	66 68%	78 73%	82AIL 87%	84IL 87%	76 75%
UNFAVOR	17K	4	6	7AB	11	6	16	1	8K	5K	-	4K
-----	4%	3%	4%	8%	5%	4%	5%	1%	7%	5%	-	4%
Only fair	13K 3%	4 3%	6 4%	3 4%	8 3%	5 3%	12 4%	1 1%	7AK 7%	2 2%	-	4K 4%
Poor	4 1%	-	-	4ABC 5%	3 1%	1 1%	4 1%	-	1 1%	3A 3%	-	-
UNSURE	15EGJ	8	4	3	4	11AE	1	14AG	7JK	-	1	7JK
-----	4%	5%	3%	4%	2%	7%	*	14%	7%	-	1%	7%

(sig=.05) (all_pairs) columns tested T=ABCD, T=AEF, T=AGH, T=AIJKL
 Note: Percentage less than 0.5 printed as *.

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns I
 Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 057

Q29. Would you favor an increase in city property taxes to maintain city services?

	<-RESIDENTIAL LONGEV->				<EXPECTED LONGEVITY->			<-TYPE OF HOUSEHOLD-->			<--GENDER-->	
	TOTAL	Less 10 Yrs	10-30 Years	Over 30 Years	Less 5 Yrs	5-10 Years	Over 10 Yrs	Senior	Child	Empty Nest	Male	Female
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
TOTAL	400	138	178	84	35	30	335	155	108	292	192	208
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
Favor	238DGH 60%	101ACD 73%	101D 57%	36 43%	21 60%	25AEG 83%	192 57%	60 39%	71H 66%	167H 57%	116 60%	122 59%
Oppose	141BF 35%	34 25%	64B 36%	43ABC 51%	11 31%	4 13%	126AF 38%	82AIJ 53%	32 30%	109 37%	69 36%	72 35%
Unsure	21B 5%	3 2%	13B 7%	5 6%	3 9%	1 3%	17 5%	13A 8%	5 5%	16 5%	7 4%	14 7%

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns II
 Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 058

Q29. Would you favor an increase in city property taxes to maintain city services?

	<-AGE OF RESPONDENT->				<-KNOW GOVT->		<RESIDENCE>		<--PRECINCT OF RESIDENCE-->			
	TOTAL	18-44	45-64	65+	Great /Fair	Very Little	Own	Rent	1&2	3&4	5&6	7&8
	-----	-----	-----	---	-----	-----	---	-----	---	---	---	---
TOTAL	400	158	159	83	235	165	303	97	107	94	97	102
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
Favor	238CDGI 60%	115ACD 73%	85 53%	38 46%	143 61%	95 58%	168 55%	70AG 72%	54 50%	51 54%	66AI 68%	67I 66%
Oppose	141BHL 35%	39 25%	64B 40%	38AB 46%	85 36%	56 34%	124AH 41%	17 18%	46KL 43%	43AKL 46%	27 28%	25 25%
Unsure	21BEGJ 5%	4 3%	10 6%	7B 8%	7 3%	14AE 8%	11 4%	10AG 10%	7J 7%	-	4J 4%	10AJ 10%

 (sig=.05) (all_pairs) columns tested T=ABCD, T=AEF, T=AGH, T=AIJKL

Tables Prepared by The Morris Leatherman Company

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns I
Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 059

Q30. What services would you be willing to see cut?

	<-RESIDENTIAL LONGEV->				<EXPECTED LONGEVITY->			<-TYPE OF HOUSEHOLD-->			<--GENDER-->	
	TOTAL	Less 10 Yrs	10-30 Years	Over 30 Years	Less 5 Yrs	5-10 Years	Over 10 Yrs	Senior	Child	Empty Nest	Male	Female
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
TOTAL	396	137	177	82	35	30	331	153	107	289	190	206
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
Creating comm connects	72I	27	26	19	4	7	61	23	11	61AI	32	40
	18%	20%	15%	23%	11%	23%	18%	15%	10%	21%	17%	19%
Maint and improv infra	196B	58	96B	42	22	12	162	82	58	138	98	98
	49%	42%	54%	51%	63%	40%	49%	54%	54%	48%	52%	48%
Improving public safety	61	19	30	12	4	4	53	26	16	45	34	27
	15%	14%	17%	15%	11%	13%	16%	17%	15%	16%	18%	13%
Economic development	38HJK	19AD	15	4	3	5	30	9	16AHJ	22	12	26AK
	10%	14%	8%	5%	9%	17%	9%	6%	15%	8%	6%	13%
Hous dev/high traf neighs	19	9	8	2	-	2	17	8	5	14	6	13
	5%	7%	5%	2%		7%	5%	5%	5%	5%	3%	6%
Something else	1	1	-	-	-	-	1	1	-	1	-	1
	*	1%					*	1%		*		*
Unsure	9L	4	2	3	2	-	7	4	1	8	8AL	1
	2%	3%	1%	4%	6%		2%	3%	1%	3%	4%	*

(sig=.05) (all_pairs) columns tested T=ABCD, T=AEFG, T=AHIJ, T=AKL
Note: Percentage less than 0.5 printed as *.

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns II
Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 060

Q30. What services would you be willing to see cut?

	<-AGE OF RESPONDENT->			<-KNOW GOVT->		<RESIDENCE>		<--PRECINCT OF RESIDENCE-->				
	TOTAL	18-44	45-64	65+	Great /Fair	Very Little	Own	Rent	1&2	3&4	5&6	7&8
	-----	-----	-----	----	-----	-----	---	-----	---	---	---	---
TOTAL	396 100% %	157 100% %	158 100% %	81 100% %	233 100% %	163 100% %	300 100% %	96 100% %	105 100% %	94 100% %	97 100% %	100 100% %
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
Creating comm connects	72GK 18%	30 19%	28 18%	14 17%	40 17%	32 20%	45 15%	27AG 28%	16 15%	21K 22%	11 11%	24K 24%
Maint and improv infra	196HL 49%	70 45%	88AB 56%	38 47%	121 52%	75 46%	161AH 54%	35 36%	55 52%	50 53%	51 53%	40 40%
Improving public safety	61 15%	23 15%	22 14%	16 20%	39 17%	22 13%	51 17%	10 10%	19 18%	11 12%	15 15%	16 16%
Economic development	38 10%	20 13%	11 7%	7 9%	18 8%	20 12%	24 8%	14 15%	9 9%	5 5%	13 13%	11 11%
Hous dev/high traf neighs	19 5%	11 7%	5 3%	3 4%	10 4%	9 6%	14 5%	5 5%	4 4%	5 5%	4 4%	6 6%
Something else	1 *	-	-	1A 1%	1 *	-	1 *	-	-	1 1%	-	-
Unsure	9G 2%	3 2%	4 3%	2 2%	4 2%	5 3%	4 1%	5AG 5%	2 2%	1 1%	3 3%	3 3%

(sig=.05) (all_pairs) columns tested T=ABCD, T=AEF, T=AGH, T=AIJKL
Note: Percentage less than 0.5 printed as *.

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns I
Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 061

Q31. Would you favor or oppose changing ordinance to restrict parking from 2AM to 6AM?

	<-RESIDENTIAL LONGEV->				<EXPECTED LONGEVITY->			<-TYPE OF HOUSEHOLD-->			<--GENDER-->	
	TOTAL	Less 10 Yrs	10-30 Years	Over 30 Years	Less 5 Yrs	5-10 Years	Over 10 Yrs	Senior	Child	Empty Nest	Male	Female
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
TOTAL	400	138	178	84	35	30	335	155	108	292	192	208
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
FAVOR	253DGHJ	99AD	116D	38	30AG	22	201	76	79AHJ	174H	123	130
-----	63%	72%	65%	45%	86%	73%	60%	49%	73%	60%	64%	63%
Strongly favor	27	8	14	5	2	2	23	14	8	19	12	15
	7%	6%	8%	6%	6%	7%	7%	9%	7%	7%	6%	7%
Favor	226DGHJ	91AD	102D	33	28AG	20	178	62	71AHJ	155H	111	115
	57%	66%	57%	39%	80%	67%	53%	40%	66%	53%	58%	55%
OPPOSE	128BEI	32	54	42ABC	4	5	119AEF	71AIJ	26	102AI	61	67
-----	32%	23%	30%	50%	11%	17%	36%	46%	24%	35%	32%	32%
Oppose	86	24	37	25AB	3	5	78AE	42AI	17	69	34	52
	22%	17%	21%	30%	9%	17%	23%	27%	16%	24%	18%	25%
Strongly oppose	42BL	8	17	17ABC	1	-	41AF	29AIJ	9	33	27AL	15
	11%	6%	10%	20%	3%		12%	19%	8%	11%	14%	7%
UNSURE	19	7	8	4	1	3	15	8	3	16	8	11
-----	5%	5%	4%	5%	3%	10%	4%	5%	3%	5%	4%	5%

(sig=.05) (all_pairs) columns tested T=ABCD, T=AEFG, T=AHIJ, T=AKL

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns II
 Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 062

Q31. Would you favor or oppose changing ordinance to restrict parking from 2AM to 6AM?

	TOTAL	<-AGE OF RESPONDENT->			<-KNOW GOVT->		<RESIDENCE>		<--PRECINCT OF RESIDENCE-->			
		18-44	45-64	65+	Great /Fair	Very Little	Own	Rent	1&2	3&4	5&6	7&8
	-----	-----	-----	---	-----	-----	---	-----	---	---	---	---
TOTAL	400	158	159	83	235	165	303	97	107	94	97	102
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
FAVOR	253DJ	122ACD	94D	37	152	101	192	61	63	50	80AIJL	60
-----	63%	77%	59%	45%	65%	61%	63%	63%	59%	53%	82%	59%
Strongly favor	27	7	13	7	13	14	21	6	6	8	7	6
	7%	4%	8%	8%	6%	8%	7%	6%	6%	9%	7%	6%
Favor	226DJ	115ACD	81D	30	139	87	171	55	57	42	73AIJL	54
	57%	73%	51%	36%	59%	53%	56%	57%	53%	45%	75%	53%
OPPOSE	128BK	29	58B	41AB	77	51	100	28	38K	38AK	15	37K
-----	32%	18%	36%	49%	33%	31%	33%	29%	36%	40%	15%	36%
Oppose	86BK	23	37B	26AB	58	28	67	19	27K	18	12	29AK
	22%	15%	23%	31%	25%	17%	22%	20%	25%	19%	12%	28%
Strongly oppose	42BK	6	21B	15AB	19	23	33	9	11K	20AIKL	3	8
	11%	4%	13%	18%	8%	14%	11%	9%	10%	21%	3%	8%
UNSURE	19E	7	7	5	6	13AE	11	8	6	6	2	5
-----	5%	4%	4%	6%	3%	8%	4%	8%	6%	6%	2%	5%

 (sig=.05) (all_pairs) columns tested T=ABCD, T=AEF, T=AGH, T=AIJKL

Tables Prepared by The Morris Leatherman Company

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns I
 Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 063

Q32. Are you concerned about the city's aging infrastructure? How concerned?

	<-RESIDENTIAL LONGEV->				<EXPECTED LONGEVITY->			<-TYPE OF HOUSEHOLD-->			<--GENDER-->	
	TOTAL	Less 10 Yrs	10-30 Years	Over 30 Years	Less 5 Yrs	5-10 Years	Over 10 Yrs	Senior	Child	Empty Nest	Male	Female
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
TOTAL	400	138	178	84	35	30	335	155	108	292	192	208
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
No	154C 39%	62C 45%	52 29%	40C 48%	18F 51%	8 27%	128 38%	74AI 48%	34 31%	120 41%	79 41%	75 36%
Yes/Very concerned	38CDGH 10%	27ACD 20%	9 5%	2 2%	10AG 29%	4 13%	24 7%	5 3%	15H 14%	23 8%	21 11%	17 8%
Yes/Somewhat concerned	136BE 34%	28 20%	76AB 43%	32B 38%	3 9%	12E 40%	121AE 36%	50 32%	40 37%	96 33%	58 30%	78 38%
Yes/Not too concerned	58E 15%	16 12%	35AD 20%	7 8%	1 3%	4 13%	53E 16%	19 12%	17 16%	41 14%	28 15%	30 14%
Yes/Not at all concerned	13G 3%	4 3%	6 3%	3 4%	3G 9%	2 7%	8 2%	7 5%	2 2%	11 4%	5 3%	8 4%
Don't know/Refused	1 *	1 1%	-	-	-	-	1 *	-	-	1 *	1 1%	-

(sig=.05) (all_pairs) columns tested T=ABCD, T=AEFG, T=AHIJ, T=AKL
 Note: Percentage less than 0.5 printed as *.

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns II
 Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 064

Q32. Are you concerned about the city's aging infrastructure? How concerned?

	<-AGE OF RESPONDENT->			<-KNOW GOVT->		<RESIDENCE>		<--PRECINCT OF RESIDENCE-->				
	TOTAL	18-44	45-64	65+	Great /Fair	Very Little	Own	Rent	1&2	3&4	5&6	7&8
	-----	-----	-----	----	-----	-----	---	-----	---	---	---	---
TOTAL	400 100% %	158 100% %	159 100% %	83 100% %	235 100% %	165 100% %	303 100% %	97 100% %	107 100% %	94 100% %	97 100% %	102 100% %
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
No	154E 39%	54 34%	53 33%	47ABC 57%	81 34%	73AE 44%	109 36%	45 46%	46 43%	35 37%	35 36%	38 37%
Yes/Very concerned	38CD 10%	28ACD 18%	8 5%	2 2%	25 11%	13 8%	32 11%	6 6%	12 11%	7 7%	13 13%	6 6%
Yes/Somewhat concerned	136FI 34%	45 28%	67ABD 42%	24 29%	90AF 38%	46 28%	109 36%	27 28%	26 24%	34 36%	37I 38%	39I 38%
Yes/Not too concerned	58 15%	26 16%	25 16%	7 8%	35 15%	23 14%	47 16%	11 11%	17 16%	15 16%	12 12%	14 14%
Yes/Not at all concerned	13EGK 3%	4 3%	6 4%	3 4%	4 2%	9AE 5%	6 2%	7AG 7%	5K 5%	3 3%	-	5K 5%
Don't know/Refused	1 *	1 1%	-	-	-	1 1%	-	1 1%	1 1%	-	-	-

(sig=.05) (all_pairs) columns tested T=ABCD, T=AEF, T=AGH, T=AIJKL
 Note: Percentage less than 0.5 printed as *.

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns I
Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 065

Q33. Should City use property taxes or fees to repair and replace water and sewer pipes?

	<-RESIDENTIAL LONGEV->				<EXPECTED LONGEVITY->			<-TYPE OF HOUSEHOLD-->			<--GENDER-->	
	TOTAL	Less 10 Yrs	10-30 Years	Over 30 Years	Less 5 Yrs	5-10 Years	Over 10 Yrs	Senior	Child	Empty Nest	Male	Female
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
TOTAL	400	138	178	84	35	30	335	155	108	292	192	208
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
SUPPORT	319DHK	108D	159ABD	52	25	27	267	114	90	229	143	176AK
-----	80%	78%	89%	62%	71%	90%	80%	74%	83%	78%	74%	85%
Strongly support	105F	37	51	17	12F	3	90F	38	32	73	44	61
	26%	27%	29%	20%	34%	10%	27%	25%	30%	25%	23%	29%
Support	214DE	71	108AD	35	13	24AEG	177	76	58	156	99	115
	54%	51%	61%	42%	37%	80%	53%	49%	54%	53%	52%	55%
OPPOSE	78CL	29C	18	31ABC	8	3	67	39A	18	60	47AL	31
-----	20%	21%	10%	37%	23%	10%	20%	25%	17%	21%	24%	15%
Oppose	56C	19	13	24ABC	5	1	50	28I	10	46	33	23
	14%	14%	7%	29%	14%	3%	15%	18%	9%	16%	17%	11%
Strongly oppose	22C	10	5	7C	3	2	17	11	8	14	14	8
	6%	7%	3%	8%	9%	7%	5%	7%	7%	5%	7%	4%
UNSURE	3G	1	1	1	2AG	-	1	2	-	3	2	1
-----	1%	1%	1%	1%	6%	*	*	1%	*	1%	1%	*

(sig=.05) (all_pairs) columns tested T=ABCD, T=AEFG, T=AHIJ, T=AKL
Note: Percentage less than 0.5 printed as *.

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns II
 Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 066

Q33. Should City use property taxes or fees to repair and replace water and sewer pipes?

	<-AGE OF RESPONDENT->			<-KNOW GOVT->		<RESIDENCE>		<--PRECINCT OF RESIDENCE-->				
	TOTAL	18-44	45-64	65+	Great /Fair	Very Little	Own	Rent	1&2	3&4	5&6	7&8
	-----	-----	-----	---	-----	-----	---	-----	---	---	---	---
TOTAL	400	158	159	83	235	165	303	97	107	94	97	102
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
SUPPORT	319DL	130D	130	59	185	134	242	77	84	82AL	80	73
-----	80%	82%	82%	71%	79%	81%	80%	79%	79%	87%	82%	72%
Strongly support	105K	44	41	20	54	51	80	25	32K	41AIKL	11	21
	26%	28%	26%	24%	23%	31%	26%	26%	30%	44%	11%	21%
Support	214J	86	89	39	131	83	162	52	52	41	69AIJL	52
	54%	54%	56%	47%	56%	50%	53%	54%	49%	44%	71%	51%
OPPOSE	78J	27	29	22	47	31	59	19	22	11	16	29AJK
-----	20%	17%	18%	27%	20%	19%	19%	20%	21%	12%	16%	28%
Oppose	56	19	22	15	36	20	42	14	15	10	12	19
	14%	12%	14%	18%	15%	12%	14%	14%	14%	11%	12%	19%
Strongly oppose	22J	8	7	7	11	11	17	5	7J	1	4	10AJ
	6%	5%	4%	8%	5%	7%	6%	5%	7%	1%	4%	10%
UNSURE	3	1	-	2AC	3	-	2	1	1	1	1	-
-----	1%	1%		2%	1%		1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	

(sig=.05) (all_pairs) columns tested T=ABCD, T=AEF, T=AGH, T=AIJKL

Tables Prepared by The Morris Leatherman Company

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns I
Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 067

Q34. Should City use property taxes or fees to repair and replace city buildings and facilities?

	<-RESIDENTIAL LONGEV->				<EXPECTED LONGEVITY->			<-TYPE OF HOUSEHOLD-->			<--GENDER-->	
	TOTAL	Less 10 Yrs	10-30 Years	Over 30 Years	Less 5 Yrs	5-10 Years	Over 10 Yrs	Senior	Child	Empty Nest	Male	Female
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
TOTAL	400	138	178	84	35	30	335	155	108	292	192	208
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
SUPPORT	271DH	99D	133AD	39	24	23	224	87	80H	191	126	145
-----	68%	72%	75%	46%	69%	77%	67%	56%	74%	65%	66%	70%
Strongly support	104DH	44D	46	14	9	6	89	18	27H	77H	57	47
	26%	32%	26%	17%	26%	20%	27%	12%	25%	26%	30%	23%
Support	167DK	55	87AD	25	15	17	135	69	53	114	69	98AK
	42%	40%	49%	30%	43%	57%	40%	45%	49%	39%	36%	47%
OPPOSE	129C	39	45	45ABC	11	7	111	68AI	28	101	66	63
-----	32%	28%	25%	54%	31%	23%	33%	44%	26%	35%	34%	30%
Oppose	71	22	29	20	7	5	59	34	19	52	31	40
	18%	16%	16%	24%	20%	17%	18%	22%	18%	18%	16%	19%
Strongly oppose	58CIL	17	16	25ABC	4	2	52	34AI	9	49AI	35AL	23
	15%	12%	9%	30%	11%	7%	16%	22%	8%	17%	18%	11%
UNSURE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

(sig=.05) (all_pairs) columns tested T=ABCD, T=AEFG, T=AHIJ, T=AKL

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns II
 Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 068

Q34. Should City use property taxes or fees to repair and replace city buildings and facilities?

	<-AGE OF RESPONDENT->			<-KNOW GOV'T->		<RESIDENCE>		<--PRECINCT OF RESIDENCE-->				
	TOTAL	18-44	45-64	65+	Great /Fair	Very Little	Own	Rent	1&2	3&4	5&6	7&8
	-----	-----	-----	---	-----	-----	---	-----	---	---	---	---
TOTAL	400	158	159	83	235	165	303	97	107	94	97	102
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
SUPPORT	271DGI	125ACD	101	45	153	118	196	75AG	61	69I	74AI	67
-----	68%	79%	64%	54%	65%	72%	65%	77%	57%	73%	76%	66%
Strongly support	104D	54ACD	38	12	66	38	77	27	28	25	24	27
	26%	34%	24%	14%	28%	23%	25%	28%	26%	27%	25%	26%
Support	167EI	71	63	33	87	80AE	119	48	33	44I	50AI	40
	42%	45%	40%	40%	37%	48%	39%	49%	31%	47%	52%	39%
OPPOSE	129BHK	33	58B	38AB	82	47	107AH	22	46AJK	25	23	35
-----	32%	21%	36%	46%	35%	28%	35%	23%	43%	27%	24%	34%
Oppose	71B	20	34B	17	38	33	59	12	22	17	13	19
	18%	13%	21%	20%	16%	20%	19%	12%	21%	18%	13%	19%
Strongly oppose	58BF	13	24	21AB	44AF	14	48	10	24AJK	8	10	16
	15%	8%	15%	25%	19%	8%	16%	10%	22%	9%	10%	16%
UNSURE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns I
Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 069

Q35. Should City use property taxes or fees to repair and replace sidewalks and trails?

	<-RESIDENTIAL LONGEV->				<EXPECTED LONGEVITY->			<-TYPE OF HOUSEHOLD-->			<--GENDER-->	
	TOTAL	Less 10 Yrs	10-30 Years	Over 30 Years	Less 5 Yrs	5-10 Years	Over 10 Yrs	Senior	Child	Empty Nest	Male	Female
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
TOTAL	400	138	178	84	35	30	335	155	108	292	192	208
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
SUPPORT	307DGH	116AD	146AD	45	32AG	26	249	101	90H	217H	144	163
-----	77%	84%	82%	54%	91%	87%	74%	65%	83%	74%	75%	78%
Strongly support	117DHJ	52ACD	48	17	10	14AG	93	32	43AHJ	74	61	56
	29%	38%	27%	20%	29%	47%	28%	21%	40%	25%	32%	27%
Support	190D	64	98AD	28	22	12	156	69	47	143	83	107
	48%	46%	55%	33%	63%	40%	47%	45%	44%	49%	43%	51%
OPPOSE	90BE	22	32	36ABC	2	4	84AE	51AI	18	72	47	43
-----	23%	16%	18%	43%	6%	13%	25%	33%	17%	25%	24%	21%
Oppose	54E	14	18	22ABC	-	2	52AE	30AI	11	43	26	28
	14%	10%	10%	26%	-	7%	16%	19%	10%	15%	14%	13%
Strongly oppose	36	8	14	14ABC	2	2	32	21A	7	29	21	15
	9%	6%	8%	17%	6%	7%	10%	14%	6%	10%	11%	7%
UNSURE	3	-	-	3ABC	1	-	2	3A	-	3	1	2
-----	1%			4%	3%		1%	2%		1%	1%	1%

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns II
 Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 070

Q35. Should City use property taxes or fees to repair and replace sidewalks and trails?

	<-AGE OF RESPONDENT->				<-KNOW GOV'T->		<RESIDENCE>		<--PRECINCT OF RESIDENCE-->			
	TOTAL	18-44	45-64	65+	Great /Fair	Very Little	Own	Rent	1&2	3&4	5&6	7&8
	-----	-----	-----	---	-----	-----	---	-----	---	---	---	---
TOTAL	400	158	159	83	235	165	303	97	107	94	97	102
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
SUPPORT	307CEL	142ACD	108	57	167	140AE	226	81	81	76	79	71
-----	77%	90%	68%	69%	71%	85%	75%	84%	76%	81%	81%	70%
Strongly support	117D	59ACD	41	17	68	49	91	26	26	31	37AIL	23
	29%	37%	26%	20%	29%	30%	30%	27%	24%	33%	38%	23%
Support	190EG	83	67	40	99	91AE	135	55AG	55	45	42	48
	48%	53%	42%	48%	42%	55%	45%	57%	51%	48%	43%	47%
OPPOSE	90BF	16	51AB	23B	67AF	23	74	16	25	16	18	31AJ
-----	23%	10%	32%	28%	29%	14%	24%	16%	23%	17%	19%	30%
Oppose	54B	8	33AB	13B	38	16	41	13	14	9	10	21AJK
	14%	5%	21%	16%	16%	10%	14%	13%	13%	10%	10%	21%
Strongly oppose	36BFH	8	18B	10	29AF	7	33AH	3	11	7	8	10
	9%	5%	11%	12%	12%	4%	11%	3%	10%	7%	8%	10%
UNSURE	3	-	-	3ABC	1	2	3	-	1	2	-	-
-----	1%			4%	*	1%	1%		1%	2%		

(sig=.05) (all_pairs) columns tested T=ABCD, T=AEF, T=AGH, T=AIJKL
 Note: Percentage less than 0.5 printed as *.

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns I
 Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 071

Q36. Should City use property taxes or fees to repair and replace city roads?

	<-RESIDENTIAL LONGEV->				<EXPECTED LONGEVITY->			<-TYPE OF HOUSEHOLD-->			<--GENDER-->	
	TOTAL	Less 10 Yrs	10-30 Years	Over 30 Years	Less 5 Yrs	5-10 Years	Over 10 Yrs	Senior	Child	Empty Nest	Male	Female
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
TOTAL	400	138	178	84	35	30	335	155	108	292	192	208
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
SUPPORT	349	123	156	70	32	24	293	130	99	250	163	186
-----	87%	89%	88%	83%	91%	80%	87%	84%	92%	86%	85%	89%
Strongly support	182JK	65	85	32	19	15	148	67	64AHJ	118	74	108AK
	46%	47%	48%	38%	54%	50%	44%	43%	59%	40%	39%	52%
Support	167I	58	71	38	13	9	145	63	35	132AI	89	78
	42%	42%	40%	45%	37%	30%	43%	41%	32%	45%	46%	38%
OPPOSE	51	15	22	14	3	6	42	25	9	42	29	22
-----	13%	11%	12%	17%	9%	20%	13%	16%	8%	14%	15%	11%
Oppose	33B	6	16	11B	1	3	29	18	6	27	17	16
	8%	4%	9%	13%	3%	10%	9%	12%	6%	9%	9%	8%
Strongly oppose	18	9	6	3	2	3	13	7	3	15	12	6
	5%	7%	3%	4%	6%	10%	4%	5%	3%	5%	6%	3%
UNSURE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

 (sig=.05) (all_pairs) columns tested T=ABCD, T=AEFG, T=AHIJ, T=AKL

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns II
 Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 072

Q36. Should City use property taxes or fees to repair and replace city roads?

	TOTAL	<-AGE OF RESPONDENT->			<-KNOW GOV'T->		<RESIDENCE>		<--PRECINCT OF RESIDENCE-->			
		18-44	45-64	65+	Great /Fair	Very Little	Own	Rent	1&2	3&4	5&6	7&8
	-----	-----	-----	---	-----	-----	---	-----	---	---	---	---
TOTAL	400	158	159	83	235	165	303	97	107	94	97	102
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
SUPPORT	349L	140	138	71	203	146	264	85	91	87L	88	83
-----	87%	89%	87%	86%	86%	88%	87%	88%	85%	93%	91%	81%
Strongly support	182	78	74	30	101	81	134	48	43	51IK	37	51
	46%	49%	47%	36%	43%	49%	44%	49%	40%	54%	38%	50%
Support	167L	62	64	41	102	65	130	37	48L	36	51AJL	32
	42%	39%	40%	49%	43%	39%	43%	38%	45%	38%	53%	31%
OPPOSE	51	18	21	12	32	19	39	12	16	7	9	19AJ
-----	13%	11%	13%	14%	14%	12%	13%	12%	15%	7%	9%	19%
Oppose	33	12	12	9	20	13	25	8	11	4	9	9
	8%	8%	8%	11%	9%	8%	8%	8%	10%	4%	9%	9%
Strongly oppose	18K	6	9	3	12	6	14	4	5K	3	-	10AK
	5%	4%	6%	4%	5%	4%	5%	4%	5%	3%	-	10%
UNSURE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

(sig=.05) (all_pairs) columns tested T=ABCD, T=AEF, T=AGH, T=AIJKL

Tables Prepared by The Morris Leatherman Company

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns I
 Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 073
 Q37. affordable rental units?

	TOTAL	<-RESIDENTIAL LONGEV->			<EXPECTED LONGEVITY->			<-TYPE OF HOUSEHOLD-->			<--GENDER-->	
		Less 10 Yrs	10-30 Years	Over 30 Years	Less 5 Yrs	5-10 Years	Over 10 Yrs	Senior	Child	Empty Nest	Male	Female
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
TOTAL	400	138	178	84	35	30	335	155	108	292	192	208
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
Too many/much	80B 20%	20 14%	33 19%	27ABC 32%	3 9%	4 13%	73A 22%	45AI 29%	17 16%	63 22%	45 23%	35 17%
Too few/little	97CDGH 24%	52ACD 38%	34 19%	11 13%	16AG 46%	14AG 47%	67 20%	22 14%	33H 31%	64H 22%	50 26%	47 23%
About right amount	196 49%	63 46%	98AD 55%	35 42%	16 46%	10 33%	170 51%	69 45%	52 48%	144 49%	86 45%	110 53%
Unsure	27B 7%	3 2%	13B 7%	11AB 13%	-	2 7%	25 7%	19A 12%	6 6%	21 7%	11 6%	16 8%

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns II
 Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 074
 Q37. affordable rental units?

	TOTAL	<-AGE OF RESPONDENT->			<-KNOW GOVT->		<RESIDENCE>		<--PRECINCT OF RESIDENCE-->			
		18-44	45-64	65+	Great /Fair	Very Little	Own	Rent	1&2	3&4	5&6	7&8
	-----	-----	-----	---	-----	-----	---	-----	---	---	---	---
TOTAL	400	158	159	83	235	165	303	97	107	94	97	102
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
Too many/much	80BFH	19	43AB	18B	60AF	20	70AH	10	24	20	19	17
	20%	12%	27%	22%	26%	12%	23%	10%	22%	21%	20%	17%
Too few/little	97CEG	57ACD	26	14	47	50AE	60	37AG	30	18	27	22
	24%	36%	16%	17%	20%	30%	20%	38%	28%	19%	28%	22%
About right amount	196	79	77	40	120	76	151	45	44	49	49	54
	49%	50%	48%	48%	51%	46%	50%	46%	41%	52%	51%	53%
Unsure	27BEK	3	13B	11AB	8	19AE	22	5	9K	7	2	9K
	7%	2%	8%	13%	3%	12%	7%	5%	8%	7%	2%	9%

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns I
 Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 075
 Q37. luxury high amenity rental units?

	<-RESIDENTIAL LONGEV->			<EXPECTED LONGEVITY->			<-TYPE OF HOUSEHOLD-->			<--GENDER-->		
	TOTAL	Less 10 Yrs	10-30 Years	Over 30 Years	Less 5 Yrs	5-10 Years	Over 10 Yrs	Senior	Child	Empty Nest	Male	Female
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
TOTAL	400	138	178	84	35	30	335	155	108	292	192	208
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
Too many/much	84F	31	36	17	6	2	76F	34	24	60	45	39
	21%	22%	20%	20%	17%	7%	23%	22%	22%	21%	23%	19%
Too few/little	70	25	32	13	3	3	64	23	24	46	35	35
	18%	18%	18%	15%	9%	10%	19%	15%	22%	16%	18%	17%
About right amount	199G	71	91	37	23AG	22AG	154	69	51	148	97	102
	50%	51%	51%	44%	66%	73%	46%	45%	47%	51%	51%	49%
Unsure	47K	11	19	17ABC	3	3	41	29AI	9	38	15	32AK
	12%	8%	11%	20%	9%	10%	12%	19%	8%	13%	8%	15%

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns II
 Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 076

Q37. luxury high amenity rental units?

	TOTAL	<-AGE OF RESPONDENT->			<-KNOW GOV'T->		<RESIDENCE>		<--PRECINCT OF RESIDENCE-->			
		18-44	45-64	65+	Great /Fair	Very Little	Own	Rent	1&2	3&4	5&6	7&8
	-----	-----	-----	----	-----	-----	----	-----	----	----	----	----
TOTAL	400	158	159	83	235	165	303	97	107	94	97	102
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
Too many/much	84F	37	31	16	59AF	25	69	15	28	18	19	19
	21%	23%	19%	19%	25%	15%	23%	15%	26%	19%	20%	19%
Too few/little	70I	29	29	12	45	25	49	21	11	21I	22I	16
	18%	18%	18%	14%	19%	15%	16%	22%	10%	22%	23%	16%
About right amount	199	83	82	34	110	89	153	46	55	50	50	44
	50%	53%	52%	41%	47%	54%	50%	47%	51%	53%	52%	43%
Unsure	47BEJ	9	17	21ABC	21	26AE	32	15	13	5	6	23AIJK
	12%	6%	11%	25%	9%	16%	11%	15%	12%	5%	6%	23%

 (sig=.05) (all_pairs) columns tested T=ABCD, T=AEF, T=AGH, T=AIJKL

Tables Prepared by The Morris Leatherman Company

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns I
 Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 077
 Q38. condominiums and townhouses?

	TOTAL	<-RESIDENTIAL LONGEV->			<EXPECTED LONGEVITY->			<-TYPE OF HOUSEHOLD-->			<--GENDER-->	
		Less 10 Yrs	10-30 Years	Over 30 Years	Less 5 Yrs	5-10 Years	Over 10 Yrs	Senior	Child	Empty Nest	Male	Female
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
TOTAL	400	138	178	84	35	30	335	155	108	292	192	208
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
Too many/much	52L 13%	18 13%	20 11%	14 17%	4 11%	5 17%	43 13%	19 12%	18 17%	34 12%	32AL 17%	20 10%
Too few/little	46 12%	19 14%	22 12%	5 6%	4 11%	4 13%	38 11%	17 11%	17 16%	29 10%	21 11%	25 12%
About right amount	275 69%	98 71%	125 70%	52 62%	25 71%	20 67%	230 69%	98 63%	70 65%	205 70%	129 67%	146 70%
Unsure	27B 7%	3 2%	11 6%	13ABC 15%	2 6%	1 3%	24 7%	21AI 14%	3 3%	24 8%	10 5%	17 8%

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns II
 Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 078
 Q38. condominiums and townhouses?

	TOTAL	<-AGE OF RESPONDENT->			<-KNOW GOV'T->		<RESIDENCE>		<--PRECINCT OF RESIDENCE-->			
		18-44	45-64	65+	Great /Fair	Very Little	Own	Rent	1&2	3&4	5&6	7&8
	-----	-----	-----	---	-----	-----	---	-----	---	---	---	---
TOTAL	400	158	159	83	235	165	303	97	107	94	97	102
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
Too many/much	52	23	19	10	36	16	45	7	20AJ	7	11	14
	13%	15%	12%	12%	15%	10%	15%	7%	19%	7%	11%	14%
Too few/little	46L	25A	14	7	31	15	34	12	8	11	22AIJL	5
	12%	16%	9%	8%	13%	9%	11%	12%	7%	12%	23%	5%
About right amount	275	107	115	53	155	120	203	72	70	70	60	75
	69%	68%	72%	64%	66%	73%	67%	74%	65%	74%	62%	74%
Unsure	27B	3	11B	13ABC	13	14	21	6	9	6	4	8
	7%	2%	7%	16%	6%	8%	7%	6%	8%	6%	4%	8%

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns I
 Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 079
 Q39. starter homes for young families?

	<-RESIDENTIAL LONGEV->			<EXPECTED LONGEVITY->			<-TYPE OF HOUSEHOLD-->			<--GENDER-->		
	TOTAL	Less 10 Yrs	10-30 Years	Over 30 Years	Less 5 Yrs	5-10 Years	Over 10 Yrs	Senior	Child	Empty Nest	Male	Female
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
TOTAL	400	138	178	84	35	30	335	155	108	292	192	208
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
Too many/much	31DHJ 8%	13D 9%	16D 9%	2 2%	2 6%	4 13%	25 7%	6 4%	15AHJ 14%	16 5%	12 6%	19 9%
Too few/little	120 30%	40 29%	58 33%	22 26%	11 31%	11 37%	98 29%	53 34%	33 31%	87 30%	56 29%	64 31%
About right amount	229 57%	81 59%	98 55%	50 60%	20 57%	14 47%	195 58%	85 55%	59 55%	170 58%	117 61%	112 54%
Unsure	20I 5%	4 3%	6 3%	10ABC 12%	2 6%	1 3%	17 5%	11I 7%	1 1%	19AI 7%	7 4%	13 6%

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns II
 Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 080
 Q39. starter homes for young families?

	TOTAL	<-AGE OF RESPONDENT->			<-KNOW GOVVT->		<RESIDENCE>		<--PRECINCT OF RESIDENCE-->			
		18-44	45-64	65+	Great /Fair	Very Little	Own	Rent	1&2	3&4	5&6	7&8
	-----	-----	-----	---	-----	-----	---	-----	---	---	---	---
TOTAL	400	158	159	83	235	165	303	97	107	94	97	102
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
Too many/much	31F 8%	17 11%	10 6%	4 5%	26AF 11%	5 3%	26 9%	5 5%	8 7%	8 9%	9 9%	6 6%
Too few/little	120E 30%	48 30%	48 30%	24 29%	55 23%	65AE 39%	85 28%	35 36%	31 29%	29 31%	33 34%	27 26%
About right amount	229F 57%	88 56%	93 58%	48 58%	148AF 63%	81 49%	177 58%	52 54%	60 56%	52 55%	52 54%	65 64%
Unsure	20E 5%	5 3%	8 5%	7 8%	6 3%	14AE 8%	15 5%	5 5%	8 7%	5 5%	3 3%	4 4%

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns I
 Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 081

Q40. move up housing for families looking for a larger home?

	<-RESIDENTIAL LONGEV->			<EXPECTED LONGEVITY->			<-TYPE OF HOUSEHOLD-->			<--GENDER-->		
	TOTAL	Less 10 Yrs	10-30 Years	Over 30 Years	Less 5 Yrs	5-10 Years	Over 10 Yrs	Senior	Child	Empty Nest	Male	Female
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
TOTAL	400	138	178	84	35	30	335	155	108	292	192	208
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
Too many/much	60DJK 15%	21D 15%	34AD 19%	5 6%	4 11%	7 23%	49 15%	17 11%	26AHJ 24%	34 12%	20 10%	40AK 19%
Too few/little	62 16%	17 12%	33 19%	12 14%	5 14%	7 23%	50 15%	27 17%	18 17%	44 15%	34 18%	28 13%
About right amount	259 65%	93 67%	108 61%	58 69%	25 71%	15 50%	219 65%	102 66%	62 57%	197 67%	130 68%	129 62%
Unsure	19C 5%	7 5%	3 2%	9AC 11%	1 3%	1 3%	17 5%	9 6%	2 2%	17 6%	8 4%	11 5%

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns II
 Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 082

Q40. move up housing for families looking for a larger home?

	<-AGE OF RESPONDENT->			<-KNOW GOV'T->		<RESIDENCE>		<--PRECINCT OF RESIDENCE-->				
	TOTAL	18-44	45-64	65+	Great /Fair	Very Little	Own	Rent	1&2	3&4	5&6	7&8
	-----	-----	-----	---	-----	-----	---	-----	---	---	---	---
TOTAL	400	158	159	83	235	165	303	97	107	94	97	102
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
Too many/much	60L	34ACD	17	9	36	24	45	15	21L	12	20L	7
	15%	22%	11%	11%	15%	15%	15%	15%	20%	13%	21%	7%
Too few/little	62J	25	26	11	38	24	49	13	12	8	17	25AIJ
	16%	16%	16%	13%	16%	15%	16%	13%	11%	9%	18%	25%
About right amount	259	95	107	57	156	103	196	63	65	70AIK	59	65
	65%	60%	67%	69%	66%	62%	65%	65%	61%	74%	61%	64%
Unsure	19EK	4	9	6	5	14AE	13	6	9AK	4	1	5
	5%	3%	6%	7%	2%	8%	4%	6%	8%	4%	1%	5%

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns I
 Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 083
 Q41. executive high-end housing?

	TOTAL	<-RESIDENTIAL LONGEV->			<EXPECTED LONGEVITY->			<-TYPE OF HOUSEHOLD-->			<--GENDER-->	
		Less 10 Yrs	10-30 Years	Over 30 Years	Less 5 Yrs	5-10 Years	Over 10 Yrs	Senior	Child	Empty Nest	Male	Female
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
TOTAL	400	138	178	84	35	30	335	155	108	292	192	208
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
Too many/much	78	34	29	15	10	4	64	29	24	54	38	40
	20%	25%	16%	18%	29%	13%	19%	19%	22%	18%	20%	19%
Too few/little	48H	15	24	9	6	4	38	12	12	36	18	30
	12%	11%	13%	11%	17%	13%	11%	8%	11%	12%	9%	14%
About right amount	234	78	113	43	16	20	198	94	67	167	115	119
	59%	57%	63%	51%	46%	67%	59%	61%	62%	57%	60%	57%
Unsure	40I	11	12	17ABC	3	2	35	20I	5	35AI	21	19
	10%	8%	7%	20%	9%	7%	10%	13%	5%	12%	11%	9%

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns II
 Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 084
 Q41. executive high-end housing?

	TOTAL	<-AGE OF RESPONDENT->			<-KNOW GOV'T->		<RESIDENCE>		<--PRECINCT OF RESIDENCE-->			
		18-44	45-64	65+	Great /Fair	Very Little	Own	Rent	1&2	3&4	5&6	7&8
	-----	-----	-----	---	-----	-----	---	-----	---	---	---	---
TOTAL	400	158	159	83	235	165	303	97	107	94	97	102
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
Too many/much	78CK 20%	41AC 26%	18 11%	19C 23%	48 20%	30 18%	56 18%	22 23%	26K 24%	18 19%	12 12%	22 22%
Too few/little	48FI 12%	24 15%	16 10%	8 10%	35AF 15%	13 8%	38 13%	10 10%	6 6%	14I 15%	13 13%	15I 15%
About right amount	234BL 59%	82 52%	111ABD 70%	41 49%	134 57%	100 61%	181 60%	53 55%	62 58%	57L 61%	68AL 70%	47 46%
Unsure	40K 10%	11 7%	14 9%	15ABC 18%	18 8%	22 13%	28 9%	12 12%	13K 12%	5 5%	4 4%	18AJK 18%

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns I
 Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 085
 Q42. assisted living for seniors?

	TOTAL	<-RESIDENTIAL LONGEV->			<EXPECTED LONGEVITY->			<-TYPE OF HOUSEHOLD-->			<--GENDER-->	
		Less 10 Yrs	10-30 Years	Over 30 Years	Less 5 Yrs	5-10 Years	Over 10 Yrs	Senior	Child	Empty Nest	Male	Female
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
TOTAL	400	138	178	84	35	30	335	155	108	292	192	208
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
Too many/much	18D 5%	7D 5%	11D 6%	-	1 3%	2 7%	15 4%	4 3%	8 7%	10 3%	8 4%	10 5%
Too few/little	105E 26%	34 25%	44 25%	27 32%	4 11%	7 23%	94E 28%	54AI 35%	23 21%	82 28%	51 27%	54 26%
About right amount	225H 56%	79 57%	105 59%	41 49%	25 71%	16 53%	184 55%	70 45%	62 57%	163H 56%	107 56%	118 57%
Unsure	52 13%	18 13%	18 10%	16C 19%	5 14%	5 17%	42 13%	27A 17%	15 14%	37 13%	26 14%	26 13%

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns II
 Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 086
 Q42. assisted living for seniors?

	TOTAL	<-AGE OF RESPONDENT->			<-KNOW GOV'T->		<RESIDENCE>		<--PRECINCT OF RESIDENCE-->			
		18-44	45-64	65+	Great /Fair	Very Little	Own	Rent	1&2	3&4	5&6	7&8
	-----	-----	-----	---	-----	-----	---	-----	---	---	---	---
TOTAL	400	158	159	83	235	165	303	97	107	94	97	102
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
Too many/much	18	10	5	3	14	4	17	1	2	2	10AIJ	4
	5%	6%	3%	4%	6%	2%	6%	1%	2%	2%	10%	4%
Too few/little	105B	31	47B	27B	60	45	75	30	24	31	20	30
	26%	20%	30%	33%	26%	27%	25%	31%	22%	33%	21%	29%
About right amount	225F	102ACD	81	42	146AF	79	174	51	61	54	57	53
	56%	65%	51%	51%	62%	48%	57%	53%	57%	57%	59%	52%
Unsure	52E	15	26	11	15	37AE	37	15	20AJ	7	10	15
	13%	9%	16%	13%	6%	22%	12%	15%	19%	7%	10%	15%

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns I
Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 087

Q43. one level housing for seniors maintained by an association?

	TOTAL	<-RESIDENTIAL LONGEV->			<EXPECTED LONGEVITY->			<-TYPE OF HOUSEHOLD-->			<--GENDER-->	
		Less 10 Yrs	10-30 Years	Over 30 Years	Less 5 Yrs	5-10 Years	Over 10 Yrs	Senior	Child	Empty Nest	Male	Female
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
TOTAL	400	138	178	84	35	30	335	155	108	292	192	208
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
Too many/much	21D 5%	8D 6%	13D 7%	-	4 11%	1 3%	16 5%	5 3%	7 6%	14 5%	12 6%	9 4%
Too few/little	99 25%	34 25%	40 22%	25 30%	7 20%	4 13%	88 26%	52AI 34%	22 20%	77 26%	49 26%	50 24%
About right amount	228H 57%	78 57%	105 59%	45 54%	19 54%	20 67%	189 56%	73 47%	63 58%	165 57%	107 56%	121 58%
Unsure	52 13%	18 13%	20 11%	14 17%	5 14%	5 17%	42 13%	25 16%	16 15%	36 12%	24 13%	28 13%

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns II
 Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 088

Q43. one level housing for seniors maintained by an association?

	TOTAL	<-AGE OF RESPONDENT->			<-KNOW GOV'T->		<RESIDENCE>		<--PRECINCT OF RESIDENCE-->			
		18-44	45-64	65+	Great /Fair	Very Little	Own	Rent	1&2	3&4	5&6	7&8
	-----	-----	-----	---	-----	-----	---	-----	---	---	---	---
TOTAL	400	158	159	83	235	165	303	97	107	94	97	102
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
Too many/much	21FI 5%	12 8%	6 4%	3 4%	17AF 7%	4 2%	17 6%	4 4%	1 1%	5 5%	10AI 10%	5 5%
Too few/little	99 25%	32 20%	41 26%	26 31%	56 24%	43 26%	72 24%	27 28%	22 21%	25 27%	20 21%	32 31%
About right amount	228F 57%	99 63%	86 54%	43 52%	144AF 61%	84 51%	177 58%	51 53%	63 59%	55 59%	59 61%	51 50%
Unsure	52E 13%	15 9%	26 16%	11 13%	18 8%	34AE 21%	37 12%	15 15%	21AJK 20%	9 10%	8 8%	14 14%

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns I
Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 089
Q44. affordable housing?

	<-RESIDENTIAL LONGEV->			<EXPECTED LONGEVITY->			<-TYPE OF HOUSEHOLD-->			<--GENDER-->		
	TOTAL	Less 10 Yrs	10-30 Years	Over 30 Years	Less 5 Yrs	5-10 Years	Over 10 Yrs	Senior	Child	Empty Nest	Male	Female
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
TOTAL	400	138	178	84	35	30	335	155	108	292	192	208
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
Too many/much	32HJ 8%	13 9%	16 9%	3 4%	3 9%	4 13%	25 7%	5 3%	15AHJ 14%	17 6%	15 8%	17 8%
Too few/little	123 31%	40 29%	57 32%	26 31%	11 31%	8 27%	104 31%	58A 37%	36 33%	87 30%	63 33%	60 29%
About right amount	216 54%	79 57%	91 51%	46 55%	17 49%	15 50%	184 55%	78 50%	52 48%	164 56%	106 55%	110 53%
Unsure	29K 7%	6 4%	14 8%	9 11%	4 11%	3 10%	22 7%	14 9%	5 5%	24 8%	8 4%	21AK 10%

(sig=.05) (all_pairs) columns tested T=ABCD, T=AEFG, T=AHIJ, T=AKL

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns II
 Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 090
 Q44. affordable housing?

	TOTAL	<-AGE OF RESPONDENT->			<-KNOW GOV'T->		<RESIDENCE>		<--PRECINCT OF RESIDENCE-->			
		18-44	45-64	65+	Great /Fair	Very Little	Own	Rent	1&2	3&4	5&6	7&8
	-----	-----	-----	---	-----	-----	---	-----	---	---	---	---
TOTAL	400	158	159	83	235	165	303	97	107	94	97	102
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
Too many/much	32C 8%	22ACD 14%	7 4%	3 4%	24 10%	8 5%	27 9%	5 5%	12 11%	10 11%	4 4%	6 6%
Too few/little	123 31%	51 32%	43 27%	29 35%	66 28%	57 35%	86 28%	37 38%	33 31%	31 33%	32 33%	27 26%
About right amount	216FI 54%	77 49%	98AB 62%	41 49%	142AF 60%	74 45%	169 56%	47 48%	49 46%	51 54%	51 53%	65AI 64%
Unsure	29EJ 7%	8 5%	11 7%	10 12%	3 1%	26AE 16%	21 7%	8 8%	13AJL 12%	2 2%	10J 10%	4 4%

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns I
 Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 091
 Q45. parks and open spaces?

	TOTAL	<-RESIDENTIAL LONGEV->			<EXPECTED LONGEVITY->			<-TYPE OF HOUSEHOLD-->			<--GENDER-->	
		Less 10 Yrs	10-30 Years	Over 30 Years	Less 5 Yrs	5-10 Years	Over 10 Yrs	Senior	Child	Empty Nest	Male	Female
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
TOTAL	400	138	178	84	35	30	335	155	108	292	192	208
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
Too many/much	57J 14%	17 12%	33AD 19%	7 8%	4 11%	4 13%	49 15%	16 10%	26AHJ 24%	31 11%	23 12%	34 16%
Too few/little	40G 10%	17 12%	18 10%	5 6%	3 9%	8AG 27%	29 9%	11 7%	15 14%	25 9%	22 11%	18 9%
About right amount	303FI 76%	104 75%	127 71%	72AC 86%	28 80%	18 60%	257F 77%	128AI 83%	67 62%	236AI 81%	147 77%	156 75%
Unsure	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns II
 Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 092
 Q45. parks and open spaces?

	TOTAL	<-AGE OF RESPONDENT->			<-KNOW GOV'T->		<RESIDENCE>		<--PRECINCT OF RESIDENCE-->			
		18-44	45-64	65+	Great /Fair	Very Little	Own	Rent	1&2	3&4	5&6	7&8
	-----	-----	-----	---	-----	-----	---	-----	---	---	---	---
TOTAL	400	158	159	83	235	165	303	97	107	94	97	102
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
Too many/much	57	32ACD	17	8	38	19	41	16	22AL	13	12	10
	14%	20%	11%	10%	16%	12%	14%	16%	21%	14%	12%	10%
Too few/little	40I	20	15	5	29	11	34	6	5	9	20AIJL	6
	10%	13%	9%	6%	12%	7%	11%	6%	5%	10%	21%	6%
About right amount	303BEK	106	127B	70AB	168	135AE	228	75	80	72	65	86AK
	76%	67%	80%	84%	71%	82%	75%	77%	75%	77%	67%	84%
Unsure	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns I
Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 093
Q46. trails and bikeways?

	TOTAL	<-RESIDENTIAL LONGEV->			<EXPECTED LONGEVITY->			<-TYPE OF HOUSEHOLD-->			<--GENDER-->	
		Less 10 Yrs	10-30 Years	Over 30 Years	Less 5 Yrs	5-10 Years	Over 10 Yrs	Senior	Child	Empty Nest	Male	Female
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
TOTAL	400	138	178	84	35	30	335	155	108	292	192	208
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
Too many/much	49	11	31AB	7	5	5	39	17	18	31	25	24
	12%	8%	17%	8%	14%	17%	12%	11%	17%	11%	13%	12%
Too few/little	34GHJ	17AD	14	3	6G	4	24	7	15AHJ	19	13	21
	9%	12%	8%	4%	17%	13%	7%	5%	14%	7%	7%	10%
About right amount	315I	109	133	73AC	24	20	271A	130AI	74	241AI	153	162
	79%	79%	75%	87%	69%	67%	81%	84%	69%	83%	80%	78%
Unsure	2	1	-	1	-	1AG	1	1	1	1	1	1
	1%	1%		1%		3%	*	1%	1%	*	1%	*

(sig=.05) (all_pairs) columns tested T=ABCD, T=AEFG, T=AHIJ, T=AKL
Note: Percentage less than 0.5 printed as *.

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns II
 Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 094
 Q46. trails and bikeways?

	TOTAL	<-AGE OF RESPONDENT->			<-KNOW GOVT-> Great Very /Fair Little		<RESIDENCE>		<--PRECINCT OF RESIDENCE-->			
		18-44	45-64	65+			Own	Rent	1&2	3&4	5&6	7&8
	-----	-----	-----	---	-----	-----	---	-----	---	---	---	---
TOTAL	400	158	159	83	235	165	303	97	107	94	97	102
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
Too many/much	49	23	17	9	33	16	34	15	15	12	10	12
	12%	15%	11%	11%	14%	10%	11%	15%	14%	13%	10%	12%
Too few/little	34DIL	20AD	13D	1	25	9	29	5	4	8L	20AIJL	2
	9%	13%	8%	1%	11%	5%	10%	5%	4%	9%	21%	2%
About right amount	315BEK	115	128	72AB	177	138AE	239	76	88K	73	66	88AK
	79%	73%	81%	87%	75%	84%	79%	78%	82%	78%	68%	86%
Unsure	2	-	1	1	-	2	1	1	-	1	1	-
	1%		1%	1%		1%	*	1%		1%	1%	

(sig=.05) (all_pairs) columns tested T=ABCD, T=AEF, T=AGH, T=AIJKL
 Note: Percentage less than 0.5 printed as *.

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns I
Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 095
Q47. light manufacturing businesses and jobs?

	<-RESIDENTIAL LONGEV->			<EXPECTED LONGEVITY->			<-TYPE OF HOUSEHOLD-->			<--GENDER-->		
	TOTAL	Less 10 Yrs	10-30 Years	Over 30 Years	Less 5 Yrs	5-10 Years	Over 10 Yrs	Senior	Child	Empty Nest	Male	Female
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
TOTAL	400	138	178	84	35	30	335	155	108	292	192	208
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
Too many/much	26DJ 7%	11D 8%	14D 8%	1 1%	2 6%	4 13%	20 6%	9 6%	12AJ 11%	14 5%	11 6%	15 7%
Too few/little	67H 17%	25 18%	31 17%	11 13%	6 17%	8 27%	53 16%	13 8%	21H 19%	46H 16%	39 20%	28 13%
About right amount	288 72%	97 70%	127 71%	64 76%	26 74%	18 60%	244 73%	121AI 78%	71 66%	217 74%	136 71%	152 73%
Unsure	19 5%	5 4%	6 3%	8AC 10%	1 3%	-	18 5%	12A 8%	4 4%	15 5%	6 3%	13 6%

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns II
 Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 096

Q47. light manufacturing businesses and jobs?

	<-AGE OF RESPONDENT->			<-KNOW GOVT->		<RESIDENCE>		<--PRECINCT OF RESIDENCE-->				
TOTAL	18-44	45-64	65+	Great /Fair	Very Little	Own	Rent	1&2	3&4	5&6	7&8	
-----	-----	-----	---	-----	-----	---	-----	---	---	---	---	
TOTAL	400	158	159	83	235	165	303	97	107	94	97	102
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
Too many/much	26	12	9	5	17	9	19	7	3	4	15AIJL	4
	7%	8%	6%	6%	7%	5%	6%	7%	3%	4%	15%	4%
Too few/little	67I	36AD	23	8	46	21	54	13	11	14	19	23I
	17%	23%	14%	10%	20%	13%	18%	13%	10%	15%	20%	23%
About right amount	288BK	105	120	63	164	124	217	71	86AKL	75KL	60	67
	72%	66%	75%	76%	70%	75%	72%	73%	80%	80%	62%	66%
Unsure	19	5	7	7	8	11	13	6	7J	1	3	8J
	5%	3%	4%	8%	3%	7%	4%	6%	7%	1%	3%	8%

(sig=.05) (all_pairs) columns tested T=ABCD, T=AEF, T=AGH, T=AIJKL

Tables Prepared by The Morris Leatherman Company

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns I
Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 097
Q48. service and retail establishments?

	TOTAL	<-RESIDENTIAL LONGEV->			<EXPECTED LONGEVITY->			<-TYPE OF HOUSEHOLD-->			<--GENDER-->	
		Less 10 Yrs	10-30 Years	Over 30 Years	Less 5 Yrs	5-10 Years	Over 10 Yrs	Senior	Child	Empty Nest	Male	Female
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
TOTAL	400	138	178	84	35	30	335	155	108	292	192	208
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
Too many/much	45DGJ 11%	17 12%	24D 13%	4 5%	6 17%	6 20%	33 10%	14 9%	18AJ 17%	27 9%	22 11%	23 11%
Too few/little	82GH 21%	37A 27%	32 18%	13 15%	11 31%	10G 33%	61 18%	23 15%	23 21%	59 20%	40 21%	42 20%
About right amount	270BEF 68%	84 61%	122 69%	64B 76%	18 51%	14 47%	238AEF 71%	116AI 75%	67 62%	203 70%	128 67%	142 68%
Unsure	3 1%	-	-	3ABC 4%	-	-	3 1%	2 1%	-	3 1%	2 1%	1 *

(sig=.05) (all_pairs) columns tested T=ABCD, T=AEFG, T=AHIJ, T=AKL
Note: Percentage less than 0.5 printed as *.

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns II
 Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 098
 Q48. service and retail establishments?

	TOTAL	<-AGE OF RESPONDENT->			<-KNOW GOV'T->		<RESIDENCE>		<--PRECINCT OF RESIDENCE-->			
		18-44	45-64	65+	Great /Fair	Very Little	Own	Rent	1&2	3&4	5&6	7&8
	-----	-----	-----	---	-----	-----	---	-----	---	---	---	---
TOTAL	400	158	159	83	235	165	303	97	107	94	97	102
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
Too many/much	45DL 11%	24AD 15%	17 11%	4 5%	30 13%	15 9%	35 12%	10 10%	13 12%	7 7%	19AJL 20%	6 6%
Too few/little	82CI 21%	44AC 28%	22 14%	16 19%	52 22%	30 18%	60 20%	22 23%	10 9%	18I 19%	22I 23%	32AI 31%
About right amount	270BK 68%	90 57%	119AB 75%	61B 73%	151 64%	119 72%	205 68%	65 67%	83AKL 78%	67 71%	56 58%	64 63%
Unsure	3 1%	-	1 1%	2A 2%	2 1%	1 1%	3 1%	-	1 1%	2 2%	-	-

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns I
 Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 099
 Q49. entertainment establishments?

	<-RESIDENTIAL LONGEV->			<EXPECTED LONGEVITY->			<-TYPE OF HOUSEHOLD-->			<--GENDER-->		
	TOTAL	Less 10 Yrs	10-30 Years	Over 30 Years	Less 5 Yrs	5-10 Years	Over 10 Yrs	Senior	Child	Empty Nest	Male	Female
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
TOTAL	400	138	178	84	35	30	335	155	108	292	192	208
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
Too many/much	36GJ 9%	15 11%	18 10%	3 4%	7AG 20%	6AG 20%	23 7%	9 6%	16AHJ 15%	20 7%	12 6%	24 12%
Too few/little	113DHJ 28%	50AD 36%	49 28%	14 17%	10 29%	9 30%	94 28%	20 13%	40AHJ 37%	73H 25%	53 28%	60 29%
About right amount	245BI 61%	72 52%	111 62%	62AB 74%	18 51%	15 50%	212 63%	121AIJ 78%	52 48%	193AI 66%	122 64%	123 59%
Unsure	6C 2%	1 1%	-	5ABC 6%	-	-	6 2%	5A 3%	-	6 2%	5 3%	1 *

 (sig=.05) (all_pairs) columns tested T=ABCD, T=AEFG, T=AHIJ, T=AKL
 Note: Percentage less than 0.5 printed as *.

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns II
 Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 100
 Q49. entertainment establishments?

	TOTAL	<-AGE OF RESPONDENT->			<-KNOW GOVT->		<RESIDENCE>		<--PRECINCT OF RESIDENCE-->			
		18-44	45-64	65+	Great /Fair	Very Little	Own	Rent	1&2	3&4	5&6	7&8
	-----	-----	-----	---	-----	-----	---	-----	---	---	---	---
TOTAL	400	158	159	83	235	165	303	97	107	94	97	102
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
Too many/much	36	23ACD	9	4	24	12	26	10	11	7	7	11
	9%	15%	6%	5%	10%	7%	9%	10%	10%	7%	7%	11%
Too few/little	113CDI	65ACD	33	15	70	43	83	30	22	27	32I	32
	28%	41%	21%	18%	30%	26%	27%	31%	21%	29%	33%	31%
About right amount	245B	70	114AB	61AB	139	106	188	57	71	58	57	59
	61%	44%	72%	73%	59%	64%	62%	59%	66%	62%	59%	58%
Unsure	6B	-	3	3B	2	4	6	-	3	2	1	-
	2%		2%	4%	1%	2%	2%		3%	2%	1%	

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns I
Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 101
Q50. restaurants?

	<-RESIDENTIAL LONGEV->			<EXPECTED LONGEVITY->			<-TYPE OF HOUSEHOLD-->			<--GENDER-->		
	TOTAL	Less 10 Yrs	10-30 Years	Over 30 Years	Less 5 Yrs	5-10 Years	Over 10 Yrs	Senior	Child	Empty Nest	Male	Female
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
TOTAL	400	138	178	84	35	30	335	155	108	292	192	208
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
Too many/much	35DGK 9%	12 9%	21D 12%	2 2%	7AG 20%	4 13%	24 7%	13 8%	13 12%	22 8%	6 3%	29AK 14%
Too few/little	71 18%	24 17%	33 19%	14 17%	6 17%	3 10%	62 19%	21 14%	22 20%	49 17%	40 21%	31 15%
About right amount	292 73%	102 74%	123 69%	67 80%	22 63%	23 77%	247 74%	120 77%	73 68%	219 75%	144 75%	148 71%
Unsure	2 1%	-	1 1%	1 1%	-	-	2 1%	1 1%	-	2 1%	2 1%	-

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns II
 Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 102
 Q50. restaurants?

	TOTAL	<-AGE OF RESPONDENT->			<-KNOW GOVT->		<RESIDENCE>		<--PRECINCT OF RESIDENCE-->			
		18-44	45-64	65+	Great /Fair	Very Little	Own	Rent	1&2	3&4	5&6	7&8
	-----	-----	-----	----	-----	-----	----	-----	----	----	----	----
TOTAL	400	158	159	83	235	165	303	97	107	94	97	102
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
Too many/much	35	18	12	5	23	12	23	12	13	7	5	10
	9%	11%	8%	6%	10%	7%	8%	12%	12%	7%	5%	10%
Too few/little	71FI	31	27	13	52AF	19	55	16	12	17	23I	19
	18%	20%	17%	16%	22%	12%	18%	16%	11%	18%	24%	19%
About right amount	292E	109	119	64	159	133AE	223	69	82	69	68	73
	73%	69%	75%	77%	68%	81%	74%	71%	77%	73%	70%	72%
Unsure	2	-	1	1	1	1	2	-	-	1	1	-
	1%		1%	1%	*	1%	1%			1%	1%	

 (sig=.05) (all_pairs) columns tested T=ABCD, T=AEF, T=AGH, T=AIJKL
 Note: Percentage less than 0.5 printed as *.

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns I
Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 103

Q51. How would you rate general economic development in the City of Golden Valley?

	TOTAL	<-RESIDENTIAL LONGEV->			<EXPECTED LONGEVITY->			<-TYPE OF HOUSEHOLD-->			<--GENDER-->	
		Less 10 Yrs	10-30 Years	Over 30 Years	Less 5 Yrs	5-10 Years	Over 10 Yrs	Senior	Child	Empty Nest	Male	Female
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
TOTAL	400	138	178	84	35	30	335	155	108	292	192	208
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
FAVOR	370H	129	165	76	31	30	309	138	98	272	178	192
-----	93%	93%	93%	90%	89%	100%	92%	89%	91%	93%	93%	92%
Excellent	54	22	19	13	2	1	51A	24	18	36	28	26
	14%	16%	11%	15%	6%	3%	15%	15%	17%	12%	15%	13%
Good	316GH	107	146	63	29	29AG	258	114	80	236	150	166
	79%	78%	82%	75%	83%	97%	77%	74%	74%	81%	78%	80%
UNFAVOR	26	9	11	6	4	-	22	14	10	16	12	14
-----	7%	7%	6%	7%	11%		7%	9%	9%	5%	6%	7%
Only fair	25	8	11	6	4	-	21	13	10	15	12	13
	6%	6%	6%	7%	11%		6%	8%	9%	5%	6%	6%
Poor	1	1	-	-	-	-	1	1	-	1	-	1
	*	1%					*	1%		*		*
UNSURE	4	-	2	2	-	-	4	3	-	4	2	2
-----	1%		1%	2%			1%	2%		1%	1%	1%

(sig=.05) (all_pairs) columns tested T=ABCD, T=AEFG, T=AHIJ, T=AKL
Note: Percentage less than 0.5 printed as *.

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns II
Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 104

Q51. How would you rate general economic development in the City of Golden Valley?

	TOTAL	<-AGE OF RESPONDENT->			<-KNOW GOVT-> Great Very /Fair Little		<RESIDENCE>		<--PRECINCT OF RESIDENCE-->			
		18-44	45-64	65+	Great /Fair	Very Little	Own	Rent	1&2	3&4	5&6	7&8
	-----	-----	-----	---	-----	-----	---	-----	---	---	---	---
TOTAL	400	158	159	83	235	165	303	97	107	94	97	102
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
FAVOR	370	150	144	76	222	148	279	91	99	87	93	91
-----	93%	95%	91%	92%	94%	90%	92%	94%	93%	93%	96%	89%
Excellent	54E	23	17	14	25	29AE	37	17	18	9	11	16
	14%	15%	11%	17%	11%	18%	12%	18%	17%	10%	11%	16%
Good	316F	127	127	62	197AF	119	242	74	81	78	82	75
	79%	80%	80%	75%	84%	72%	80%	76%	76%	83%	85%	74%
UNFAVOR	26K	8	12	6	12	14	20	6	6	7	2	11AK
-----	7%	5%	8%	7%	5%	8%	7%	6%	6%	7%	2%	11%
Only fair	25	8	12	5	11	14	19	6	6	6	2	11AK
	6%	5%	8%	6%	5%	8%	6%	6%	6%	6%	2%	11%
Poor	1	-	-	1	1	-	1	-	-	1	-	-
	*			1%	*		*			1%		
UNSURE	4	-	3	1	1	3	4	-	2	-	2	-
-----	1%		2%	1%	*	2%	1%		2%		2%	

(sig=.05) (all_pairs) columns tested T=ABCD, T=AEF, T=AGH, T=AIJKL
Note: Percentage less than 0.5 printed as *.

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns I
Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 105

Q52. How would you rate the city's efforts to attract new businesses?

	TOTAL	<-RESIDENTIAL LONGEV->			<EXPECTED LONGEVITY->			<-TYPE OF HOUSEHOLD-->			<--GENDER-->	
		Less 10 Yrs	10-30 Years	Over 30 Years	Less 5 Yrs	5-10 Years	Over 10 Yrs	Senior	Child	Empty Nest	Male	Female
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
TOTAL	400	138	178	84	35	30	335	155	108	292	192	208
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
FAVOR	358H	130ACD	156	72	31	28	299	130	101H	257	174	184
-----	90%	94%	88%	86%	89%	93%	89%	84%	94%	88%	91%	88%
Excellent	55HJ	21	27	7	3	3	49	13	23AHJ	32	27	28
	14%	15%	15%	8%	9%	10%	15%	8%	21%	11%	14%	13%
Good	303	109	129	65	28	25	250	117	78	225	147	156
	76%	79%	72%	77%	80%	83%	75%	75%	72%	77%	77%	75%
UNFAVOR	22	6	13	3	4	1	17	12	4	18	8	14
-----	6%	4%	7%	4%	11%	3%	5%	8%	4%	6%	4%	7%
Only fair	20	5	12	3	4	1	15	10	4	16	8	12
	5%	4%	7%	4%	11%	3%	4%	6%	4%	5%	4%	6%
Poor	2	1	1	-	-	-	2	2	-	2	-	2
	1%	1%	1%				1%	1%		1%		1%
UNSURE	20B	2	9	9AB	-	1	19	13A	3	17	10	10
-----	5%	1%	5%	11%		3%	6%	8%	3%	6%	5%	5%

(sig=.05) (all_pairs) columns tested T=ABCD, T=AEFG, T=AHIJ, T=AKL

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns II
Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 106

Q52. How would you rate the city's efforts to attract new businesses?

	<-AGE OF RESPONDENT->				<-KNOW GOV'T-> Great Very /Fair Little		<RESIDENCE>		<--PRECINCT OF RESIDENCE-->			
	TOTAL	18-44	45-64	65+	Great /Fair	Very Little	Own	Rent	1&2	3&4	5&6	7&8
	-----	-----	-----	----	-----	-----	---	-----	---	---	---	---
TOTAL	400 100% %	158 100% %	159 100% %	83 100% %	235 100% %	165 100% %	303 100% %	97 100% %	107 100% %	94 100% %	97 100% %	102 100% %
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
FAVOR -----	358F 90%	148AD 94%	140 88%	70 84%	220AF 94%	138 84%	267 88%	91 94%	96 90%	91AIKL 97%	84 87%	87 85%
Excellent	55F 14%	30AD 19%	18 11%	7 8%	40AF 17%	15 9%	39 13%	16 16%	17 16%	12 13%	16 16%	10 10%
Good	303 76%	118 75%	122 77%	63 76%	180 77%	123 75%	228 75%	75 77%	79 74%	79AK 84%	68 70%	77 75%
UNFAVOR -----	22J 6%	8 5%	7 4%	7 8%	12 5%	10 6%	17 6%	5 5%	5 5%	1 1%	4 4%	12AJK 12%
Only fair	20J 5%	8 5%	7 4%	5 6%	11 5%	9 5%	15 5%	5 5%	5J 5%	-	4J 4%	11AJ 11%
Poor	2 1%	-	-	2AC 2%	1 *	1 1%	2 1%	-	-	1 1%	-	1 1%
UNSURE -----	20BEH 5%	2 1%	12B 8%	6B 7%	3 1%	17AE 10%	19AH 6%	1 1%	6 6%	2 2%	9AJ 9%	3 3%

(sig=.05) (all_pairs) columns tested T=ABCD, T=AEF, T=AGH, T=AIJKL
Note: Percentage less than 0.5 printed as *.

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns I
Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 107

Q54. Are there any types of development or redevelopment you would like to see in the city?

	<-RESIDENTIAL LONGEV->				<EXPECTED LONGEVITY->			<-TYPE OF HOUSEHOLD-->			<--GENDER-->	
	TOTAL	Less 10 Yrs	10-30 Years	Over 30 Years	Less 5 Yrs	5-10 Years	Over 10 Yrs	Senior	Child	Empty Nest	Male	Female
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
TOTAL	400 100% %	138 100% %	178 100% %	84 100% %	35 100% %	30 100% %	335 100% %	155 100% %	108 100% %	292 100% %	192 100% %	208 100% %
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
Nothing	60BEI 15%	13 9%	33B 19%	14 17%	- 0%	2 7%	58AE 17%	40AIJ 26%	9 8%	51AI 17%	27 14%	33 16%
Retail	50 13%	20 14%	16 9%	14 17%	9AFG 26%	1 3%	40 12%	16 10%	17 16%	33 11%	19 10%	31 15%
Restaurants	48 12%	18 13%	23 13%	7 8%	3 9%	4 13%	41 12%	20 13%	15 14%	33 11%	24 13%	24 12%
Senior assisted-living	43CI 11%	14 10%	11 6%	18ABC 21%	2 6%	5 17%	36 11%	25AI 16%	5 5%	38AI 13%	16 8%	27 13%
Starter homes	29 7%	6 4%	16 9%	7 8%	1 3%	1 3%	27 8%	13 8%	10 9%	19 7%	10 5%	19 9%
Affordable housing	23GH 6%	12 9%	7 4%	4 5%	5AG 14%	4G 13%	14 4%	2 1%	10H 9%	13 4%	12 6%	11 5%
Parks/Trails	23 6%	9 7%	10 6%	4 5%	2 6%	5AG 17%	16 5%	7 5%	8 7%	15 5%	13 7%	10 5%
Entertainment opportun	20H 5%	9 7%	10 6%	1 1%	- 0%	3 10%	17 5%	2 1%	6H 6%	14 5%	10 5%	10 5%
High-end multi-housing	18B 5%	1 1%	12B 7%	5B 6%	- 0%	- 0%	18 5%	11A 7%	3 3%	15 5%	7 4%	11 5%
Job producing businesses	14DJ 4%	3 2%	11AD 6%	- 0%	1 3%	3AG 10%	10 3%	2 1%	7AHJ 6%	7 2%	8 4%	6 3%
Upscale nightlife	14 4%	3 2%	8 4%	3 4%	1 3%	1 3%	12 4%	4 3%	4 4%	10 3%	9 5%	5 2%
Teen center/Water park	13HJ 3%	8AD 6%	5 3%	- 0%	2 6%	- 0%	11 3%	- 0%	8AHJ 7%	5 2%	8 4%	5 2%
Service businesses	10G 3%	6 4%	2 1%	2 2%	5AFG 14%	- 0%	5 1%	2 1%	1 1%	9 3%	6 3%	4 2%

(sig=.05) (all_pairs) columns tested T=ABCD, T=AEFG, T=AHIJ, T=AKL
Note: Percentage less than 0.5 printed as *.

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns I
 Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 107 (continued)

Q54. Are there any types of development or redevelopment you would like to see in the city?

	TOTAL	<-RESIDENTIAL LONGEV->			<EXPECTED LONGEVITY->			<-TYPE OF HOUSEHOLD-->			<--GENDER-->	
		Less 10 Yrs	10-30 Years	Over 30 Years	Less 5 Yrs	5-10 Years	Over 10 Yrs	Senior	Child	Empty Nest	Male	Female
Unsure	7L 2%	2 1%	3 2%	2 2%	-	-	7 2%	4 3%	-	7 2%	6AL 3%	1 *
Scattered	28 7%	14 10%	11 6%	3 4%	4 11%	1 3%	23 7%	7 5%	5 5%	23 8%	17 9%	11 5%

(sig=.05) (all_pairs) columns tested T=ABCD, T=AEFG, T=AHIJ, T=AKL
 Note: Percentage less than 0.5 printed as *.

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns II
Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 108

Q54. Are there any types of development or redevelopment you would like to see in the city?

	<-AGE OF RESPONDENT->			<-KNOW GOVT->		<RESIDENCE>		<--PRECINCT OF RESIDENCE-->				
	TOTAL	18-44	45-64	65+	Great /Fair	Very Little	Own	Rent	1&2	3&4	5&6	7&8
	-----	-----	-----	---	-----	-----	---	-----	---	---	---	---
TOTAL	400 100% %	158 100% %	159 100% %	83 100% %	235 100% %	165 100% %	303 100% %	97 100% %	107 100% %	94 100% %	97 100% %	102 100% %
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
Nothing	60BHK 15%	8 5%	34AB 21%	18B 22%	35 15%	25 15%	59AH 19%	1 1%	27AKL 25%	15 16%	7 7%	11 11%
Retail	50CI 13%	26C 16%	11 7%	13C 16%	28 12%	22 13%	36 12%	14 14%	5 5%	12I 13%	11 11%	22AI 22%
Restaurants	48 12%	18 11%	17 11%	13 16%	25 11%	23 14%	36 12%	12 12%	10 9%	9 10%	18A 19%	11 11%
Senior assisted-living	43BK 11%	11 7%	16 10%	16ABC 19%	27 11%	16 10%	28 9%	15 15%	16K 15%	11 12%	5 5%	11 11%
Starter homes	29 7%	9 6%	14 9%	6 7%	18 8%	11 7%	20 7%	9 9%	6 6%	10 11%	7 7%	6 6%
Affordable housing	23EG 6%	16ACD 10%	5 3%	2 2%	7 3%	16AE 10%	11 4%	12AG 12%	9 8%	5 5%	5 5%	4 4%
Parks/Trails	23D 6%	10 6%	12D 8%	1 1%	14 6%	9 5%	21 7%	2 2%	4 4%	5 5%	11AIL 11%	3 3%
Entertainment oportun	20 5%	11 7%	6 4%	3 4%	14 6%	6 4%	14 5%	6 6%	4 4%	5 5%	5 5%	6 6%
High-end multi-housing	18BF 5%	3 2%	10B 6%	5 6%	15AF 6%	3 2%	15 5%	3 3%	2 2%	4 4%	10AIL 10%	2 2%
Job producing businesses	14 4%	8 5%	5 3%	1 1%	10 4%	4 2%	13 4%	1 1%	3 3%	2 2%	5 5%	4 4%
Upscale nightlife	14 4%	7 4%	6 4%	1 1%	8 3%	6 4%	11 4%	3 3%	2 2%	4 4%	3 3%	5 5%
Teen center/Water park	13G 3%	10ACD 6%	3 2%	-	7 3%	6 4%	6 2%	7AG 7%	4 4%	1 1%	2 2%	6 6%
Service businesses	10F 3%	5 3%	3 2%	2 2%	10AF 4%	-	9 3%	1 1%	2 2%	5AK 5%	-	3 3%

(sig=.05) (all_pairs) columns tested T=ABCD, T=AEF, T=AGH, T=AIJKL

Tables Prepared by The Morris Leatherman Company

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns II
 Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 108 (continued)

Q54. Are there any types of development or redevelopment you would like to see in the city?

	TOTAL	<-AGE OF RESPONDENT->			<-KNOW GOVT->		<RESIDENCE>		<--PRECINCT OF RESIDENCE-->			
		18-44	45-64	65+	Great /Fair	Very Little	Own	Rent	1&2	3&4	5&6	7&8
Unsure	7 2%	2 1%	5 3%	-	4 2%	3 2%	6 2%	1 1%	4 4%	1 1%	-	2 2%
Scattered	28 7%	14 9%	12 8%	2 2%	13 6%	15 9%	18 6%	10 10%	9 8%	5 5%	8 8%	6 6%

 (sig=.05) (all_pairs) columns tested T=ABCD, T=AEF, T=AGH, T=AIJKL

Tables Prepared by The Morris Leatherman Company

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns I
Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 109

Q55. Are there any types of development you would strongly oppose?

	<-RESIDENTIAL LONGEV->			<EXPECTED LONGEVITY->			<-TYPE OF HOUSEHOLD-->			<--GENDER-->		
	TOTAL	Less 10 Yrs	10-30 Years	Over 30 Years	Less 5 Yrs	5-10 Years	Over 10 Yrs	Senior	Child	Empty Nest	Male	Female
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
TOTAL	398	137	177	84	35	29	334	155	108	290	190	208
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
No	102	33	50	19	6	5	91	34	34	68	41	61
	26%	24%	28%	23%	17%	17%	27%	22%	31%	23%	22%	29%
Apartments	61E	17	32	12	1	1	59AEF	32A	17	44	32	29
	15%	12%	18%	14%	3%	3%	18%	21%	16%	15%	17%	14%
Low income housing	33C	13C	7	13AC	5	2	26	18I	5	28	16	17
	8%	9%	4%	15%	14%	7%	8%	12%	5%	10%	8%	8%
Restaurants	33	12	17	4	4	1	28	14	5	28	16	17
	8%	9%	10%	5%	11%	3%	8%	9%	5%	10%	8%	8%
Bars/Liquor stores	31	6	17	8	1	7AEG	23	14	9	22	13	18
	8%	4%	10%	10%	3%	24%	7%	9%	8%	8%	7%	9%
High-end SFH	30	10	16	4	4	4	22	10	6	24	19	11
	8%	7%	9%	5%	11%	14%	7%	6%	6%	8%	10%	5%
Trails/Bikeways	16	2	10	4	2	1	13	8	3	13	9	7
	4%	1%	6%	5%	6%	3%	4%	5%	3%	4%	5%	3%
Light rail	11CG	9AC	-	2C	5AFG	-	6	3	2	9	5	6
	3%	7%		2%	14%		2%	2%	2%	3%	3%	3%
Service businesses	10J	5	3	2	1	1	8	2	6AHJ	4	5	5
	3%	4%	2%	2%	3%	3%	2%	1%	6%	1%	3%	2%
High-end multi-housing	10L	3	4	3	2	1	7	3	2	8	8AL	2
	3%	2%	2%	4%	6%	3%	2%	2%	2%	3%	4%	1%
Big-box retail	10	6	4	-	-	1	9	3	3	7	5	5
	3%	4%	2%			3%	3%	2%	3%	2%	3%	2%
Adult establishments	9	2	4	3	1	1	7	4	1	8	3	6
	2%	1%	2%	4%	3%	3%	2%	3%	1%	3%	2%	3%
Parks	7H	-	4	3B	1	-	6	-	4H	3	5	2
	2%		2%	4%	3%		2%		4%	1%	3%	1%

(sig=.05) (all_pairs) columns tested T=ABCD, T=AEFG, T=AHIJ, T=AKL

Tables Prepared by The Morris Leatherman Company

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns I
 Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 109 (continued)
 Q55. Are there any types of development you would strongly oppose?

	<-RESIDENTIAL LONGEV->				<EXPECTED LONGEVITY->			<-TYPE OF HOUSEHOLD-->			<--GENDER-->	
	TOTAL	Less 10 Yrs	10-30 Years	Over 30 Years	Less 5 Yrs	5-10 Years	Over 10 Yrs	Senior	Child	Empty Nest	Male	Female
Unsure	15C 4%	11AC 8%	2 1%	2 2%	-	3 10%	12 4%	4 3%	5 5%	10 3%	4 2%	11 5%
Scattered	20 5%	8 6%	7 4%	5 6%	2 6%	1 3%	17 5%	6 4%	6 6%	14 5%	9 5%	11 5%

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns II
Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 110

Q55. Are there any types of development you would strongly oppose?

	<-AGE OF RESPONDENT->			<-KNOW GOVT->		<RESIDENCE>		<--PRECINCT OF RESIDENCE-->				
	TOTAL	18-44	45-64	65+	Great /Fair	Very Little	Own	Rent	1&2	3&4	5&6	7&8
	-----	-----	-----	----	-----	-----	---	-----	---	---	---	---
TOTAL	398 100% %	158 100% %	157 100% %	83 100% %	235 100% %	163 100% %	301 100% %	97 100% %	107 100% %	94 100% %	95 100% %	102 100% %
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
No	102K 26%	37 23%	45 29%	20 24%	52 22%	50 31%	74 25%	28 29%	35AK 33%	30K 32%	15 16%	22 22%
Apartments	61H 15%	18 11%	25 16%	18B 22%	37 16%	24 15%	55AH 18%	6 6%	19 18%	13 14%	16 17%	13 13%
Low income housing	33 8%	9 6%	11 7%	13ABC 16%	22 9%	11 7%	27 9%	6 6%	9 8%	6 6%	12 13%	6 6%
Restaurants	33 8%	13 8%	13 8%	7 8%	22 9%	11 7%	21 7%	12 12%	7 7%	6 6%	7 7%	13 13%
Bars/Liquor stores	31 8%	9 6%	16 10%	6 7%	15 6%	16 10%	25 8%	6 6%	7 7%	8 9%	8 8%	8 8%
High-end SFH	30 8%	15 9%	10 6%	5 6%	20 9%	10 6%	23 8%	7 7%	6 6%	6 6%	8 8%	10 10%
Trails/Bikeways	16G 4%	6 4%	5 3%	5 6%	8 3%	8 5%	8 3%	8AG 8%	2 2%	4 4%	3 3%	7 7%
Light rail	11C 3%	8AC 5%	-	3C 4%	8 3%	3 2%	10 3%	1 1%	2 2%	1 1%	4 4%	4 4%
Service businesses	10 3%	6 4%	3 2%	1 1%	5 2%	5 3%	9 3%	1 1%	4 4%	2 2%	3 3%	1 1%
High-end multi-housing	10 3%	6 4%	4 3%	-	6 3%	4 2%	5 2%	5 5%	4L 4%	4L 4%	2 2%	-
Big-box retail	10 3%	5 3%	5 3%	-	8 3%	2 1%	8 3%	2 2%	3 3%	2 2%	5AL 5%	-
Adult establishments	9 2%	3 2%	4 3%	2 2%	6 3%	3 2%	6 2%	3 3%	2 2%	2 2%	1 1%	4 4%
Parks	7 2%	6A 4%	1 1%	-	5 2%	2 1%	5 2%	2 2%	1 1%	3 3%	1 1%	2 2%

(sig=.05) (all_pairs) columns tested T=ABCD, T=AEF, T=AGH, T=AIJKL

Tables Prepared by The Morris Leatherman Company

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns II
 Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 110 (continued)
 Q55. Are there any types of development you would strongly oppose?

	TOTAL	<-AGE OF RESPONDENT->			<-KNOW GOVT->		<RESIDENCE>		<--PRECINCT OF RESIDENCE-->			
		18-44	45-64	65+	Great /Fair	Very Little	Own	Rent	1&2	3&4	5&6	7&8
Unsure	15J 4%	9 6%	5 3%	1 1%	9 4%	6 4%	11 4%	4 4%	5J 5%	-	3 3%	7J 7%
Scattered	20I 5%	8 5%	10 6%	2 2%	12 5%	8 5%	14 5%	6 6%	1 1%	7I 7%	7I 7%	5 5%

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns I
Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 111

Q56. How would you rate the general condition and appearance of industrial, commercial and residential properties?

	TOTAL	<-RESIDENTIAL LONGEV->			<EXPECTED LONGEVITY->			<-TYPE OF HOUSEHOLD-->			<--GENDER-->	
		Less 10 Yrs	10-30 Years	Over 30 Years	Less 5 Yrs	5-10 Years	Over 10 Yrs	Senior	Child	Empty Nest	Male	Female
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
TOTAL	400	138	178	84	35	30	335	155	108	292	192	208
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
FAVOR	388E	135	171	82	32	30	326	150	105	283	184	204
-----	97%	98%	96%	98%	91%	100%	97%	97%	97%	97%	96%	98%
Excellent	71CI	29	24	18	6	3	62	33I	11	60AI	27	44
	18%	21%	13%	21%	17%	10%	19%	21%	10%	21%	14%	21%
Good	317J	106	147	64	26	27	264	117	94AHJ	223	157	160
	79%	77%	83%	76%	74%	90%	79%	75%	87%	76%	82%	77%
UNFAVOR	12	3	7	2	3A	-	9	5	3	9	8	4
-----	3%	2%	4%	2%	9%		3%	3%	3%	3%	4%	2%
Only fair	10	1	7	2	2	-	8	4	3	7	7	3
	3%	1%	4%	2%	6%		2%	3%	3%	2%	4%	1%
Poor	2	2	-	-	1AG	-	1	1	-	2	1	1
	1%	1%			3%		*	1%		1%	1%	*
UNSURE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

(sig=.05) (all_pairs) columns tested T=ABCD, T=AEFG, T=AHIJ, T=AKL
Note: Percentage less than 0.5 printed as *.

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns II
 Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 112

Q56. How would you rate the general condition and appearance of industrial, commercial and residential properties?

	TOTAL	<-AGE OF RESPONDENT->			<-KNOW GOVT->		<RESIDENCE>		<--PRECINCT OF RESIDENCE-->			
		18-44	45-64	65+	Great /Fair	Very Little	Own	Rent	1&2	3&4	5&6	7&8
	-----	-----	-----	---	-----	-----	---	-----	---	---	---	---
TOTAL	400	158	159	83	235	165	303	97	107	94	97	102
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
FAVOR	388	154	154	80	226	162	293	95	105	91	95	97
-----	97%	97%	97%	96%	96%	98%	97%	98%	98%	97%	98%	95%
Excellent	71	26	29	16	37	34	51	20	27AK	16	11	17
	18%	16%	18%	19%	16%	21%	17%	21%	25%	17%	11%	17%
Good	317	128	125	64	189	128	242	75	78	75	84AI	80
	79%	81%	79%	77%	80%	78%	80%	77%	73%	80%	87%	78%
UNFAVOR	12	4	5	3	9	3	10	2	2	3	2	5
-----	3%	3%	3%	4%	4%	2%	3%	2%	2%	3%	2%	5%
Only fair	10	3	5	2	7	3	8	2	2	2	2	4
	3%	2%	3%	2%	3%	2%	3%	2%	2%	2%	2%	4%
Poor	2	1	-	1	2	-	2	-	-	1	-	1
	1%	1%		1%	1%		1%			1%		1%
UNSURE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

(sig=.05) (all_pairs) columns tested T=ABCD, T=AEF, T=AGH, T=AIJKL

Tables Prepared by The Morris Leatherman Company

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns I
Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 113

Q57. Do you support or oppose these changes to limit subdividing of current residential lots?

	TOTAL	<-RESIDENTIAL LONGEV->			<EXPECTED LONGEVITY->			<-TYPE OF HOUSEHOLD-->			<--GENDER-->	
		Less 10 Yrs	10-30 Years	Over 30 Years	Less 5 Yrs	5-10 Years	Over 10 Yrs	Senior	Child	Empty Nest	Male	Female
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
TOTAL	400	138	178	84	35	30	335	155	108	292	192	208
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
SUPPORT	266H	97	120	49	23	21	222	84	75H	191H	130	136
-----	67%	70%	67%	58%	66%	70%	66%	54%	69%	65%	68%	65%
Strongly support	48	20	18	10	5	4	39	14	10	38	23	25
	12%	14%	10%	12%	14%	13%	12%	9%	9%	13%	12%	12%
Support	218H	77	102	39	18	17	183	70	65H	153	107	111
	55%	56%	57%	46%	51%	57%	55%	45%	60%	52%	56%	53%
OPPOSE	84	24	35	25AB	6	7	71	46AI	20	64	42	42
-----	21%	17%	20%	30%	17%	23%	21%	30%	19%	22%	22%	20%
Oppose	66	20	25	21AC	6	5	55	31	17	49	35	31
	17%	14%	14%	25%	17%	17%	16%	20%	16%	17%	18%	15%
Strongly oppose	18	4	10	4	-	2	16	15AI	3	15	7	11
	5%	3%	6%	5%		7%	5%	10%	3%	5%	4%	5%
UNSURE	50	17	23	10	6	2	42	25	13	37	20	30
-----	13%	12%	13%	12%	17%	7%	13%	16%	12%	13%	10%	14%

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns II
Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 114

Q57. Do you support or oppose these changes to limit subdividing of current residential lots?

	TOTAL	<-AGE OF RESPONDENT->			<-KNOW GOVT-> Great Very /Fair Little		<RESIDENCE>		<--PRECINCT OF RESIDENCE-->			
		18-44	45-64	65+			Own	Rent	1&2	3&4	5&6	7&8
	-----	-----	-----	---	-----	-----	---	-----	---	---	---	---
TOTAL	400	158	159	83	235	165	303	97	107	94	97	102
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
SUPPORT	266DFH	120ACD	100	46	182AF	84	212AH	54	71	58	72	65
-----	67%	76%	63%	55%	77%	51%	70%	56%	66%	62%	74%	64%
Strongly support	48HJ	22	19	7	33	15	43AH	5	21AJ	5	11	11
	12%	14%	12%	8%	14%	9%	14%	5%	20%	5%	11%	11%
Support	218F	98ACD	81	39	149AF	69	169	49	50	53	61I	54
	55%	62%	51%	47%	63%	42%	56%	51%	47%	56%	63%	53%
OPPOSE	84B	20	39B	25AB	43	41	64	20	19	25	15	25
-----	21%	13%	25%	30%	18%	25%	21%	21%	18%	27%	15%	25%
Oppose	66B	18	28	20AB	37	29	53	13	17	18	12	19
	17%	11%	18%	24%	16%	18%	17%	13%	16%	19%	12%	19%
Strongly oppose	18BE	2	11B	5B	6	12AE	11	7	2	7	3	6
	5%	1%	7%	6%	3%	7%	4%	7%	2%	7%	3%	6%
UNSURE	50EG	18	20	12	10	40AE	27	23AG	17	11	10	12
-----	13%	11%	13%	14%	4%	24%	9%	24%	16%	12%	10%	12%

(sig=.05) (all_pairs) columns tested T=ABCD, T=AEF, T=AGH, T=AIJKL

Tables Prepared by The Morris Leatherman Company

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns I
Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 115

Q58. In one or two words, what is your perception of the Golden Valley Police Department?

	TOTAL	<-RESIDENTIAL LONGEV->			<EXPECTED LONGEVITY->			<-TYPE OF HOUSEHOLD-->			<--GENDER-->	
		Less 10 Yrs	10-30 Years	Over 30 Years	Less 5 Yrs	5-10 Years	Over 10 Yrs	Senior	Child	Empty Nest	Male	Female
		-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
TOTAL	400	138	178	84	35	30	335	155	108	292	192	208
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
Excellent	171I 43%	56 41%	81 46%	34 40%	16 46%	9 30%	146 44%	60 39%	34 31%	137AI 47%	83 43%	88 42%
Good	110G 28%	40 29%	49 28%	21 25%	10 29%	16AEG 53%	84 25%	45 29%	33 31%	77 26%	48 25%	62 30%
Helpful	62J 16%	21 15%	25 14%	16 19%	2 6%	2 7%	58A 17%	27 17%	24AJ 22%	38 13%	27 14%	35 17%
Nice	22 6%	6 4%	12 7%	4 5%	1 3%	2 7%	19 6%	8 5%	9 8%	13 4%	13 7%	9 4%
Average	12 3%	5 4%	4 2%	3 4%	4AG 11%	-	8 2%	4 3%	3 3%	9 3%	7 4%	5 2%
Rude/Arrogant	10 3%	4 3%	3 2%	3 4%	1 3%	-	9 3%	5 3%	1 1%	9 3%	7 4%	3 1%
Strict	5 1%	1 1%	2 1%	2 2%	-	-	5 1%	1 1%	2 2%	3 1%	2 1%	3 1%
Lazy	4 1%	1 1%	2 1%	1 1%	1 3%	1 3%	2 1%	2 1%	2 2%	2 1%	3 2%	1 *
Nothing	3 1%	3AC 2%	-	-	-	-	3 1%	3A 2%	-	3 1%	1 1%	2 1%
Unsure	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Scattered	1 *	1 1%	-	-	-	-	1 *	-	-	1 *	1 1%	-

(sig=.05) (all_pairs) columns tested T=ABCD, T=AEFG, T=AHIJ, T=AKL
Note: Percentage less than 0.5 printed as *.

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns II
Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 116

Q58. In one or two words, what is your perception of the Golden Valley Police Department?

	TOTAL	<-AGE OF RESPONDENT->			<-KNOW GOV'T->		<RESIDENCE>		<--PRECINCT OF RESIDENCE-->			
		18-44	45-64	65+	Great /Fair	Very Little	Own	Rent	1&2	3&4	5&6	7&8
	-----	-----	-----	----	-----	-----	---	-----	---	---	---	---
TOTAL	400 100% %	158 100% %	159 100% %	83 100% %	235 100% %	165 100% %	303 100% %	97 100% %	107 100% %	94 100% %	97 100% %	102 100% %
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
Excellent	171 43%	69 44%	65 41%	37 45%	106 45%	65 39%	129 43%	42 43%	50 47%	35 37%	39 40%	47 46%
Good	110E 28%	41 26%	47 30%	22 27%	55 23%	55AE 33%	83 27%	27 28%	27 25%	28 30%	30 31%	25 25%
Helpful	62 16%	23 15%	24 15%	15 18%	42 18%	20 12%	50 17%	12 12%	15 14%	18 19%	18 19%	11 11%
Nice	22 6%	11 7%	9 6%	2 2%	12 5%	10 6%	18 6%	4 4%	9 8%	4 4%	4 4%	5 5%
Average	12 3%	6 4%	5 3%	1 1%	6 3%	6 4%	7 2%	5 5%	4 4%	3 3%	1 1%	4 4%
Rude/Arrogant	10 3%	3 2%	4 3%	3 4%	8 3%	2 1%	8 3%	2 2%	1 1%	4 4%	1 1%	4 4%
Strict	5 1%	2 1%	2 1%	1 1%	3 1%	2 1%	4 1%	1 1%	1 1%	1 1%	1 1%	2 2%
Lazy	4 1%	3 2%	-	1 1%	3 1%	1 1%	3 1%	1 1%	-	1 1%	1 1%	2 2%
Nothing	3EG 1%	-	2 1%	1 1%	-	3AE 2%	-	3AG 3%	-	-	1 1%	2 2%
Unsure	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Scattered	1 *	-	1 1%	-	-	1 1%	1 *	-	-	-	1 1%	-

(sig=.05) (all_pairs) columns tested T=ABCD, T=AEF, T=AGH, T=AIJKL
Note: Percentage less than 0.5 printed as *.

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns I
 Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 117

Q59. Prior to this survey, were you aware of Golden Valley Police Department community programs?

	<-RESIDENTIAL LONGEV->				<EXPECTED LONGEVITY->			<-TYPE OF HOUSEHOLD-->			<--GENDER-->	
	TOTAL	Less 10 Yrs	10-30 Years	Over 30 Years	Less 5 Yrs	5-10 Years	Over 10 Yrs	Senior	Child	Empty Nest	Male	Female
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
TOTAL	400	138	178	84	35	30	335	155	108	292	192	208
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
Aware	334BEK	94	167AB	73B	19	23	292AE	139AI	86	248	150	184AK
	84%	68%	94%	87%	54%	77%	87%	90%	80%	85%	78%	88%
Unaware	64CGHL	43ACD	10	11C	15AG	7	42	16	22H	42	40AL	24
	16%	31%	6%	13%	43%	23%	13%	10%	20%	14%	21%	12%
Unsure	2	1	1	-	1AG	-	1	-	-	2	2	-
	1%	1%	1%		3%		*			1%	1%	

(sig=.05) (all_pairs) columns tested T=ABCD, T=AEFG, T=AHIJ, T=AKL
 Note: Percentage less than 0.5 printed as *.

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns II
 Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 118

Q59. Prior to this survey, were you aware of Golden Valley Police Department community programs?

	TOTAL	<-AGE OF RESPONDENT->			<-KNOW GOVT-> Great Very /Fair Little		<RESIDENCE>		<--PRECINCT OF RESIDENCE-->			
		18-44	45-64	65+			Own	Rent	1&2	3&4	5&6	7&8
	-----	-----	-----	---	-----	-----	---	-----	---	---	---	---
TOTAL	400	158	159	83	235	165	303	97	107	94	97	102
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
Aware	334BFHK 84%	114 72%	149ABD 94%	71B 86%	206AF 88%	128 78%	262AH 86%	72 74%	85 79%	86AIK 91%	74 76%	89K 87%
Unaware	64CEGJ 16%	43ACD 27%	9 6%	12C 14%	28 12%	36AE 22%	40 13%	24AG 25%	22J 21%	7 7%	22AJ 23%	13 13%
Unsure	2 1%	1 1%	1 1%	-	1 *	1 1%	1 *	1 1%	-	1 1%	1 1%	-

(sig=.05) (all_pairs) columns tested T=ABCD, T=AEF, T=AGH, T=AIJKL
 Note: Percentage less than 0.5 printed as *.

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns I
 Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 119

Q60. During the past year, have you had any contact with the Golden Valley police department?

	TOTAL	<-RESIDENTIAL LONGEV->			<EXPECTED LONGEVITY->			<-TYPE OF HOUSEHOLD-->			<--GENDER-->	
		Less 10 Yrs	10-30 Years	Over 30 Years	Less 5 Yrs	5-10 Years	Over 10 Yrs	Senior	Child	Empty Nest	Male	Female
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
TOTAL	400	138	178	84	35	30	335	155	108	292	192	208
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
Yes	161DEJ 40%	54 39%	83AD 47%	24 29%	6 17%	14E 47%	141E 42%	56 36%	62AHJ 57%	99 34%	74 39%	87 42%
No	239CI 60%	84 61%	95 53%	60AC 71%	29AFG 83%	16 53%	194 58%	99I 64%	46 43%	193AI 66%	118 61%	121 58%
Unsure	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns II
 Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 120

Q60. During the past year, have you had any contact with the Golden Valley police department?

	<-AGE OF RESPONDENT->			<-KNOW GOVT->		<RESIDENCE>		<--PRECINCT OF RESIDENCE-->				
	TOTAL	18-44	45-64	65+	Great /Fair	Very Little	Own	Rent	1&2	3&4	5&6	7&8
	-----	-----	-----	---	-----	-----	---	-----	---	---	---	---
TOTAL	400	158	159	83	235	165	303	97	107	94	97	102
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
Yes	161DFJ	72D	64	25	106AF	55	128	33	43	29	48AJ	41
	40%	46%	40%	30%	45%	33%	42%	34%	40%	31%	49%	40%
No	239EK	86	95	58AB	129	110AE	175	64	64	65AK	49	61
	60%	54%	60%	70%	55%	67%	58%	66%	60%	69%	51%	60%
Unsure	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns I
 Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 121

Q61. How would you rate your experience with these community programs?

	<-RESIDENTIAL LONGEV->				<EXPECTED LONGEVITY->			<-TYPE OF HOUSEHOLD-->			<--GENDER-->	
	TOTAL	Less 10 Yrs	10-30 Years	Over 30 Years	Less 5 Yrs	5-10 Years	Over 10 Yrs	Senior	Child	Empty Nest	Male	Female
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
TOTAL	161	54	83	24	6	14	141	56	62	99	74	87
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
FAVOR	156	53	80	23	6	14	136	55	60	96	70	86
-----	97%	98%	96%	96%	100%	100%	96%	98%	97%	97%	95%	99%
Excellent	58	19	30	9	2	4	52	26AI	17	41	22	36
	36%	35%	36%	38%	33%	29%	37%	46%	27%	41%	30%	41%
Good	98	34	50	14	4	10	84	29	43	55	48	50
	61%	63%	60%	58%	67%	71%	60%	52%	69%	56%	65%	57%
UNFAVOR	5	1	3	1	-	-	5	1	2	3	4	1
-----	3%	2%	4%	4%			4%	2%	3%	3%	5%	1%
Only fair	5	1	3	1	-	-	5	1	2	3	4	1
	3%	2%	4%	4%			4%	2%	3%	3%	5%	1%
Poor	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
UNSURE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

 (sig=.05) (all_pairs) columns tested T=ABCD, T=AEFG, T=AHIJ, T=AKL

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns II
 Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 122

Q61. How would you rate your experience with these community programs?

	<-AGE OF RESPONDENT->			<-KNOW GOVT->		<RESIDENCE>		<--PRECINCT OF RESIDENCE-->				
	TOTAL	18-44	45-64	65+	Great /Fair	Very Little	Own	Rent	1&2	3&4	5&6	7&8
	-----	-----	-----	---	-----	-----	---	-----	---	---	---	---
TOTAL	161	72	64	25	106	55	128	33	43	29	48	41
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
FAVOR	156	69	62	25	103	53	124	32	43	29	45	39
-----	97%	96%	97%	100%	97%	96%	97%	97%	100%	100%	94%	95%
Excellent	58	25	23	10	36	22	47	11	16	11	13	18
	36%	35%	36%	40%	34%	40%	37%	33%	37%	38%	27%	44%
Good	98	44	39	15	67	31	77	21	27	18	32	21
	61%	61%	61%	60%	63%	56%	60%	64%	63%	62%	67%	51%
UNFAVOR	5	3	2	-	3	2	4	1	-	-	3	2
-----	3%	4%	3%		3%	4%	3%	3%			6%	5%
Only fair	5	3	2	-	3	2	4	1	-	-	3	2
	3%	4%	3%		3%	4%	3%	3%			6%	5%
Poor	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
UNSURE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

 (sig=.05) (all_pairs) columns tested T=ABCD, T=AEF, T=AGH, T=AIJKL

Tables Prepared by The Morris Leatherman Company

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns I
 Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 123

Q62. In the past two years, have you had any contact with the Golden Valley Police Department?

	TOTAL	<-RESIDENTIAL LONGEV->			<EXPECTED LONGEVITY->			<-TYPE OF HOUSEHOLD-->			<--GENDER-->	
		Less 10 Yrs	10-30 Years	Over 30 Years	Less 5 Yrs	5-10 Years	Over 10 Yrs	Senior	Child	Empty Nest	Male	Female
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
TOTAL	400	138	178	84	35	30	335	155	108	292	192	208
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
Yes	104	28	55AB	21	6	9	89	43	30	74	54	50
	26%	20%	31%	25%	17%	30%	27%	28%	28%	25%	28%	24%
No	296C	110C	123	63	29	21	246	112	78	218	138	158
	74%	80%	69%	75%	83%	70%	73%	72%	72%	75%	72%	76%
Unsure	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns II
 Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 124

Q62. In the past two years, have you had any contact with the Golden Valley Police Department?

	TOTAL	<-AGE OF RESPONDENT->			<-KNOW GOVT->		<RESIDENCE>		<--PRECINCT OF RESIDENCE-->			
		18-44	45-64	65+	Great /Fair	Very Little	Own	Rent	1&2	3&4	5&6	7&8
	-----	-----	-----	---	-----	-----	---	-----	---	---	---	---
TOTAL	400	158	159	83	235	165	303	97	107	94	97	102
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
Yes	104	37	48	19	64	40	81	23	26	31	23	24
	26%	23%	30%	23%	27%	24%	27%	24%	24%	33%	24%	24%
No	296	121	111	64	171	125	222	74	81	63	74	78
	74%	77%	70%	77%	73%	76%	73%	76%	76%	67%	76%	76%
Unsure	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns I
 Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 125
 Q63. What was the situation?

	TOTAL	<-RESIDENTIAL LONGEV->			<EXPECTED LONGEVITY->			<-TYPE OF HOUSEHOLD-->			<--GENDER-->	
		Less 10 Yrs	10-30 Years	Over 30 Years	Less 5 Yrs	5-10 Years	Over 10 Yrs	Senior	Child	Empty Nest	Male	Female
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
TOTAL	104	28	55	21	6	9	89	43	30	74	54	50
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
Traffic violation	44G	18ACD	19	7	4	6	34	14	14	30	22	22
	42%	64%	35%	33%	67%	67%	38%	33%	47%	41%	41%	44%
Investigation	19	3	15AD	1	2	-	17	10	5	14	11	8
	18%	11%	27%	5%	33%		19%	23%	17%	19%	20%	16%
Emergency services	37	6	21	10	-	3	34	16	11	26	19	18
	36%	21%	38%	48%		33%	38%	37%	37%	35%	35%	36%
Something else	3	1	-	2AC	-	-	3	3A	-	3	2	1
	3%	4%		10%			3%	7%		4%	4%	2%
Unsure	1	-	-	1A	-	-	1	-	-	1	-	1
	1%			5%			1%			1%		2%

 (sig=.05) (all_pairs) columns tested T=ABCD, T=AEFG, T=AHIJ, T=AKL

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns II
 Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 126
 Q63. What was the situation?

	TOTAL	<-AGE OF RESPONDENT->			<-KNOW GOVT-> Great Very /Fair Little		<RESIDENCE>		<--PRECINCT OF RESIDENCE-->			
		18-44	45-64	65+			Own	Rent	1&2	3&4	5&6	7&8
	-----	-----	-----	---	-----	-----	---	-----	---	---	---	---
TOTAL	104	37	48	19	64	40	81	23	26	31	23	24
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
Traffic violation	44D 42%	21AD 57%	19 40%	4 21%	24 38%	20 50%	36 44%	8 35%	8 31%	10 32%	12 52%	14 58%
Investigation	19 18%	5 14%	10 21%	4 21%	11 17%	8 20%	16 20%	3 13%	5 19%	8 26%	2 9%	4 17%
Emergency services	37L 36%	11 30%	18 38%	8 42%	27 42%	10 25%	25 31%	12 52%	12L 46%	13 42%	8 35%	4 17%
Something else	3 3%	-	-	3ABC 16%	2 3%	1 3%	3 4%	-	-	-	1 4%	2 8%
Unsure	1 1%	-	1 2%	-	-	1 3%	1 1%	-	1 4%	-	-	-

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns I
Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 127

Q64. How would you say the Police Department handled the situation?

	TOTAL	<-RESIDENTIAL LONGEV->			<EXPECTED LONGEVITY->			<-TYPE OF HOUSEHOLD-->			<--GENDER-->	
		Less 10 Yrs	10-30 Years	Over 30 Years	Less 5 Yrs	5-10 Years	Over 10 Yrs	Senior	Child	Empty Nest	Male	Female
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
TOTAL	104	28	55	21	6	9	89	43	30	74	54	50
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
FAVOR	93	24	51	18	4	8	81	37	26	67	48	45
-----	89%	86%	93%	86%	67%	89%	91%	86%	87%	91%	89%	90%
Excellent	45BI	6	28B	11B	2	2	41	23I	8	37AI	23	22
	43%	21%	51%	52%	33%	22%	46%	53%	27%	50%	43%	44%
Good	48H	18AD	23	7	2	6	40	14	18H	30	25	23
	46%	64%	42%	33%	33%	67%	45%	33%	60%	41%	46%	46%
UNFAVOR	11	4	4	3	2	1	8	6	4	7	6	5
-----	11%	14%	7%	14%	33%	11%	9%	14%	13%	9%	11%	10%
Only fair	4J	1	2	1	1	-	3	2	3AJ	1	3	1
	4%	4%	4%	5%	17%		3%	5%	10%	1%	6%	2%
Poor	7	3	2	2	1	1	5	4	1	6	3	4
	7%	11%	4%	10%	17%	11%	6%	9%	3%	8%	6%	8%
UNSURE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

(sig=.05) (all_pairs) columns tested T=ABCD, T=AEFG, T=AHIJ, T=AKL

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns II
 Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 128

Q64. How would you say the Police Department handled the situation?

	<-AGE OF RESPONDENT->			<-KNOW GOVT->		<RESIDENCE>		<--PRECINCT OF RESIDENCE-->				
	TOTAL	18-44	45-64	65+	Great /Fair	Very Little	Own	Rent	1&2	3&4	5&6	7&8
	-----	-----	-----	----	-----	-----	---	-----	---	---	---	---
TOTAL	104	37	48	19	64	40	81	23	26	31	23	24
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
FAVOR	93	33	44	16	57	36	73	20	25	25	22	21
-----	89%	89%	92%	84%	89%	90%	90%	87%	96%	81%	96%	88%
Excellent	45	12	22	11	25	20	38	7	14	15	9	7
	43%	32%	46%	58%	39%	50%	47%	30%	54%	48%	39%	29%
Good	48	21	22	5	32	16	35	13	11	10	13	14
	46%	57%	46%	26%	50%	40%	43%	57%	42%	32%	57%	58%
UNFAVOR	11	4	4	3	7	4	8	3	1	6	1	3
-----	11%	11%	8%	16%	11%	10%	10%	13%	4%	19%	4%	13%
Only fair	4	2	2	-	1	3	2	2	1	1	1	1
	4%	5%	4%		2%	8%	2%	9%	4%	3%	4%	4%
Poor	7	2	2	3	6	1	6	1	-	5A1K	-	2
	7%	5%	4%	16%	9%	3%	7%	4%		16%		8%
UNSURE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

(sig=.05) (all_pairs) columns tested T=ABCD, T=AEF, T=AGH, T=AIJKL

Tables Prepared by The Morris Leatherman Company

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns I
 Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 129

Q65. Would you say the time it took the police department to respond was prompt?

	TOTAL	<-RESIDENTIAL LONGEV->			<EXPECTED LONGEVITY->			<-TYPE OF HOUSEHOLD-->			<--GENDER-->	
		Less 10 Yrs	10-30 Years	Over 30 Years	Less 5 Yrs	5-10 Years	Over 10 Yrs	Senior	Child	Empty Nest	Male	Female
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
TOTAL	104	28	55	21	6	9	89	43	30	74	54	50
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
Yes	94E	24	51	19	4	8	82E	38	27	67	48	46
	90%	86%	93%	90%	67%	89%	92%	88%	90%	91%	89%	92%
No	7G	4	3	-	2AG	1	4	2	3	4	4	3
	7%	14%	5%		33%	11%	4%	5%	10%	5%	7%	6%
Unsure	3	-	1	2A	-	-	3	3A	-	3	2	1
	3%		2%	10%			3%	7%		4%	4%	2%

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns II
 Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 130

Q65. Would you say the time it took the police department to respond was prompt?

	TOTAL	<-AGE OF RESPONDENT->			<-KNOW GOVT-> Great Very /Fair Little		<RESIDENCE>		<--PRECINCT OF RESIDENCE-->			
		18-44	45-64	65+			Own	Rent	1&2	3&4	5&6	7&8
	-----	-----	-----	---	-----	-----	---	-----	---	---	---	---
TOTAL	104	37	48	19	64	40	81	23	26	31	23	24
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
Yes	94	33	45	16	57	37	73	21	24	26	22	22
	90%	89%	94%	84%	89%	93%	90%	91%	92%	84%	96%	92%
No	7	4	2	1	4	3	5	2	2	2	1	2
	7%	11%	4%	5%	6%	8%	6%	9%	8%	6%	4%	8%
Unsure	3	-	1	2A	3	-	3	-	-	3A	-	-
	3%		2%	11%	5%		4%			10%		

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns I
 Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 131

Q66. Would you say the Police Department personnel were courteous and acted in a professional manner?

	TOTAL	<-RESIDENTIAL LONGEV->			<EXPECTED LONGEVITY->			<-TYPE OF HOUSEHOLD-->			<--GENDER-->	
		Less 10 Yrs	10-30 Years	Over 30 Years	Less 5 Yrs	5-10 Years	Over 10 Yrs	Senior	Child	Empty Nest	Male	Female
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
TOTAL	104	28	55	21	6	9	89	43	30	74	54	50
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
Yes	93	24	51	18	4	8	81	37	26	67	48	45
	89%	86%	93%	86%	67%	89%	91%	86%	87%	91%	89%	90%
No	11	4	4	3	2	1	8	6	4	7	6	5
	11%	14%	7%	14%	33%	11%	9%	14%	13%	9%	11%	10%
Unsure	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns II
 Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 132

Q66. Would you say the Police Department personnel were courteous and acted in a professional manner?

	<-AGE OF RESPONDENT->			<-KNOW GOVT->		<RESIDENCE>		<--PRECINCT OF RESIDENCE-->				
	TOTAL	18-44	45-64	65+	Great /Fair	Very Little	Own	Rent	1&2	3&4	5&6	7&8
	-----	-----	-----	---	-----	-----	---	-----	---	---	---	---
TOTAL	104	37	48	19	64	40	81	23	26	31	23	24
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
Yes	93	32	45	16	56	37	73	20	24	26	22	21
	89%	86%	94%	84%	88%	93%	90%	87%	92%	84%	96%	88%
No	11	5	3	3	8	3	8	3	2	5	1	3
	11%	14%	6%	16%	13%	8%	10%	13%	8%	16%	4%	13%
Unsure	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns I
Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 133

Q67. If you could choose the best way to receive Police Department information and updates, what would it be?

	TOTAL	<-RESIDENTIAL LONGEV->			<EXPECTED LONGEVITY->			<-TYPE OF HOUSEHOLD-->			<--GENDER-->	
		Less 10 Yrs	10-30 Years	Over 30 Years	Less 5 Yrs	5-10 Years	Over 10 Yrs	Senior	Child	Empty Nest	Male	Female
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
TOTAL	400 100% %	138 100% %	178 100% %	84 100% %	35 100% %	30 100% %	335 100% %	155 100% %	108 100% %	292 100% %	192 100% %	208 100% %
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
Mail	131BEI 33%	35 25%	58 33%	38ABC 45%	6 17%	7 23%	118AE 35%	73AIJ 47%	27 25%	104AI 36%	61 32%	70 34%
E-mail	90DH 23%	40AD 29%	41D 23%	9 11%	12 34%	8 27%	70 21%	18 12%	29H 27%	61H 21%	42 22%	48 23%
City newsletter	74 19%	28 20%	34 19%	12 14%	8 23%	8 27%	58 17%	25 16%	16 15%	58 20%	36 19%	38 18%
Phone/Texts	37 9%	14 10%	17 10%	6 7%	2 6%	4 13%	31 9%	9 6%	14H 13%	23 8%	18 9%	19 9%
Local newspaper	21 5%	5 4%	10 6%	6 7%	- -	1 3%	20 6%	10 6%	4 4%	17 6%	6 3%	15 7%
None	12C 3%	5 4%	2 1%	5C 6%	3A 9%	- -	9 3%	5 3%	3 3%	9 3%	7 4%	5 2%
City website	9L 2%	3 2%	2 1%	4 5%	1 3%	- -	8 2%	5 3%	4 4%	5 2%	8AL 4%	1 *
Unsure	13 3%	4 3%	5 3%	4 5%	2 6%	1 3%	10 3%	7 5%	2 2%	11 4%	8 4%	5 2%
Scattered	13J 3%	4 3%	9D 5%	- -	1 3%	1 3%	11 3%	3 2%	9AHJ 8%	4 1%	6 3%	7 3%

(sig=.05) (all_pairs) columns tested T=ABCD, T=AEFG, T=AHIJ, T=AKL
Note: Percentage less than 0.5 printed as *.

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns II
 Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 134

Q67. If you could choose the best way to receive Police Department information and updates, what would it be?

	TOTAL	<-AGE OF RESPONDENT->			<-KNOW	GOVNT->	<RESIDENCE>		<--PRECINCT OF RESIDENCE-->			
		18-44	45-64	65+	Great /Fair	Very Little	Own	Rent	1&2	3&4	5&6	7&8
	-----	-----	-----	---	-----	-----	---	-----	---	---	---	---
TOTAL	400 100% %	158 100% %	159 100% %	83 100% %	235 100% %	165 100% %	303 100% %	97 100% %	107 100% %	94 100% %	97 100% %	102 100% %
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
Mail	131BK 33%	36 23%	60B 38%	35AB 42%	78 33%	53 32%	99 33%	32 33%	31 29%	37K 39%	22 23%	41K 40%
E-mail	90DF 23%	47AD 30%	37D 23%	6 7%	63AF 27%	27 16%	72 24%	18 19%	19 18%	25 27%	20 21%	26 25%
City newsletter	74G 19%	30 19%	24 15%	20 24%	36 15%	38 23%	49 16%	25AG 26%	22 21%	11 12%	25AJ 26%	16 16%
Phone/Texts	37 9%	18 11%	13 8%	6 7%	20 9%	17 10%	27 9%	10 10%	11 10%	10 11%	10 10%	6 6%
Local newspaper	21 5%	9 6%	6 4%	6 7%	15 6%	6 4%	17 6%	4 4%	10AJK 9%	2 2%	2 2%	7 7%
None	12 3%	5 3%	3 2%	4 5%	9 4%	3 2%	9 3%	3 3%	1 1%	6AIL 6%	4 4%	1 1%
City website	9 2%	2 1%	6 4%	1 1%	3 1%	6 4%	8 3%	1 1%	4L 4%	1 1%	4L 4%	-
Unsure	13J 3%	4 3%	5 3%	4 5%	5 2%	8 5%	11 4%	2 2%	7AJL 7%	-	5J 5%	1 1%
Scattered	13 3%	7 4%	5 3%	1 1%	6 3%	7 4%	11 4%	2 2%	2 2%	2 2%	5 5%	4 4%

(sig=.05) (all_pairs) columns tested T=ABCD, T=AEF, T=AGH, T=AIJKL

Tables Prepared by The Morris Leatherman Company

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns I
 Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 135

Q68. How would you rate the amount of police patrolling in your residential neighborhood?

	TOTAL	<-RESIDENTIAL LONGEV->			<EXPECTED LONGEVITY->			<-TYPE OF HOUSEHOLD-->			<--GENDER-->	
		Less 10 Yrs	10-30 Years	Over 30 Years	Less 5 Yrs	5-10 Years	Over 10 Yrs	Senior	Child Nest	Empty	Male	Female
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
TOTAL	400	138	178	84	35	30	335	155	108	292	192	208
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
Too much	6G 2%	2 1%	4 2%	-	3AG 9%	-	3 1%	2 1%	1 1%	5 2%	2 1%	4 2%
About right amount	375E 94%	131 95%	165 93%	79 94%	29 83%	27 90%	319AE 95%	145 94%	101 94%	274 94%	180 94%	195 94%
Not enough	18G 5%	4 3%	9 5%	5 6%	3 9%	3 10%	12 4%	8 5%	6 6%	12 4%	10 5%	8 4%
Unsure	1 *	1 1%	-	-	-	-	1 *	-	-	1 *	-	1 *

(sig=.05) (all_pairs) columns tested T=ABCD, T=AEFG, T=AHIJ, T=AKL
 Note: Percentage less than 0.5 printed as *.

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns II
 Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 136

Q68. How would you rate the amount of police patrolling in your residential neighborhood?

	<-AGE OF RESPONDENT->			<-KNOW GOVT->		<RESIDENCE>		<--PRECINCT OF RESIDENCE-->				
	TOTAL	18-44	45-64	65+	Great /Fair	Very Little	Own	Rent	1&2	3&4	5&6	7&8
	-----	-----	-----	---	-----	-----	---	-----	---	---	---	---
TOTAL	400	158	159	83	235	165	303	97	107	94	97	102
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
Too much	6G 2%	3 2%	1 1%	2 2%	5 2%	1 1%	2 1%	4AG 4%	-	2 2%	-	4AIK 4%
About right amount	375 94%	147 93%	152 96%	76 92%	219 93%	156 95%	288 95%	87 90%	102 95%	86 91%	95JL 98%	92 90%
Not enough	18 5%	7 4%	6 4%	5 6%	11 5%	7 4%	13 4%	5 5%	5 5%	5 5%	2 2%	6 6%
Unsure	1 *	1 1%	-	-	-	1 1%	-	1 1%	-	1 1%	-	-

 (sig=.05) (all_pairs) columns tested T=ABCD, T=AEF, T=AGH, T=AIJKL
 Note: Percentage less than 0.5 printed as *.

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns I
Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 137

Q69. Please tell me which one you consider to be the greatest issue in Golden Valley?

	<-RESIDENTIAL LONGEV->				<EXPECTED LONGEVITY->			<-TYPE OF HOUSEHOLD-->			<--GENDER-->	
	TOTAL	Less 10 Yrs	10-30 Years	Over 30 Years	Less 5 Yrs	5-10 Years	Over 10 Yrs	Senior	Child	Empty Nest	Male	Female
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
TOTAL	386	132	173	81	35	29	322	152	106	280	185	201
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
Juvenile crime	80	21	42	17	5	3	72	42A	24	56	38	42
	21%	16%	24%	21%	14%	10%	22%	28%	23%	20%	21%	21%
Domestic abuse	19G	9	8	2	7AG	3G	9	4	5	14	10	9
	5%	7%	5%	2%	20%	10%	3%	3%	5%	5%	5%	4%
Violent crime	30DG	15D	13	2	4	6AG	20	9	7	23	18	12
	8%	11%	8%	2%	11%	21%	6%	6%	7%	8%	10%	6%
Traffic safety	68H	24	30	14	6	9AG	53	19	25H	43	37	31
	18%	18%	17%	17%	17%	31%	16%	13%	24%	15%	20%	15%
Drugs	37	11	18	8	4	1	32	16	13	24	15	22
	10%	8%	10%	10%	11%	3%	10%	11%	12%	9%	8%	11%
Business crimes	30	15	10	5	2	2	26	9	8	22	15	15
	8%	11%	6%	6%	6%	7%	8%	6%	8%	8%	8%	7%
Residential crimes	33	10	14	9	2	2	29	10	10	23	14	19
	9%	8%	8%	11%	6%	7%	9%	7%	9%	8%	8%	9%
Something else	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
All	4	2	2	-	2AG	-	2	-	1	3	1	3
	1%	2%	1%		6%		1%		1%	1%	1%	1%
None	85EI	25	36	24	3	3	79AE	43AI	13	72AI	37	48
	22%	19%	21%	30%	9%	10%	25%	28%	12%	26%	20%	24%

(sig=.05) (all_pairs) columns tested T=ABCD, T=AEFG, T=AHIJ, T=AKL

Tables Prepared by The Morris Leatherman Company

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns II
Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 138

Q69. Please tell me which one you consider to be the greatest issue in Golden Valley?

	TOTAL	<-AGE OF RESPONDENT->			<-KNOW GOVT-> Great Very /Fair Little		<RESIDENCE>		<--PRECINCT OF RESIDENCE-->			
		18-44	45-64	65+			Own	Rent	1&2	3&4	5&6	7&8
	-----	-----	-----	---	-----	-----	---	-----	---	---	---	---
TOTAL	386 100% %	150 100% %	156 100% %	80 100% %	227 100% %	159 100% %	296 100% %	90 100% %	105 100% %	91 100% %	95 100% %	95 100% %
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
Juvenile crime	80B 21%	22 15%	37B 24%	21B 26%	40 18%	40 25%	60 20%	20 22%	21 20%	23 25%	14 15%	22 23%
Domestic abuse	19CF 5%	12AC 8%	2 1%	5C 6%	16AF 7%	3 2%	14 5%	5 6%	4 4%	6 7%	7 7%	2 2%
Violent crime	30D 8%	19ACD 13%	9 6%	2 3%	21 9%	9 6%	25 8%	5 6%	7 7%	4 4%	16AIJL 17%	3 3%
Traffic safety	68 18%	28 19%	30 19%	10 13%	41 18%	27 17%	55 19%	13 14%	19 18%	13 14%	14 15%	22 23%
Drugs	37 10%	13 9%	16 10%	8 10%	25 11%	12 8%	27 9%	10 11%	7 7%	11 12%	9 9%	10 11%
Business crimes	30D 8%	15D 10%	13 8%	2 3%	16 7%	14 9%	22 7%	8 9%	10 10%	7 8%	9 9%	4 4%
Residential crimes	33 9%	14 9%	15 10%	4 5%	24 11%	9 6%	26 9%	7 8%	6 6%	10 11%	9 9%	8 8%
Something else	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
All	4G 1%	4AC 3%	-	-	3 1%	1 1%	1 *	3AG 3%	1 1%	1 1%	-	2 2%
None	85BE 22%	23 15%	34 22%	28ABC 35%	41 18%	44AE 28%	66 22%	19 21%	30 29%	16 18%	17 18%	22 23%

(sig=.05) (all_pairs) columns tested T=ABCD, T=AEF, T=AGH, T=AIJKL
Note: Percentage less than 0.5 printed as *.

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns I
 Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 139

Q70. Would you or any members of your household ever consider becoming a firefighter in the city?

	TOTAL	<-RESIDENTIAL LONGEV->			<EXPECTED LONGEVITY->			<-TYPE OF HOUSEHOLD-->			<--GENDER-->	
		Less 10 Yrs	10-30 Years	Over 30 Years	Less 5 Yrs	5-10 Years	Over 10 Yrs	Senior	Child	Empty Nest	Male	Female
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
TOTAL	400	138	178	84	35	30	335	155	108	292	192	208
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
Yes	127DGHJL 32%	56AD 41%	61D 34%	10 12%	19AG 54%	16AG 53%	92 27%	20 13%	49AHJ 45%	78H 27%	71AL 37%	56 27%
No	268BEFIK 67%	78 57%	116 65%	74ABC 88%	16 46%	14 47%	238AEF 71%	133AIJ 86%	58 54%	210AI 72%	118 61%	150AK 72%
Unsure	5 1%	4A 3%	1 1%	-	-	-	5 1%	2 1%	1 1%	4 1%	3 2%	2 1%

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns II
 Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 140

Q70. Would you or any members of your household ever consider becoming a firefighter in the city?

	TOTAL	<-AGE OF RESPONDENT->			<-KNOW GOVT->		<RESIDENCE>		<--PRECINCT OF RESIDENCE-->			
		18-44	45-64	65+	Great /Fair	Very Little	Own	Rent	1&2	3&4	5&6	7&8
	-----	-----	-----	---	-----	-----	---	-----	---	---	---	---
TOTAL	400	158	159	83	235	165	303	97	107	94	97	102
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
Yes	127CDFI	81ACD	35	11	94AF	33	95	32	24	28	46AIJL	29
	32%	51%	22%	13%	40%	20%	31%	33%	22%	30%	47%	28%
No	268BEK	74	124AB	70AB	141	127AE	207	61	81AK	66K	49	72K
	67%	47%	78%	84%	60%	77%	68%	63%	76%	70%	51%	71%
Unsure	5EG	3	-	2C	-	5AE	1	4AG	2	-	2	1
	1%	2%		2%		3%	*	4%	2%		2%	1%

(sig=.05) (all_pairs) columns tested T=ABCD, T=AEF, T=AGH, T=AIJKL
 Note: Percentage less than 0.5 printed as *.

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns I
Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 141
Q71. What are the greatest barriers to consider becoming a firefighter?

	<-RESIDENTIAL LONGEV->			<EXPECTED LONGEVITY->			<-TYPE OF HOUSEHOLD-->			<--GENDER-->		
	TOTAL	Less 10 Yrs	10-30 Years	Over 30 Years	Less 5 Yrs	5-10 Years	Over 10 Yrs	Senior	Child	Empty Nest	Male	Female
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
TOTAL	267	78	116	73	16	14	237	132	57	210	117	150
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
No interest	120DHJ 45%	49ACD 63%	54D 47%	17 23%	8 50%	10AG 71%	102 43%	34 26%	38AHJ 67%	82H 39%	47 40%	73 49%
Age/Health issues	104BFI 39%	9 12%	44B 38%	51ABC 70%	3 19%	1 7%	100AF 42%	91AIJ 69%	2 4%	102AI 49%	47 40%	57 38%
No time	35DHJ 13%	18ACD 23%	13 11%	4 5%	3 19%	2 14%	30 13%	5 4%	14AHJ 25%	21H 10%	19 16%	16 11%
Not high pay	5GJ 2%	1 1%	4 3%	-	1 6%	1 7%	3 1%	1 1%	3AHJ 5%	2 1%	3 3%	2 1%
Unsure	3 1%	1 1%	1 1%	1 1%	1A 6%	-	2 1%	1 1%	-	3 1%	1 1%	2 1%
Scattered	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns II
 Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 142

Q71. What are the greatest barriers to consider becoming a firefighter?

	TOTAL	<-AGE OF RESPONDENT->			<-KNOW GOVT-> Great Very /Fair Little		<RESIDENCE>		<--PRECINCT OF RESIDENCE-->			
		18-44	45-64	65+			Own	Rent	1&2	3&4	5&6	7&8
	-----	-----	-----	---	-----	-----	---	-----	---	---	---	---
TOTAL	267 100% %	74 100% %	124 100% %	69 100% %	140 100% %	127 100% %	206 100% %	61 100% %	81 100% %	65 100% %	49 100% %	72 100% %
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
No interest	120DG 45%	47AD 64%	64AD 52%	9 13%	61 44%	59 46%	83 40%	37AG 61%	40 49%	27 42%	18 37%	35 49%
Age/Health issues	104BH 39%	4 5%	42B 34%	58ABC 84%	57 41%	47 37%	92AH 45%	12 20%	30 37%	26 40%	22 45%	26 36%
No time	35D 13%	20ACD 27%	14D 11%	1 1%	18 13%	17 13%	25 12%	10 16%	9 11%	7 11%	9 18%	10 14%
Not high pay	5 2%	2 3%	3 2%	-	3 2%	2 2%	4 2%	1 2%	1 1%	3 5%	-	1 1%
Unsure	3 1%	1 1%	1 1%	1 1%	1 1%	2 2%	2 1%	1 2%	1 1%	2 3%	-	-
Scattered	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

(sig=.05) (all_pairs) columns tested T=ABCD, T=AEF, T=AGH, T=AIJKL

Tables Prepared by The Morris Leatherman Company

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns I
 Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 143

Q72. Is this response time acceptable?

	TOTAL	<-RESIDENTIAL LONGEV->			<EXPECTED LONGEVITY->			<-TYPE OF HOUSEHOLD-->			<--GENDER-->	
		Less 10 Yrs	10-30 Years	Over 30 Years	Less 5 Yrs	5-10 Years	Over 10 Yrs	Senior	Child	Empty Nest	Male	Female
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
TOTAL	400	138	178	84	35	30	335	155	108	292	192	208
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
Yes	316G	113	143	60	32G	26	258	121	91	225	155	161
	79%	82%	80%	71%	91%	87%	77%	78%	84%	77%	81%	77%
No	67I	19	26	22ABC	2	3	62A	30I	11	56AI	31	36
	17%	14%	15%	26%	6%	10%	19%	19%	10%	19%	16%	17%
Unsure	17	6	9	2	1	1	15	4	6	11	6	11
	4%	4%	5%	2%	3%	3%	4%	3%	6%	4%	3%	5%

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns II
 Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 144
 Q72. Is this response time acceptable?

	TOTAL	<-AGE OF RESPONDENT->			<-KNOW GOVT-> Great Very /Fair Little		<RESIDENCE>		<--PRECINCT OF RESIDENCE-->			
		18-44	45-64	65+			Own	Rent	1&2	3&4	5&6	7&8
	-----	-----	-----	----	-----	-----	---	-----	---	---	---	---
TOTAL	400	158	159	83	235	165	303	97	107	94	97	102
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
Yes	316E	133A	120	63	175	141AE	241	75	81	73	88AIJL	74
	79%	84%	75%	76%	74%	85%	80%	77%	76%	78%	91%	73%
No	67BFK	17	34AB	16	54AF	13	50	17	19K	18K	5	25AK
	17%	11%	21%	19%	23%	8%	17%	18%	18%	19%	5%	25%
Unsure	17E	8	5	4	6	11AE	12	5	7	3	4	3
	4%	5%	3%	5%	3%	7%	4%	5%	7%	3%	4%	3%

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns I
 Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 145

Q73. Would you support a property tax increase to reduce the response time?

	TOTAL	<-RESIDENTIAL LONGEV->			<EXPECTED LONGEVITY->			<-TYPE OF HOUSEHOLD-->			<--GENDER-->	
		Less 10 Yrs	10-30 Years	Over 30 Years	Less 5 Yrs	5-10 Years	Over 10 Yrs	Senior	Child	Empty Nest	Male	Female
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
TOTAL	67	19	26	22	2	3	62	30	11	56	31	36
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
Yes	48EI	13	21	14	-	2	46E	18	5	43AI	20	28
	72%	68%	81%	64%		67%	74%	60%	45%	77%	65%	78%
No	17	4	5	8	1	1	15	12A	5	12	10	7
	25%	21%	19%	36%	50%	33%	24%	40%	45%	21%	32%	19%
Unsure	2G	2A	-	-	1AG	-	1	-	1	1	1	1
	3%	11%			50%		2%		9%	2%	3%	3%

 (sig=.05) (all_pairs) columns tested T=ABCD, T=AEFG, T=AHIJ, T=AKL

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns II
 Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 146

Q73. Would you support a property tax increase to reduce the response time?

	<-AGE OF RESPONDENT->				<-KNOW GOVT->		<RESIDENCE>		<--PRECINCT OF RESIDENCE-->			
	TOTAL	18-44	45-64	65+	Great /Fair	Very Little	Own	Rent	1&2	3&4	5&6	7&8
	-----	-----	-----	---	-----	-----	---	-----	---	---	---	---
TOTAL	67	17	34	16	54	13	50	17	19	18	5	25
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
Yes	48	13	22	13	41	7	37	11	11	17AIL	3	17
	72%	76%	65%	81%	76%	54%	74%	65%	58%	94%	60%	68%
No	17J	2	12	3	13	4	13	4	7J	1	2	7
	25%	12%	35%	19%	24%	31%	26%	24%	37%	6%	40%	28%
Unsure	2EG	2A	-	-	-	2AE	-	2AG	1	-	-	1
	3%	12%				15%		12%	5%			4%

 (sig=.05) (all_pairs) columns tested T=ABCD, T=AEF, T=AGH, T=AIJKL

Tables Prepared by The Morris Leatherman Company

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns I
 Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 147

Q74. Have you participated in the City Brush Pick-Up, Leaf Drop or Mighty Tidy Day?

	TOTAL	<-RESIDENTIAL LONGEV->			<EXPECTED LONGEVITY->			<-TYPE OF HOUSEHOLD-->			<--GENDER-->	
		Less 10 Yrs	10-30 Years	Over 30 Years	Less 5 Yrs	5-10 Years	Over 10 Yrs	Senior	Child	Empty Nest	Male	Female
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
TOTAL	400	138	178	84	35	30	335	155	108	292	192	208
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
Yes	220BE	53	117AB	50B	3	19E	198AE	105AIJ	57	163	101	119
	55%	38%	66%	60%	9%	63%	59%	68%	53%	56%	53%	57%
No	179CGH	85ACD	61	33	32AFG	11	136	50	51H	128H	90	89
	45%	62%	34%	39%	91%	37%	41%	32%	47%	44%	47%	43%
Unsure	1	-	-	1	-	-	1	-	-	1	1	-
	*			1%			*			*	1%	

(sig=.05) (all_pairs) columns tested T=ABCD, T=AEFG, T=AHIJ, T=AKL
 Note: Percentage less than 0.5 printed as *.

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns II
 Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 148

Q74. Have you participated in the City Brush Pick-Up, Leaf Drop or Mighty Tidy Day?

	TOTAL	<-AGE OF RESPONDENT->			<-KNOW GOVT-> Great Very /Fair Little		<RESIDENCE>		<--PRECINCT OF RESIDENCE-->			
		18-44	45-64	65+			Own	Rent	1&2	3&4	5&6	7&8
	-----	-----	-----	---	-----	-----	---	-----	---	---	---	---
TOTAL	400	158	159	83	235	165	303	97	107	94	97	102
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
Yes	220BH	64	107AB	49B	137	83	195AH	25	64	47	59	50
	55%	41%	67%	59%	58%	50%	64%	26%	60%	50%	61%	49%
No	179CG	94ACD	51	34	98	81	107	72AG	43	46	38	52
	45%	59%	32%	41%	42%	49%	35%	74%	40%	49%	39%	51%
Unsure	1	-	1	-	-	1	1	-	-	1	-	-
	*		1%			1%	*			1%		

(sig=.05) (all_pairs) columns tested T=ABCD, T=AEF, T=AGH, T=AIJKL
 Note: Percentage less than 0.5 printed as *.

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns I
Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 149

Q75. How would you rate the City Brush Pick-Up, Leaf Drop or Mighty Tidy Day?

	<-RESIDENTIAL LONGEV->			<EXPECTED LONGEVITY->			<-TYPE OF HOUSEHOLD-->			<--GENDER-->		
	TOTAL	Less 10 Yrs	10-30 Years	Over 30 Years	Less 5 Yrs	5-10 Years	Over 10 Yrs	Senior	Child	Empty Nest	Male	Female
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
TOTAL	220	53	117	50	3	19	198	105	57	163	101	119
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
Excellent	94F	21	43	30ABC	1	4	89AF	48	19	75	43	51
	43%	40%	37%	60%	33%	21%	45%	46%	33%	46%	43%	43%
Good	125DG	32D	73D	20	2	15AG	108	57	37	88	58	67
	57%	60%	62%	40%	67%	79%	55%	54%	65%	54%	57%	56%
Only Fair	1	-	1	-	-	-	1	-	1	-	-	1
	*		1%				1%		2%			1%
Poor	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Unsure	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

(sig=.05) (all_pairs) columns tested T=ABCD, T=AEFG, T=AHIJ, T=AKL
Note: Percentage less than 0.5 printed as *.

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns II
 Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 150

Q75. How would you rate the City Brush Pick-Up, Leaf Drop or Mighty Tidy Day?

	<-AGE OF RESPONDENT->			<-KNOW GOVT-> Great Very /Fair Little		<RESIDENCE>		<--PRECINCT OF RESIDENCE-->				
	TOTAL -----	18-44 -----	45-64 -----	65+ ----	/Fair -----	Little -----	Own ----	Rent -----	1&2 ----	3&4 ----	5&6 ----	7&8 ----
TOTAL	220 100% %	64 100% %	107 100% %	49 100% %	137 100% %	83 100% %	195 100% %	25 100% %	64 100% %	47 100% %	59 100% %	50 100% %
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
Excellent	94HK 43%	21 33%	50 47%	23 47%	59 43%	35 42%	88AH 45%	6 24%	44AJKL 69%	17 36%	16 27%	17 34%
Good	125GI 57%	42 66%	57 53%	26 53%	77 56%	48 58%	106 54%	19AG 76%	19 30%	30I 64%	43AI 73%	33I 66%
Only Fair	1 *	1 2%	-	-	1 1%	-	1 1%	-	1 2%	-	-	-
Poor	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Unsure	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

(sig=.05) (all_pairs) columns tested T=ABCD, T=AEF, T=AGH, T=AIJKL
 Note: Percentage less than 0.5 printed as *.

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns I
Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 151

Q76. How important are the City Brush Pick-Up, Leaf Drop or Mighty Tidy Day to you and your household?

	TOTAL	<-RESIDENTIAL LONGEV->			<EXPECTED LONGEVITY->			<-TYPE OF HOUSEHOLD-->			<--GENDER-->	
		Less 10 Yrs	10-30 Years	Over 30 Years	Less 5 Yrs	5-10 Years	Over 10 Yrs	Senior	Child	Empty Nest	Male	Female
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
TOTAL	400	138	178	84	35	30	335	155	108	292	192	208
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
Very important	117B 29%	25 18%	59B 33%	33AB 39%	6 17%	7 23%	104 31%	58A 37%	31 29%	86 29%	56 29%	61 29%
Somewhat important	193 48%	69 50%	89 50%	35 42%	20 57%	15 50%	158 47%	70 45%	54 50%	139 48%	89 46%	104 50%
Not too important	58H 15%	28AC 20%	19 11%	11 13%	5 14%	7 23%	46 14%	11 7%	17H 16%	41H 14%	28 15%	30 14%
Not at all important	29 7%	15A 11%	9 5%	5 6%	3 9%	1 3%	25 7%	15 10%	5 5%	24 8%	18 9%	11 5%
Unsure	3 1%	1 1%	2 1%	-	1 3%	-	2 1%	1 1%	1 1%	2 1%	1 1%	2 1%

(sig=.05) (all_pairs) columns tested T=ABCD, T=AEFG, T=AHIJ, T=AKL

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns II
 Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 152

Q76. How important are the City Brush Pick-Up, Leaf Drop or Mighty Tidy Day to you and your household?

	<-AGE OF RESPONDENT->				<-KNOW GOV'T->		<RESIDENCE>		<--PRECINCT OF RESIDENCE-->			
	TOTAL	18-44	45-64	65+	Great /Fair	Very Little	Own	Rent	1&2	3&4	5&6	7&8
	-----	-----	-----	---	-----	-----	---	-----	---	---	---	---
TOTAL	400	158	159	83	235	165	303	97	107	94	97	102
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
Very important	117BH 29%	29 18%	61AB 38%	27B 33%	64 27%	53 32%	99AH 33%	18 19%	36 34%	35KL 37%	22 23%	24 24%
Somewhat important	193FHL 48%	86AC 54%	69 43%	38 46%	132AF 56%	61 37%	159AH 52%	34 35%	44 41%	48 51%	62AIL 64%	39 38%
Not too important	58GJK 15%	29 18%	21 13%	8 10%	29 12%	29 18%	33 11%	25AG 26%	18JK 17%	6 6%	5 5%	29AIJK 28%
Not at all important	29EG 7%	12 8%	8 5%	9 11%	8 3%	21AE 13%	11 4%	18AG 19%	8 7%	5 5%	7 7%	9 9%
Unsure	3 1%	2 1%	-	1 1%	2 1%	1 1%	1 *	2 2%	1 1%	-	1 1%	1 1%

(sig=.05) (all_pairs) columns tested T=ABCD, T=AEF, T=AGH, T=AIJKL
 Note: Percentage less than 0.5 printed as *.

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns I
 Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 153

Q77. Use curbside pick-up of organics? If not, how interested are you in service?

	TOTAL	<-RESIDENTIAL LONGEV->			<EXPECTED LONGEVITY->			<-TYPE OF HOUSEHOLD-->			<--GENDER-->	
		Less 10 Yrs	10-30 Years	Over 30 Years	Less 5 Yrs	5-10 Years	Over 10 Yrs	Senior	Child	Empty Nest	Male	Female
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
TOTAL	400	138	178	84	35	30	335	155	108	292	192	208
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
Yes, use	105	32	51	22	5	6	94	33	35H	70	48	57
	26%	23%	29%	26%	14%	20%	28%	21%	32%	24%	25%	27%
No, very interested	105L	35	49	21	13	10	82	34	29	76	60AL	45
	26%	25%	28%	25%	37%	33%	24%	22%	27%	26%	31%	22%
No, smwt interested	73	23	36	14	8	6	59	28	19	54	30	43
	18%	17%	20%	17%	23%	20%	18%	18%	18%	18%	16%	21%
No, not too interested	117C	48C	42	27	9	8	100	60AI	25	92	54	63
	29%	35%	24%	32%	26%	27%	30%	39%	23%	32%	28%	30%
Unsure	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

 (sig=.05) (all_pairs) columns tested T=ABCD, T=AEFG, T=AHIJ, T=AKL

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns II
 Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 154

Q77. Use curbside pick-up of organics? If not, how interested are you in service?

	TOTAL	<-AGE OF RESPONDENT->			<-KNOW GOVVT->		<RESIDENCE>		<--PRECINCT OF RESIDENCE-->			
		18-44	45-64	65+	Great /Fair	Very Little	Own	Rent	1&2	3&4	5&6	7&8
	-----	-----	-----	---	-----	-----	---	-----	---	---	---	---
TOTAL	400	158	159	83	235	165	303	97	107	94	97	102
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
Yes, use	105FH	43	46	16	75AF	30	91AH	14	27	25	28	25
	26%	27%	29%	19%	32%	18%	30%	14%	25%	27%	29%	25%
No, very interested	105F	48	36	21	74AF	31	80	25	21	27	31I	26
	26%	30%	23%	25%	31%	19%	26%	26%	20%	29%	32%	25%
No, smwt interested	73E	29	31	13	35	38AE	56	17	19	14	18	22
	18%	18%	19%	16%	15%	23%	18%	18%	18%	15%	19%	22%
No, not too interested	117EGK	38	46	33AB	51	66AE	76	41AG	40AK	28	20	29
	29%	24%	29%	40%	22%	40%	25%	42%	37%	30%	21%	28%
Unsure	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

 (sig=.05) (all_pairs) columns tested T=ABCD, T=AEF, T=AGH, T=AIJKL

Tables Prepared by The Morris Leatherman Company

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns I
 Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 155

Q78. Use curbside pick-up of yard waste/small brush? If not, how interested are you in service?

	<-RESIDENTIAL LONGEV->			<EXPECTED LONGEVITY->			<-TYPE OF HOUSEHOLD-->			<--GENDER-->		
	TOTAL	Less 10 Yrs	10-30 Years	Over 30 Years	Less 5 Yrs	5-10 Years	Over 10 Yrs	Senior	Child	Empty Nest	Male	Female
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
TOTAL	400	138	178	84	35	30	335	155	108	292	192	208
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
Yes, use	168	60	70	38	14	10	144	58	46	122	90	78
	42%	43%	39%	45%	40%	33%	43%	37%	43%	42%	47%	38%
No, very interested	89B	21	52AB	16	8	5	76	37	24	65	43	46
	22%	15%	29%	19%	23%	17%	23%	24%	22%	22%	22%	22%
No, smwt interested	61	17	32	12	4	7	50	25	18	43	23	38
	15%	12%	18%	14%	11%	23%	15%	16%	17%	15%	12%	18%
No, not too interested	82C	40AC	24	18	9	8	65	35	20	62	36	46
	21%	29%	13%	21%	26%	27%	19%	23%	19%	21%	19%	22%
Unsure	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

 (sig=.05) (all_pairs) columns tested T=ABCD, T=AEFG, T=AHIJ, T=AKL

Tables Prepared by The Morris Leatherman Company

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns II
 Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 156

Q78. Use curbside pick-up of yard waste/small brush? If not, how interested are you in service?

	<-AGE OF RESPONDENT->				<-KNOW GOVT->		<RESIDENCE>		<--PRECINCT OF RESIDENCE-->			
	TOTAL	18-44	45-64	65+	Great /Fair	Very Little	Own	Rent	1&2	3&4	5&6	7&8
	-----	-----	-----	---	-----	-----	---	-----	---	---	---	---
TOTAL	400	158	159	83	235	165	303	97	107	94	97	102
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
Yes, use	168FH	72	65	31	117AF	51	143AH	25	50	32	35	51JK
	42%	46%	41%	37%	50%	31%	47%	26%	47%	34%	36%	50%
No, very interested	89I	30	43	16	53	36	70	19	16	30AIL	24	19
	22%	19%	27%	19%	23%	22%	23%	20%	15%	32%	25%	19%
No, smwt interested	61	25	20	16	38	23	47	14	16	10	23AJL	12
	15%	16%	13%	19%	16%	14%	16%	14%	15%	11%	24%	12%
No, not too interested	82EG	31	31	20	27	55AE	43	39AG	25	22	15	20
	21%	20%	19%	24%	11%	33%	14%	40%	23%	23%	15%	20%
Unsure	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

 (sig=.05) (all_pairs) columns tested T=ABCD, T=AEF, T=AGH, T=AIJKL

Tables Prepared by The Morris Leatherman Company

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns I
Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 157

Q79. Use yard waste drop-off site? If not, how interested are you in service?

	TOTAL	<-RESIDENTIAL LONGEV->			<EXPECTED LONGEVITY->			<-TYPE OF HOUSEHOLD-->			<--GENDER-->	
		Less 10 Yrs	10-30 Years	Over 30 Years	Less 5 Yrs	5-10 Years	Over 10 Yrs	Senior	Child	Empty Nest	Male	Female
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
TOTAL	400	138	178	84	35	30	335	155	108	292	192	208
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
Yes, use	81L	30	37	14	10	8	63	25	24	57	48AL	33
	20%	22%	21%	17%	29%	27%	19%	16%	22%	20%	25%	16%
No, very interested	112H	36	51	25	11	11	90	31	30	82	58	54
	28%	26%	29%	30%	31%	37%	27%	20%	28%	28%	30%	26%
No, smwt interested	67	19	35	13	4	3	60	31	20	47	28	39
	17%	14%	20%	15%	11%	10%	18%	20%	19%	16%	15%	19%
No, not too interested	140	53	55	32	10	8	122	68AI	34	106	58	82
	35%	38%	31%	38%	29%	27%	36%	44%	31%	36%	30%	39%
Unsure	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

(sig=.05) (all_pairs) columns tested T=ABCD, T=AEFG, T=AHIJ, T=AKL

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns II
 Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 158

Q79. Use yard waste drop-off site? If not, how interested are you in service?

	TOTAL	<-AGE OF RESPONDENT->			<-KNOW GOVT->		<RESIDENCE>		<--PRECINCT OF RESIDENCE-->			
		18-44	45-64	65+	Great /Fair	Very Little	Own	Rent	1&2	3&4	5&6	7&8
	-----	-----	-----	---	-----	-----	---	-----	---	---	---	---
TOTAL	400	158	159	83	235	165	303	97	107	94	97	102
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
Yes, use	81FHJ	43ACD	27	11	62AF	19	69AH	12	26J	6	27AJ	22J
	20%	27%	17%	13%	26%	12%	23%	12%	24%	6%	28%	22%
No, very interested	112F	47	48	17	80AF	32	87	25	23	36AIL	32L	21
	28%	30%	30%	20%	34%	19%	29%	26%	21%	38%	33%	21%
No, smwt interested	67E	27	22	18	30	37AE	51	16	13	10	23AIJ	21
	17%	17%	14%	22%	13%	22%	17%	16%	12%	11%	24%	21%
No, not too interested	140BEGK	41	62B	37AB	63	77AE	96	44AG	45K	42AK	15	38K
	35%	26%	39%	45%	27%	47%	32%	45%	42%	45%	15%	37%
Unsure	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

 (sig=.05) (all_pairs) columns tested T=ABCD, T=AEF, T=AGH, T=AIJKL

Tables Prepared by The Morris Leatherman Company

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns I
Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 159

Q80. Use dirt and mulch pick-up of organics? If not, how interested are you in service?

	<-RESIDENTIAL LONGEV->				<EXPECTED LONGEVITY->			<-TYPE OF HOUSEHOLD-->			<--GENDER-->	
	TOTAL	Less 10 Yrs	10-30 Years	Over 30 Years	Less 5 Yrs	5-10 Years	Over 10 Yrs	Senior	Child	Empty Nest	Male	Female
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
TOTAL	400	138	178	84	35	30	335	155	108	292	192	208
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
Yes, use	53	20	21	12	5	6	42	15	10	43	28	25
	13%	14%	12%	14%	14%	20%	13%	10%	9%	15%	15%	12%
No, very interested	104	34	45	25	11	11	82	34	25	79	55	49
	26%	25%	25%	30%	31%	37%	24%	22%	23%	27%	29%	24%
No, smwt interested	98	28	54AB	16	9	4	85	39	31	67	44	54
	25%	20%	30%	19%	26%	13%	25%	25%	29%	23%	23%	26%
No, not too interested	143	55	57	31	10	9	124	67A	41	102	64	79
	36%	40%	32%	37%	29%	30%	37%	43%	38%	35%	33%	38%
Unsure	2	1	1	-	-	-	2	-	1	1	1	1
	1%	1%	1%				1%		1%	*	1%	*

(sig=.05) (all_pairs) columns tested T=ABCD, T=AEFG, T=AHIJ, T=AKL
Note: Percentage less than 0.5 printed as *.

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns II
 Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 160

Q80. Use dirt and mulch pick-up of organics? If not, how interested are you in service?

	<-AGE OF RESPONDENT->			<-KNOW GOVT->		<RESIDENCE>		<--PRECINCT OF RESIDENCE-->				
	TOTAL	18-44	45-64	65+	Great /Fair	Very Little	Own	Rent	1&2	3&4	5&6	7&8
	-----	-----	-----	---	-----	-----	---	-----	---	---	---	---
TOTAL	400	158	159	83	235	165	303	97	107	94	97	102
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
Yes, use	53DFJ	23D	25D	5	40AF	13	45	8	15	6	17J	15
	13%	15%	16%	6%	17%	8%	15%	8%	14%	6%	18%	15%
No, very interested	104F	46	36	22	73AF	31	83	21	22	21	28	33
	26%	29%	23%	27%	31%	19%	27%	22%	21%	22%	29%	32%
No, smwt interested	98L	39	41	18	60	38	79	19	25	28L	30L	15
	25%	25%	26%	22%	26%	23%	26%	20%	23%	30%	31%	15%
No, not too interested	143EGK	48	57	38AB	61	82AE	94	49AG	44K	39K	21	39K
	36%	30%	36%	46%	26%	50%	31%	51%	41%	41%	22%	38%
Unsure	2	2	-	-	1	1	2	-	1	-	1	-
	1%	1%			*	1%	1%		1%		1%	

(sig=.05) (all_pairs) columns tested T=ABCD, T=AEF, T=AGH, T=AIJKL
 Note: Percentage less than 0.5 printed as *.

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns I
Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 161

Q81. Would you favor or oppose the City changing from its current system?

	TOTAL	<-RESIDENTIAL LONGEV->			<EXPECTED LONGEVITY->			<-TYPE OF HOUSEHOLD-->			<--GENDER-->	
		Less 10 Yrs	10-30 Years	Over 30 Years	Less 5 Yrs	5-10 Years	Over 10 Yrs	Senior	Child	Empty Nest	Male	Female
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
TOTAL	400	138	178	84	35	30	335	155	108	292	192	208
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
FAVOR	203H	81AD	85	37	25AFG	14	164	67	59	144	107	96
-----	51%	59%	48%	44%	71%	47%	49%	43%	55%	49%	56%	46%
Strongly favor	66EF	18	32	16	1	-	65AEF	37AI	15	51	35	31
	17%	13%	18%	19%	3%		19%	24%	14%	17%	18%	15%
Favor	137DGH	63ACD	53	21	24AG	14	99	30	44H	93H	72	65
	34%	46%	30%	25%	69%	47%	30%	19%	41%	32%	38%	31%
OPPOSE	151BE	39	73B	39B	6	13E	132E	66	40	111	67	84
-----	38%	28%	41%	46%	17%	43%	39%	43%	37%	38%	35%	40%
Oppose	115B	28	59B	28B	6	9	100	45	31	84	58	57
	29%	20%	33%	33%	17%	30%	30%	29%	29%	29%	30%	27%
Strongly oppose	36K	11	14	11	-	4E	32	21A	9	27	9	27AK
	9%	8%	8%	13%		13%	10%	14%	8%	9%	5%	13%
UNSURE	46	18	20	8	4	3	39	22	9	37	18	28
-----	12%	13%	11%	10%	11%	10%	12%	14%	8%	13%	9%	13%

(sig=.05) (all_pairs) columns tested T=ABCD, T=AEFG, T=AHIJ, T=AKL

Tables Prepared by The Morris Leatherman Company

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns II
 Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 162

Q81. Would you favor or oppose the City changing from its current system?

	TOTAL	<-AGE OF RESPONDENT->			<-KNOW GOV'T-> Great Very /Fair Little		<RESIDENCE>		<--PRECINCT OF RESIDENCE-->			
		18-44	45-64	65+			Own	Rent	1&2	3&4	5&6	7&8
	-----	-----	-----	---	-----	-----	---	-----	---	---	---	---
TOTAL	400 100% %	158 100% %	159 100% %	83 100% %	235 100% %	165 100% %	303 100% %	97 100% %	107 100% %	94 100% %	97 100% %	102 100% %
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
FAVOR	203DF 51%	95ACD 60%	76 48%	32 39%	133AF 57%	70 42%	155 51%	48 49%	48 45%	53 56%	57IL 59%	45 44%
Strongly favor	66B 17%	16 10%	33B 21%	17B 20%	42 18%	24 15%	50 17%	16 16%	20 19%	20 21%	11 11%	15 15%
Favor	137CDFI 34%	79ACD 50%	43 27%	15 18%	91AF 39%	46 28%	105 35%	32 33%	28 26%	33 35%	46AIL 47%	30 29%
OPPOSE	151BHK 38%	49 31%	63 40%	39B 47%	88 37%	63 38%	125AH 41%	26 27%	48K 45%	32 34%	27 28%	44K 43%
Oppose	115 29%	42 27%	45 28%	28 34%	73 31%	42 25%	92 30%	23 24%	32 30%	20 21%	25 26%	38AJ 37%
Strongly oppose	36BEHK 9%	7 4%	18B 11%	11B 13%	15 6%	21AE 13%	33AH 11%	3 3%	16AKL 15%	12K 13%	2 2%	6 6%
UNSURE	46EG 12%	14 9%	20 13%	12 14%	14 6%	32AE 19%	23 8%	23AG 24%	11 10%	9 10%	13 13%	13 13%

(sig=.05) (all_pairs) columns tested T=ABCD, T=AEF, T=AGH, T=AIJKL

Tables Prepared by The Morris Leatherman Company

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns I
Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 163
Q82. Why do you feel that way?

	<-RESIDENTIAL LONGEV->				<EXPECTED LONGEVITY->			<-TYPE OF HOUSEHOLD-->			<--GENDER-->	
	TOTAL	Less 10 Yrs	10-30 Years	Over 30 Years	Less 5 Yrs	5-10 Years	Over 10 Yrs	Senior	Child	Empty Nest	Male	Female
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
TOTAL	354	120	158	76	31	27	296	133	99	255	174	180
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
Like current hauler	93	32	42	19	9	7	77	33	25	68	46	47
	26%	27%	27%	25%	29%	26%	26%	25%	25%	27%	26%	26%
Less truck traffic	80	30	36	14	5	7	68	27	22	58	39	41
	23%	25%	23%	18%	16%	26%	23%	20%	22%	23%	22%	23%
Want choice	73	23	33	17	7	7	59	32	18	55	32	41
	21%	19%	21%	22%	23%	26%	20%	24%	18%	22%	18%	23%
Organized cheaper	48	13	25	10	4	4	40	13	16	32	24	24
	14%	11%	16%	13%	13%	15%	14%	10%	16%	13%	14%	13%
Less road maintenance	38	14	13	11	3	1	34	20A	11	27	19	19
	11%	12%	8%	14%	10%	4%	11%	15%	11%	11%	11%	11%
Choice is cheaper	21	8	8	5	3	1	17	8	7	14	14	7
	6%	7%	5%	7%	10%	4%	6%	6%	7%	5%	8%	4%
Unsure	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Scattered	1	-	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	1	-	1
	*		1%				*			*		1%

(sig=.05) (all_pairs) columns tested T=ABCD, T=AEFG, T=AHIJ, T=AKL
Note: Percentage less than 0.5 printed as *.

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns II
Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 164
Q82. Why do you feel that way?

	<-AGE OF RESPONDENT->			<-KNOW GOVT->		<RESIDENCE>		<--PRECINCT OF RESIDENCE-->				
	TOTAL	18-44	45-64	65+	Great /Fair	Very Little	Own	Rent	1&2	3&4	5&6	7&8
	-----	-----	-----	----	-----	-----	---	-----	---	---	---	---
TOTAL	354	144	139	71	221	133	280	74	96	85	84	89
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
Like current hauler	93J	36	38	19	62	31	75	18	30J	14	21	28J
	26%	25%	27%	27%	28%	23%	27%	24%	31%	16%	25%	31%
Less truck traffic	80	33	30	17	43	37	63	17	18	20	19	23
	23%	23%	22%	24%	19%	28%	23%	23%	19%	24%	23%	26%
Want choice	73	28	29	16	42	31	62	11	18	24AL	18	13
	21%	19%	21%	23%	19%	23%	22%	15%	19%	28%	21%	15%
Organized cheaper	48CF	28AC	12	8	37AF	11	34	14	10	17A	9	12
	14%	19%	9%	11%	17%	8%	12%	19%	10%	20%	11%	13%
Less road maintenance	38	10	20B	8	23	15	30	8	14L	8	11	5
	11%	7%	14%	11%	10%	11%	11%	11%	15%	9%	13%	6%
Choice is cheaper	21	9	9	3	13	8	15	6	6	2	6	7
	6%	6%	6%	4%	6%	6%	5%	8%	6%	2%	7%	8%
Unsure	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Scattered	1	-	1	-	1	-	1	-	-	-	-	1
	*		1%		*		*					1%

(sig=.05) (all_pairs) columns tested T=ABCD, T=AEF, T=AGH, T=AIJKL
Note: Percentage less than 0.5 printed as *.

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns I
 Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 165

Q83. Would cost for street maintenance and upkeep be better under open collection or organized collection?

	<-RESIDENTIAL LONGEV->			<EXPECTED LONGEVITY->			<-TYPE OF HOUSEHOLD-->			<--GENDER-->		
	TOTAL	Less 10 Yrs	10-30 Years	Over 30 Years	Less 5 Yrs	5-10 Years	Over 10 Yrs	Senior	Child	Empty Nest	Male	Female
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
TOTAL	400	138	178	84	35	30	335	155	108	292	192	208
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
OPEN COLLECTION	178H	69	77	32	16	15	147	51	45	133H	85	93
-----	45%	50%	43%	38%	46%	50%	44%	33%	42%	46%	44%	45%
Strongly open	19	6	8	5	1	2	16	7	8	11	9	10
	5%	4%	4%	6%	3%	7%	5%	5%	7%	4%	5%	5%
Open	159H	63D	69	27	15	13	131	44	37	122H	76	83
	40%	46%	39%	32%	43%	43%	39%	28%	34%	42%	40%	40%
ORGANIZED COLL	186	58	87	41	16	11	159	84A	54	132	94	92
-----	47%	42%	49%	49%	46%	37%	47%	54%	50%	45%	49%	44%
Organized	124B	33	61B	30	8	10	106	59A	36	88	68	56
	31%	24%	34%	36%	23%	33%	32%	38%	33%	30%	35%	27%
Strongly organized	62	25	26	11	8F	1	53	25	18	44	26	36
	16%	18%	15%	13%	23%	3%	16%	16%	17%	15%	14%	17%
UNSURE	36	11	14	11	3	4	29	20A	9	27	13	23
-----	9%	8%	8%	13%	9%	13%	9%	13%	8%	9%	7%	11%

 (sig=.05) (all_pairs) columns tested T=ABCD, T=AEFG, T=AHIJ, T=AKL

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns II
 Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 166

Q83. Would cost for street maintenance and upkeep be better under open collection or organized collection?

	<-AGE OF RESPONDENT->			<-KNOW GOVT->		<RESIDENCE>		<--PRECINCT OF RESIDENCE-->				
	TOTAL	18-44	45-64	65+	Great /Fair	Very Little	Own	Rent	1&2	3&4	5&6	7&8
	-----	-----	-----	---	-----	-----	---	-----	---	---	---	---
TOTAL	400	158	159	83	235	165	303	97	107	94	97	102
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
OPEN COLLECTION	178DF	87ACD	65	26	121AF	57	138	40	41	38	50	49
-----	45%	55%	41%	31%	51%	35%	46%	41%	38%	40%	52%	48%
Strongly open	19	9	6	4	11	8	17	2	4	2	6	7
	5%	6%	4%	5%	5%	5%	6%	2%	4%	2%	6%	7%
Open	159DF	78ACD	59	22	110AF	49	121	38	37	36	44	42
	40%	49%	37%	27%	47%	30%	40%	39%	35%	38%	45%	41%
ORGANIZED COLL	186	65	75	46B	102	84	143	43	53	46	45	42
-----	47%	41%	47%	55%	43%	51%	47%	44%	50%	49%	46%	41%
Organized	124B	40	59AB	25	71	53	96	28	33	31	33	27
	31%	25%	37%	30%	30%	32%	32%	29%	31%	33%	34%	26%
Strongly organized	62C	25	16	21AC	31	31	47	15	20	15	12	15
	16%	16%	10%	25%	13%	19%	16%	15%	19%	16%	12%	15%
UNSURE	36BEGK	6	19B	11B	12	24AE	22	14AG	13K	10K	2	11K
-----	9%	4%	12%	13%	5%	15%	7%	14%	12%	11%	2%	11%

(sig=.05) (all_pairs) columns tested T=ABCD, T=AEF, T=AGH, T=AIJKL

Tables Prepared by The Morris Leatherman Company

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns I
Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 167

Q84. Would cost for garbage collection be better under open collection or organized collection?

	TOTAL	<-RESIDENTIAL LONGEV->			<EXPECTED LONGEVITY->			<-TYPE OF HOUSEHOLD-->			<--GENDER-->	
		Less 10 Yrs	10-30 Years	Over 30 Years	Less 5 Yrs	5-10 Years	Over 10 Yrs	Senior	Child	Empty Nest	Male	Female
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
TOTAL	400	138	178	84	35	30	335	155	108	292	192	208
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
OPEN COLLECTION	212	76	89	47	22	16	174	77	49	163	103	109
-----	53%	55%	50%	56%	63%	53%	52%	50%	45%	56%	54%	52%
Strongly open	108IL	34	52	22	11	7	90	42I	17	91AI	62AL	46
	27%	25%	29%	26%	31%	23%	27%	27%	16%	31%	32%	22%
Open	104CK	42C	37	25	11	9	84	35	32	72	41	63AK
	26%	30%	21%	30%	31%	30%	25%	23%	30%	25%	21%	30%
ORGANIZED COLL	151J	51	69	31	10	10	131	56	50AJ	101	79	72
-----	38%	37%	39%	37%	29%	33%	39%	36%	46%	35%	41%	35%
Organized	119E	36	59	24	5	8	106E	49	40	79	63	56
	30%	26%	33%	29%	14%	27%	32%	32%	37%	27%	33%	27%
Strongly organized	32H	15	10	7	5	2	25	7	10	22	16	16
	8%	11%	6%	8%	14%	7%	7%	5%	9%	8%	8%	8%
UNSURE	37K	11	20	6	3	4	30	22A	9	28	10	27AK
-----	9%	8%	11%	7%	9%	13%	9%	14%	8%	10%	5%	13%

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns II
 Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 168

Q84. Would cost for garbage collection be better under open collection or organized collection?

	<-AGE OF RESPONDENT->			<-KNOW GOVT->		<RESIDENCE>		<--PRECINCT OF RESIDENCE-->				
	TOTAL	18-44	45-64	65+	Great /Fair	Very Little	Own	Rent	1&2	3&4	5&6	7&8
	-----	-----	-----	---	-----	-----	---	-----	---	---	---	---
TOTAL	400	158	159	83	235	165	303	97	107	94	97	102
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
OPEN COLLECTION	212F	88	85	39	136AF	76	162	50	55	54	46	57
-----	53%	56%	53%	47%	58%	46%	53%	52%	51%	57%	47%	56%
Strongly open	108F	45	40	23	76AF	32	84	24	29	22	22	35
	27%	28%	25%	28%	32%	19%	28%	25%	27%	23%	23%	34%
Open	104	43	45	16	60	44	78	26	26	32A	24	22
	26%	27%	28%	19%	26%	27%	26%	27%	24%	34%	25%	22%
ORGANIZED COLL	151	63	55	33	89	62	115	36	44	28	45AJ	34
-----	38%	40%	35%	40%	38%	38%	38%	37%	41%	30%	46%	33%
Organized	119	49	43	27	72	47	92	27	33	22	39AJL	25
	30%	31%	27%	33%	31%	28%	30%	28%	31%	23%	40%	25%
Strongly organized	32	14	12	6	17	15	23	9	11	6	6	9
	8%	9%	8%	7%	7%	9%	8%	9%	10%	6%	6%	9%
UNSURE	37BE	7	19B	11B	10	27AE	26	11	8	12	6	11
-----	9%	4%	12%	13%	4%	16%	9%	11%	7%	13%	6%	11%

 (sig=.05) (all_pairs) columns tested T=ABCD, T=AEF, T=AGH, T=AIJKL

Tables Prepared by The Morris Leatherman Company

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns I
 Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 169

Q85. Would pollution from trucks be better under open collection or organized collection?

	<-RESIDENTIAL LONGEV->				<EXPECTED LONGEVITY->			<-TYPE OF HOUSEHOLD-->			<--GENDER-->	
	TOTAL	Less 10 Yrs	10-30 Years	Over 30 Years	Less 5 Yrs	5-10 Years	Over 10 Yrs	Senior	Child	Empty Nest	Male	Female
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
TOTAL	400	138	178	84	35	30	335	155	108	292	192	208
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
OPEN COLLECTION	163H	64	69	30	19	12	132	42	45H	118H	78	85
-----	41%	46%	39%	36%	54%	40%	39%	27%	42%	40%	41%	41%
Strongly open	70GHI	34AC	24	12	12AG	8	50	18	12	58AHI	35	35
	18%	25%	13%	14%	34%	27%	15%	12%	11%	20%	18%	17%
Open	93HJ	30	45	18	7	4	82	24	33AHJ	60	43	50
	23%	22%	25%	21%	20%	13%	24%	15%	31%	21%	22%	24%
ORGANIZED COLL	197	63	95	39	14	14	169	91AJ	55	142	100	97
-----	49%	46%	53%	46%	40%	47%	50%	59%	51%	49%	52%	47%
Organized	106	29	54	23	5	7	94	52A	26	80	55	51
	27%	21%	30%	27%	14%	23%	28%	34%	24%	27%	29%	25%
Strongly organized	91	34	41	16	9	7	75	39	29	62	45	46
	23%	25%	23%	19%	26%	23%	22%	25%	27%	21%	23%	22%
UNSURE	40	11	14	15ABC	2	4	34	22A	8	32	14	26
-----	10%	8%	8%	18%	6%	13%	10%	14%	7%	11%	7%	13%

 (sig=.05) (all_pairs) columns tested T=ABCD, T=AEFG, T=AHIJ, T=AKL

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns II
 Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 170

Q85. Would pollution from trucks be better under open collection or organized collection?

	<-AGE OF RESPONDENT->			<-KNOW GOVT->		<RESIDENCE>		<--PRECINCT OF RESIDENCE-->				
	TOTAL	18-44	45-64	65+	Great /Fair	Very Little	Own	Rent	1&2	3&4	5&6	7&8
	-----	-----	-----	---	-----	-----	---	-----	---	---	---	---
TOTAL	400	158	159	83	235	165	303	97	107	94	97	102
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
OPEN COLLECTION	163DF	85ACD	59D	19	112AF	51	126	37	37	41	43	42
-----	41%	54%	37%	23%	48%	31%	42%	38%	35%	44%	44%	41%
Strongly open	70CFH	39ACD	20	11	50AF	20	61AH	9	15	21	19	15
	18%	25%	13%	13%	21%	12%	20%	9%	14%	22%	20%	15%
Open	93D	46AD	39D	8	62	31	65	28	22	20	24	27
	23%	29%	25%	10%	26%	19%	21%	29%	21%	21%	25%	26%
ORGANIZED COLL	197BE	67	81	49AB	105	92AE	148	49	57	42	53	45
-----	49%	42%	51%	59%	45%	56%	49%	51%	53%	45%	55%	44%
Organized	106J	36	44	26	62	44	83	23	32J	16	35AJL	23
	27%	23%	28%	31%	26%	27%	27%	24%	30%	17%	36%	23%
Strongly organized	91E	31	37	23	43	48AE	65	26	25	26	18	22
	23%	20%	23%	28%	18%	29%	21%	27%	23%	28%	19%	22%
UNSURE	40BK	6	19B	15AB	18	22	29	11	13K	11K	1	15K
-----	10%	4%	12%	18%	8%	13%	10%	11%	12%	12%	1%	15%

(sig=.05) (all_pairs) columns tested T=ABCD, T=AEF, T=AGH, T=AIJKL

Tables Prepared by The Morris Leatherman Company

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns I
Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 171

Q86. Would customer service be better under open collection or organized collection?

	<-RESIDENTIAL LONGEV->			<EXPECTED LONGEVITY->			<-TYPE OF HOUSEHOLD-->			<--GENDER-->		
	TOTAL	Less 10 Yrs	10-30 Years	Over 30 Years	Less 5 Yrs	5-10 Years	Over 10 Yrs	Senior	Child	Empty Nest	Male	Female
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
TOTAL	400	138	178	84	35	30	335	155	108	292	192	208
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
OPEN COLLECTION	198H	70	90	38	19	14	165	65	49	149	94	104
-----	50%	51%	51%	45%	54%	47%	49%	42%	45%	51%	49%	50%
Strongly open	66	28	24	14	9	6	51	20	18	48	31	35
	17%	20%	13%	17%	26%	20%	15%	13%	17%	16%	16%	17%
Open	132	42	66	24	10	8	114	45	31	101	63	69
	33%	30%	37%	29%	29%	27%	34%	29%	29%	35%	33%	33%
ORGANIZED COLL	144	52	61	31	12	10	122	60	44	100	76	68
-----	36%	38%	34%	37%	34%	33%	36%	39%	41%	34%	40%	33%
Organized	103	32	46	25	7	7	89	46	30	73	57	46
	26%	23%	26%	30%	20%	23%	27%	30%	28%	25%	30%	22%
Strongly organized	41	20A	15	6	5	3	33	14	14	27	19	22
	10%	14%	8%	7%	14%	10%	10%	9%	13%	9%	10%	11%
UNSURE	58	16	27	15	4	6	48	30A	15	43	22	36
-----	15%	12%	15%	18%	11%	20%	14%	19%	14%	15%	11%	17%

(sig=.05) (all_pairs) columns tested T=ABCD, T=AEFG, T=AHIJ, T=AKL

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns II
 Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 172

Q86. Would customer service be better under open collection or organized collection?

	<-AGE OF RESPONDENT->			<-KNOW GOV'T->		<RESIDENCE>		<--PRECINCT OF RESIDENCE-->				
	TOTAL	18-44	45-64	65+	Great /Fair	Very Little	Own	Rent	1&2	3&4	5&6	7&8
	-----	-----	-----	---	-----	-----	---	-----	---	---	---	---
TOTAL	400	158	159	83	235	165	303	97	107	94	97	102
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
OPEN COLLECTION	198F	88A	74	36	134AF	64	156	42	49	41	52	56
-----	50%	56%	47%	43%	57%	39%	51%	43%	46%	44%	54%	55%
Strongly open	66F	30	25	11	49AF	17	56	10	18	14	18	16
	17%	19%	16%	13%	21%	10%	18%	10%	17%	15%	19%	16%
Open	132	58	49	25	85	47	100	32	31	27	34	40
	33%	37%	31%	30%	36%	28%	33%	33%	29%	29%	35%	39%
ORGANIZED COLL	144	56	58	30	84	60	107	37	37	33	38	36
-----	36%	35%	36%	36%	36%	36%	35%	38%	35%	35%	39%	35%
Organized	103	36	45	22	65	38	79	24	25	24	29	25
	26%	23%	28%	27%	28%	23%	26%	25%	23%	26%	30%	25%
Strongly organized	41	20	13	8	19	22	28	13	12	9	9	11
	10%	13%	8%	10%	8%	13%	9%	13%	11%	10%	9%	11%
UNSURE	58BEK	14	27B	17B	17	41AE	40	18	21KL	20AKL	7	10
-----	15%	9%	17%	20%	7%	25%	13%	19%	20%	21%	7%	10%

(sig=.05) (all_pairs) columns tested T=ABCD, T=AEF, T=AGH, T=AIJKL

Tables Prepared by The Morris Leatherman Company

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns I
Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 173

Q87. Would you support or oppose the encouraging the reduction of plastic bag use?

	TOTAL	<-RESIDENTIAL LONGEV->			<EXPECTED LONGEVITY->			<-TYPE OF HOUSEHOLD-->			<--GENDER-->	
		Less 10 Yrs	10-30 Years	Over 30 Years	Less 5 Yrs	5-10 Years	Over 10 Yrs	Senior	Child	Empty Nest	Male	Female
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
TOTAL	400	138	178	84	35	30	335	155	108	292	192	208
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
SUPPORT	257DGH	97D	118D	42	28AG	22	207	84	72H	185	128	129
-----	64%	70%	66%	50%	80%	73%	62%	54%	67%	63%	67%	62%
Strongly support	69F	20	30	19	4	1	64AF	39AI	16	53	29	40
	17%	14%	17%	23%	11%	3%	19%	25%	15%	18%	15%	19%
Support	188DGH	77AD	88D	23	24AG	21AG	143	45	56H	132H	99	89
	47%	56%	49%	27%	69%	70%	43%	29%	52%	45%	52%	43%
OPPOSE	117B	31	52	34AB	7	8	102	58A	30	87	52	65
-----	29%	22%	29%	40%	20%	27%	30%	37%	28%	30%	27%	31%
Oppose	83	26	33	24A	6	6	71	39	23	60	39	44
	21%	19%	19%	29%	17%	20%	21%	25%	21%	21%	20%	21%
Strongly oppose	34B	5	19B	10B	1	2	31	19A	7	27	13	21
	9%	4%	11%	12%	3%	7%	9%	12%	6%	9%	7%	10%
UNSURE	26	10	8	8	-	-	26A	13	6	20	12	14
-----	7%	7%	4%	10%			8%	8%	6%	7%	6%	7%

(sig=.05) (all_pairs) columns tested T=ABCD, T=AEFG, T=AHIJ, T=AKL

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns II
Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 174

Q87. Would you support or oppose the encouraging the reduction of plastic bag use?

	<-AGE OF RESPONDENT->				<-KNOW GOV'T->		<RESIDENCE>		<--PRECINCT OF RESIDENCE-->			
	TOTAL	18-44	45-64	65+	Great /Fair	Very Little	Own	Rent	1&2	3&4	5&6	7&8
	-----	-----	-----	---	-----	-----	---	-----	---	---	---	---
TOTAL	400	158	159	83	235	165	303	97	107	94	97	102
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
SUPPORT	257DF	115ACD	97	45	162AF	95	192	65	71	54	74AJL	58
-----	64%	73%	61%	54%	69%	58%	63%	67%	66%	57%	76%	57%
Strongly support	69BK	15	36AB	18B	39	30	53	16	31AKL	17	9	12
	17%	9%	23%	22%	17%	18%	17%	16%	29%	18%	9%	12%
Support	188CDFI	100ACD	61	27	123AF	65	139	49	40	37	65AIJL	46
	47%	63%	38%	33%	52%	39%	46%	51%	37%	39%	67%	45%
OPPOSE	117B	32	56AB	29B	61	56	95	22	28	31	21	37K
-----	29%	20%	35%	35%	26%	34%	31%	23%	26%	33%	22%	36%
Oppose	83	28	35	20	42	41	65	18	21	18	18	26
	21%	18%	22%	24%	18%	25%	21%	19%	20%	19%	19%	25%
Strongly oppose	34BK	4	21AB	9B	19	15	30	4	7	13AK	3	11K
	9%	3%	13%	11%	8%	9%	10%	4%	7%	14%	3%	11%
UNSURE	26K	11	6	9C	12	14	16	10	8	9K	2	7
-----	7%	7%	4%	11%	5%	8%	5%	10%	7%	10%	2%	7%

(sig=.05) (all_pairs) columns tested T=ABCD, T=AEF, T=AGH, T=AIJKL

Tables Prepared by The Morris Leatherman Company

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns I
 Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 175
 Q88. Community and/or neighborhood parks?

	TOTAL	<-RESIDENTIAL LONGEV->			<EXPECTED LONGEVITY->			<-TYPE OF HOUSEHOLD-->			<--GENDER-->	
		Less 10 Yrs	10-30 Years	Over 30 Years	Less 5 Yrs	5-10 Years	Over 10 Yrs	Senior	Child	Empty Nest	Male	Female
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
TOTAL	400	138	178	84	35	30	335	155	108	292	192	208
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
Yes	269DHJ 67%	98D 71%	131AD 74%	40 48%	21 60%	23 77%	225 67%	76 49%	93AHJ 86%	176H 60%	131 68%	138 66%
No	131CI 33%	40 29%	47 26%	44ABC 52%	14 40%	7 23%	110 33%	79AIJ 51%	15 14%	116AI 40%	61 32%	70 34%
Unsure	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns II
 Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 176
 Q88. Community and/or neighborhood parks?

	<-AGE OF RESPONDENT->			<-KNOW GOVT->		<RESIDENCE>		<--PRECINCT OF RESIDENCE-->				
	TOTAL	18-44	45-64	65+	Great /Fair	Very Little	Own	Rent	1&2	3&4	5&6	7&8
	-----	-----	-----	---	-----	-----	---	-----	---	---	---	---
TOTAL	400	158	159	83	235	165	303	97	107	94	97	102
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
Yes	269DI 67%	128ACD 81%	106D 67%	35 42%	155 66%	114 69%	206 68%	63 65%	59 55%	75AIL 80%	72I 74%	63 62%
No	131BJ 33%	30 19%	53B 33%	48ABC 58%	80 34%	51 31%	97 32%	34 35%	48AJK 45%	19 20%	25 26%	39J 38%
Unsure	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns I
 Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 177
 Q89. Summer concerts and special events?

	TOTAL	<-RESIDENTIAL LONGEV->			<EXPECTED LONGEVITY->			<-TYPE OF HOUSEHOLD-->			<--GENDER-->	
		Less 10 Yrs	10-30 Years	Over 30 Years	Less 5 Yrs	5-10 Years	Over 10 Yrs	Senior	Child	Empty Nest	Male	Female
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
TOTAL	400	138	178	84	35	30	335	155	108	292	192	208
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
Yes	157DHJ 39%	69AD 50%	72D 40%	16 19%	18 51%	12 40%	127 38%	33 21%	58AHJ 54%	99H 34%	79 41%	78 38%
No	243BI 61%	69 50%	106 60%	68ABC 81%	17 49%	18 60%	208 62%	122AIJ 79%	50 46%	193AI 66%	113 59%	130 63%
Unsure	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns II
 Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 178
 Q89. Summer concerts and special events?

	TOTAL	<-AGE OF RESPONDENT->			<-KNOW GOVT->	<RESIDENCE>		<--PRECINCT OF RESIDENCE-->				
		18-44	45-64	65+	Great /Fair	Very Little	Own	Rent	1&2	3&4	5&6	7&8
	-----	-----	-----	---	-----	-----	---	-----	---	---	---	---
TOTAL	400	158	159	83	235	165	303	97	107	94	97	102
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
Yes	157CD	87ACD	47	23	94	63	118	39	48	35	35	39
	39%	55%	30%	28%	40%	38%	39%	40%	45%	37%	36%	38%
No	243B	71	112AB	60AB	141	102	185	58	59	59	62	63
	61%	45%	70%	72%	60%	62%	61%	60%	55%	63%	64%	62%
Unsure	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns I
 Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 179
 Q90. Community athletic fields?

	TOTAL	<-RESIDENTIAL LONGEV->			<EXPECTED LONGEVITY->			<-TYPE OF HOUSEHOLD-->			<--GENDER-->	
		Less 10 Yrs	10-30 Years	Over 30 Years	Less 5 Yrs	5-10 Years	Over 10 Yrs	Senior	Child	Empty Nest	Male	Female
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
TOTAL	400	138	178	84	35	30	335	155	108	292	192	208
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
Yes	117DHJ 29%	56ACD 41%	49D 28%	12 14%	15 43%	10 33%	92 27%	19 12%	55AHJ 51%	62H 21%	62 32%	55 26%
No	283BI 71%	82 59%	129B 72%	72ABC 86%	20 57%	20 67%	243 73%	136AIJ 88%	53 49%	230AI 79%	130 68%	153 74%
Unsure	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns II
 Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 180
 Q90. Community athletic fields?

	TOTAL	<-AGE OF RESPONDENT->			<-KNOW GOV'T->		<RESIDENCE>		<--PRECINCT OF RESIDENCE-->			
		18-44	45-64	65+	Great /Fair	Very Little	Own	Rent	1&2	3&4	5&6	7&8
	-----	-----	-----	---	-----	-----	---	-----	---	---	---	---
TOTAL	400	158	159	83	235	165	303	97	107	94	97	102
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
Yes	117CDEG 29%	78ACD 49%	31D 19%	8 10%	58 25%	59AE 36%	80 26%	37AG 38%	29 27%	33 35%	29 30%	26 25%
No	283BFH 71%	80 51%	128AB 81%	75ABC 90%	177AF 75%	106 64%	223AH 74%	60 62%	78 73%	61 65%	68 70%	76 75%
Unsure	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns I
 Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 181
 Q91. Open spaces and natural areas?

	TOTAL	<-RESIDENTIAL LONGEV->			<EXPECTED LONGEVITY->			<-TYPE OF HOUSEHOLD-->			<--GENDER-->	
		Less 10 Yrs	10-30 Years	Over 30 Years	Less 5 Yrs	5-10 Years	Over 10 Yrs	Senior	Child	Empty Nest	Male	Female
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
TOTAL	400	138	178	84	35	30	335	155	108	292	192	208
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
Yes	267	95	119	53	21	21	225	96	77	190	128	139
	67%	69%	67%	63%	60%	70%	67%	62%	71%	65%	67%	67%
No	133	43	59	31	14	9	110	59	31	102	64	69
	33%	31%	33%	37%	40%	30%	33%	38%	29%	35%	33%	33%
Unsure	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns II
 Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 182
 Q91. Open spaces and natural areas?

	TOTAL	<-AGE OF RESPONDENT->			<-KNOW GOVT->		<RESIDENCE>		<--PRECINCT OF RESIDENCE-->			
		18-44	45-64	65+	Great /Fair	Very Little	Own	Rent	1&2	3&4	5&6	7&8
	-----	-----	-----	---	-----	-----	---	-----	---	---	---	---
TOTAL	400	158	159	83	235	165	303	97	107	94	97	102
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
Yes	267	108	110	49	157	110	202	65	69	63	70	65
	67%	68%	69%	59%	67%	67%	67%	67%	64%	67%	72%	64%
No	133	50	49	34	78	55	101	32	38	31	27	37
	33%	32%	31%	41%	33%	33%	33%	33%	36%	33%	28%	36%
Unsure	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns I
 Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 183
 Q92. Outdoor ice rinks?

	TOTAL	<-RESIDENTIAL LONGEV->			<EXPECTED LONGEVITY->			<-TYPE OF HOUSEHOLD-->			<--GENDER-->	
		Less 10 Yrs	10-30 Years	Over 30 Years	Less 5 Yrs	5-10 Years	Over 10 Yrs	Senior	Child	Empty Nest	Male	Female
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
TOTAL	400	138	178	84	35	30	335	155	108	292	192	208
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
Yes	57DHJL 14%	23D 17%	32D 18%	2 2%	9AG 26%	4 13%	44 13%	3 2%	26AHJ 24%	31H 11%	37AL 19%	20 10%
No	343EIK 86%	115 83%	146 82%	82ABC 98%	26 74%	26 87%	291E 87%	152AIJ 98%	82 76%	261AI 89%	155 81%	188AK 90%
Unsure	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns II
 Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 184
 Q92. Outdoor ice rinks?

	TOTAL	<-AGE OF RESPONDENT->			<-KNOW GOVT->	<RESIDENCE>		<--PRECINCT OF RESIDENCE-->				
		18-44	45-64	65+	Great /Fair	Very Little	Own	Rent	1&2	3&4	5&6	7&8
	-----	-----	-----	---	-----	-----	---	-----	---	---	---	---
TOTAL	400	158	159	83	235	165	303	97	107	94	97	102
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
Yes	57CD 14%	43ACD 27%	10 6%	4 5%	33 14%	24 15%	38 13%	19 20%	13 12%	14 15%	14 14%	16 16%
No	343B 86%	115 73%	149AB 94%	79AB 95%	202 86%	141 85%	265 87%	78 80%	94 88%	80 85%	83 86%	86 84%
Unsure	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns I
 Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 185
 Q93. Brookview Community Center?

	TOTAL	<-RESIDENTIAL LONGEV->			<EXPECTED LONGEVITY->			<-TYPE OF HOUSEHOLD-->			<--GENDER-->	
		Less 10 Yrs	10-30 Years	Over 30 Years	Less 5 Yrs	5-10 Years	Over 10 Yrs	Senior	Child	Empty Nest	Male	Female
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
TOTAL	400	138	178	84	35	30	335	155	108	292	192	208
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
Yes	93DHJ 23%	41AD 30%	42D 24%	10 12%	8 23%	7 23%	78 23%	20 13%	37AHJ 34%	56 19%	43 22%	50 24%
No	301I 75%	96 70%	131 74%	74ABC 88%	26 74%	23 77%	252 75%	132AI 85%	69 64%	232AI 79%	147 77%	154 74%
Unsure	6 2%	1 1%	5 3%	-	1 3%	-	5 1%	3 2%	2 2%	4 1%	2 1%	4 2%

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns II
 Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 186
 Q93. Brookview Community Center?

	TOTAL	<-AGE OF RESPONDENT->			<-KNOW GOVT->		<RESIDENCE>		<--PRECINCT OF RESIDENCE-->			
		18-44	45-64	65+	Great /Fair	Very Little	Own	Rent	1&2	3&4	5&6	7&8
	-----	-----	-----	----	-----	-----	----	-----	----	----	----	----
TOTAL	400	158	159	83	235	165	303	97	107	94	97	102
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
Yes	93CD	53ACD	28	12	54	39	69	24	23	23	21	26
	23%	34%	18%	14%	23%	24%	23%	25%	21%	24%	22%	25%
No	301B	102	129AB	70AB	176	125	228	73	81	69	75	76
	75%	65%	81%	84%	75%	76%	75%	75%	76%	73%	77%	75%
Unsure	6	3	2	1	5	1	6	-	3	2	1	-
	2%	2%	1%	1%	2%	1%	2%		3%	2%	1%	

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns I
Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 187
Q94. The Davis Community Center?

	TOTAL	<-RESIDENTIAL LONGEV->			<EXPECTED LONGEVITY->			<-TYPE OF HOUSEHOLD-->			<--GENDER-->	
		Less 10 Yrs	10-30 Years	Over 30 Years	Less 5 Yrs	5-10 Years	Over 10 Yrs	Senior	Child	Empty Nest	Male	Female
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
TOTAL	400	138	178	84	35	30	335	155	108	292	192	208
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
Yes	82DGHJ 21%	34D 25%	38 21%	10 12%	10 29%	10 33%	62 19%	14 9%	36AHJ 33%	46H 16%	43 22%	39 19%
No	315FI 79%	103 75%	138 78%	74ABC 88%	24 69%	19 63%	272AF 81%	139AI 90%	72 67%	243AI 83%	147 77%	168 81%
Unsure	3G 1%	1 1%	2 1%	-	1G 3%	1G 3%	1 *	2 1%	-	3 1%	2 1%	1 *

(sig=.05) (all_pairs) columns tested T=ABCD, T=AEFG, T=AHIJ, T=AKL
Note: Percentage less than 0.5 printed as *.

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns II
 Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 188
 Q94. The Davis Community Center?

	TOTAL	<-AGE OF RESPONDENT->			<-KNOW GOVT->		<RESIDENCE>		<--PRECINCT OF RESIDENCE-->			
		18-44	45-64	65+	Great /Fair	Very Little	Own	Rent	1&2	3&4	5&6	7&8
	-----	-----	-----	----	-----	-----	----	-----	----	----	----	----
TOTAL	400	158	159	83	235	165	303	97	107	94	97	102
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
Yes	82CD 21%	57ACD 36%	17 11%	8 10%	55 23%	27 16%	57 19%	25 26%	17 16%	21 22%	21 22%	23 23%
No	315B 79%	100 63%	142AB 89%	73AB 88%	178 76%	137 83%	244 81%	71 73%	90 84%	73 78%	75 77%	77 75%
Unsure	3 1%	1 1%	-	2AC 2%	2 1%	1 1%	2 1%	1 1%	-	-	1 1%	2 2%

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns I
 Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 189
 Q95. Brookview Park Picnic Shelters?

	TOTAL	<-RESIDENTIAL LONGEV->			<EXPECTED LONGEVITY->			<-TYPE OF HOUSEHOLD-->			<--GENDER-->	
		Less 10 Yrs	10-30 Years	Over 30 Years	Less 5 Yrs	5-10 Years	Over 10 Yrs	Senior	Child	Empty Nest	Male	Female
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
TOTAL	400	138	178	84	35	30	335	155	108	292	192	208
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
Yes	106H 27%	40 29%	48 27%	18 21%	10 29%	6 20%	90 27%	28 18%	36H 33%	70 24%	47 24%	59 28%
No	294 74%	98 71%	130 73%	66 79%	25 71%	24 80%	245 73%	127AI 82%	72 67%	222 76%	145 76%	149 72%
Unsure	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns II
 Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 190
 Q95. Brookview Park Picnic Shelters?

	TOTAL	<-AGE OF RESPONDENT->			<-KNOW GOVT->	<RESIDENCE>		<--PRECINCT OF RESIDENCE-->				
		18-44	45-64	65+	Great /Fair	Very Little	Own	Rent	1&2	3&4	5&6	7&8
	-----	-----	-----	---	-----	-----	---	-----	---	---	---	---
TOTAL	400	158	159	83	235	165	303	97	107	94	97	102
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
Yes	106C	59ACD	30	17	67	39	73	33	30	19	27	30
	27%	37%	19%	20%	29%	24%	24%	34%	28%	20%	28%	29%
No	294B	99	129AB	66B	168	126	230	64	77	75	70	72
	74%	63%	81%	80%	71%	76%	76%	66%	72%	80%	72%	71%
Unsure	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns I
 Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 191
 Q96. Tennis courts?

	TOTAL	<-RESIDENTIAL LONGEV->			<EXPECTED LONGEVITY->			<-TYPE OF HOUSEHOLD-->			<--GENDER-->	
		Less 10 Yrs	10-30 Years	Over 30 Years	Less 5 Yrs	5-10 Years	Over 10 Yrs	Senior	Child	Empty Nest	Male	Female
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
TOTAL	400	138	178	84	35	30	335	155	108	292	192	208
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
Yes	53DGHJ 13%	29ACD 21%	19 11%	5 6%	11AG 31%	5 17%	37 11%	3 2%	23AHJ 21%	30H 10%	28 15%	25 12%
No	347BEI 87%	109 79%	159B 89%	79AB 94%	24 69%	25 83%	298AE 89%	152AIJ 98%	85 79%	262AI 90%	164 85%	183 88%
Unsure	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns II
 Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 192
 Q96. Tennis courts?

	TOTAL	<-AGE OF RESPONDENT->			<-KNOW GOVT->		<RESIDENCE>		<--PRECINCT OF RESIDENCE-->			
		18-44	45-64	65+	Great /Fair	Very Little	Own	Rent	1&2	3&4	5&6	7&8
	-----	-----	-----	---	-----	-----	---	-----	---	---	---	---
TOTAL	400	158	159	83	235	165	303	97	107	94	97	102
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
Yes	53CD	41ACD	9	3	36	17	41	12	14	12	17	10
	13%	26%	6%	4%	15%	10%	14%	12%	13%	13%	18%	10%
No	347B	117	150AB	80AB	199	148	262	85	93	82	80	92
	87%	74%	94%	96%	85%	90%	86%	88%	87%	87%	82%	90%
Unsure	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns I
 Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 193
 Q97. Brookview Golf Course and lawnbowling?

	TOTAL	<-RESIDENTIAL LONGEV->			<EXPECTED LONGEVITY->			<-TYPE OF HOUSEHOLD-->			<--GENDER-->	
		Less 10 Yrs	10-30 Years	Over 30 Years	Less 5 Yrs	5-10 Years	Over 10 Yrs	Senior	Child	Empty Nest	Male	Female
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
TOTAL	400	138	178	84	35	30	335	155	108	292	192	208
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
Yes	127DL 32%	38 28%	71ABD 40%	18 21%	8 23%	10 33%	109 33%	49 32%	35 32%	92 32%	71AL 37%	56 27%
No	273CK 68%	100C 72%	107 60%	66AC 79%	27 77%	20 67%	226 67%	106 68%	73 68%	200 68%	121 63%	152AK 73%
Unsure	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns II
 Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 194
 Q97. Brookview Golf Course and lawnbowling?

	<-AGE OF RESPONDENT->			<-KNOW GOV'T->		<RESIDENCE>		<--PRECINCT OF RESIDENCE-->				
	TOTAL	18-44	45-64	65+	Great /Fair	Very Little	Own	Rent	1&2	3&4	5&6	7&8
	-----	-----	-----	----	-----	-----	---	-----	---	---	---	---
TOTAL	400	158	159	83	235	165	303	97	107	94	97	102
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
Yes	127DF	53D	58D	16	86AF	41	102	25	26	30	40AI	31
	32%	34%	36%	19%	37%	25%	34%	26%	24%	32%	41%	30%
No	273EK	105	101	67ABC	149	124AE	201	72	81K	64	57	71
	68%	66%	64%	81%	63%	75%	66%	74%	76%	68%	59%	70%
Unsure	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns I
 Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 195
 Q98. City sidewalks and trails?

	TOTAL	<-RESIDENTIAL LONGEV->			<EXPECTED LONGEVITY->			<-TYPE OF HOUSEHOLD-->			<--GENDER-->	
		Less 10 Yrs	10-30 Years	Over 30 Years	Less 5 Yrs	5-10 Years	Over 10 Yrs	Senior	Child	Empty Nest	Male	Female
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
TOTAL	400	138	178	84	35	30	335	155	108	292	192	208
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
Yes	286EJ	93	139ABD	54	11	22E	253AE	106	86AHJ	200	135	151
	72%	67%	78%	64%	31%	73%	76%	68%	80%	68%	70%	73%
No	114CGI	45C	39	30C	24AFG	8	82	49I	22	92AI	57	57
	29%	33%	22%	36%	69%	27%	24%	32%	20%	32%	30%	27%
Unsure	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

 (sig=.05) (all_pairs) columns tested T=ABCD, T=AEFG, T=AHIJ, T=AKL
 Tables Prepared by The Morris Leatherman Company
 Page 199

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns II
 Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 196
 Q98. City sidewalks and trails?

	TOTAL	<-AGE OF RESPONDENT->			<-KNOW GOVT->		<RESIDENCE>		<--PRECINCT OF RESIDENCE-->			
		18-44	45-64	65+	Great /Fair	Very Little	Own	Rent	1&2	3&4	5&6	7&8
	-----	-----	-----	---	-----	-----	---	-----	---	---	---	---
TOTAL	400	158	159	83	235	165	303	97	107	94	97	102
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
Yes	286D	106	128ABD	52	162	124	216	70	76	73	64	73
	72%	67%	81%	63%	69%	75%	71%	72%	71%	78%	66%	72%
No	114C	52C	31	31AC	73	41	87	27	31	21	33	29
	29%	33%	19%	37%	31%	25%	29%	28%	29%	22%	34%	28%
Unsure	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

 (sig=.05) (all_pairs) columns tested T=ABCD, T=AEF, T=AGH, T=AIJKL
 Tables Prepared by The Morris Leatherman Company
 Page 200

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns I
 Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 197
 Q99. On-street bike routes and shoulders?

	TOTAL	<-RESIDENTIAL LONGEV->			<EXPECTED LONGEVITY->			<-TYPE OF HOUSEHOLD-->			<--GENDER-->	
		Less 10 Yrs	10-30 Years	Over 30 Years	Less 5 Yrs	5-10 Years	Over 10 Yrs	Senior	Child	Empty Nest	Male	Female
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
TOTAL	400	138	178	84	35	30	335	155	108	292	192	208
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
Yes	111DHJ 28%	43D 31%	56D 31%	12 14%	5 14%	8 27%	98 29%	16 10%	52AHJ 48%	59H 20%	57 30%	54 26%
No	289I 72%	95 69%	122 69%	72ABC 86%	30 86%	22 73%	237 71%	139AIJ 90%	56 52%	233AI 80%	135 70%	154 74%
Unsure	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns II
 Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 198
 Q99. On-street bike routes and shoulders?

	<-AGE OF RESPONDENT->			<-KNOW GOVT->		<RESIDENCE>		<--PRECINCT OF RESIDENCE-->				
	TOTAL	18-44	45-64	65+	Great /Fair	Very Little	Own	Rent	1&2	3&4	5&6	7&8
	-----	-----	-----	---	-----	-----	---	-----	---	---	---	---
TOTAL	400	158	159	83	235	165	303	97	107	94	97	102
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
Yes	111D 28%	65ACD 41%	43D 27%	3 4%	67 29%	44 27%	81 27%	30 31%	30 28%	27 29%	22 23%	32 31%
No	289B 72%	93 59%	116B 73%	80ABC 96%	168 71%	121 73%	222 73%	67 69%	77 72%	67 71%	75 77%	70 69%
Unsure	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns I
 Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 199

Q100. Do you use trails, sidewalks, and bicycle routes for walking or running?

	TOTAL	<-RESIDENTIAL LONGEV->			<EXPECTED LONGEVITY->			<-TYPE OF HOUSEHOLD-->			<--GENDER-->	
		Less 10 Yrs	10-30 Years	Over 30 Years	Less 5 Yrs	5-10 Years	Over 10 Yrs	Senior	Child	Empty Nest	Male	Female
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
TOTAL	301	99	148	54	12	25	264	106	96	205	139	162
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
Yes	287E	97	138	52	10	24	253E	106AIJ	90	197	132	155
	95%	98%	93%	96%	83%	96%	96%	100%	94%	96%	95%	96%
No	14H	2	10	2	2AG	1	11	-	6H	8H	7	7
	5%	2%	7%	4%	17%	4%	4%		6%	4%	5%	4%
Unsure	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns II
 Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 200

Q100. Do you use trails, sidewalks, and bicycle routes for walking or running?

	<-AGE OF RESPONDENT->			<-KNOW GOV'T->		<RESIDENCE>		<--PRECINCT OF RESIDENCE-->				
	TOTAL	18-44	45-64	65+	Great /Fair	Very Little	Own	Rent	1&2	3&4	5&6	7&8
	-----	-----	-----	---	-----	-----	---	-----	---	---	---	---
TOTAL	301	119	130	52	171	130	227	74	81	77	66	77
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
Yes	287B	109	126	52B	161	126	218	69	77	74	63	73
	95%	92%	97%	100%	94%	97%	96%	93%	95%	96%	95%	95%
No	14	10AD	4	-	10	4	9	5	4	3	3	4
	5%	8%	3%		6%	3%	4%	7%	5%	4%	5%	5%
Unsure	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

 (sig=.05) (all_pairs) columns tested T=ABCD, T=AEF, T=AGH, T=AIJKL

Tables Prepared by The Morris Leatherman Company

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns I
 Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 201

Q101. Do you use trails, sidewalks, and bicycle routes for recreational biking?

	TOTAL	<-RESIDENTIAL LONGEV->			<EXPECTED LONGEVITY->			<-TYPE OF HOUSEHOLD-->			<--GENDER-->	
		Less 10 Yrs	10-30 Years	Over 30 Years	Less 5 Yrs	5-10 Years	Over 10 Yrs	Senior	Child	Empty Nest	Male	Female
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
TOTAL	301	99	148	54	12	25	264	106	96	205	139	162
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
Yes	109DFHJ 36%	42D 42%	55D 37%	12 22%	4 33%	4 16%	101AF 38%	17 16%	46AHJ 48%	63H 31%	54 39%	55 34%
No	192GI 64%	57 58%	93 63%	42ABC 78%	8 67%	21AG 84%	163 62%	89AIJ 84%	50 52%	142AI 69%	85 61%	107 66%
Unsure	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

 (sig=.05) (all_pairs) columns tested T=ABCD, T=AIEFG, T=AHIJ, T=AKL

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns II
 Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 202

Q101. Do you use trails, sidewalks, and bicycle routes for recreational biking?

	TOTAL	<-AGE OF RESPONDENT->			<-KNOW GOVT-> Great Very /Fair Little		<RESIDENCE>		<--PRECINCT OF RESIDENCE-->			
		18-44	45-64	65+			Own	Rent	1&2	3&4	5&6	7&8
	-----	-----	-----	---	-----	-----	---	-----	---	---	---	---
TOTAL	301	119	130	52	171	130	227	74	81	77	66	77
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
Yes	109D 36%	59ACD 50%	46D 35%	4 8%	66 39%	43 33%	82 36%	27 36%	27 33%	27 35%	25 38%	30 39%
No	192B 64%	60 50%	84B 65%	48ABC 92%	105 61%	87 67%	145 64%	47 64%	54 67%	50 65%	41 62%	47 61%
Unsure	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

 (sig=.05) (all_pairs) columns tested T=ABCD, T=AEF, T=AGH, T=AIJKL

Tables Prepared by The Morris Leatherman Company

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns I
 Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 203

Q102. Do you use trails, sidewalks, and bicycle routes for fitness training?

	TOTAL	<-RESIDENTIAL LONGEV->			<EXPECTED LONGEVITY->			<-TYPE OF HOUSEHOLD-->			<--GENDER-->	
		Less 10 Yrs	10-30 Years	Over 30 Years	Less 5 Yrs	5-10 Years	Over 10 Yrs	Senior	Child	Empty Nest	Male	Female
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
TOTAL	301	99	148	54	12	25	264	106	96	205	139	162
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
Yes	60DGHJL	25D	31D	4	4	8	48	3	30AHJ	30H	35AL	25
	20%	25%	21%	7%	33%	32%	18%	3%	31%	15%	25%	15%
No	241IK	74	117	50ABC	8	17	216A	103AIJ	66	175AI	104	137AK
	80%	75%	79%	93%	67%	68%	82%	97%	69%	85%	75%	85%
Unsure	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

 (sig=.05) (all_pairs) columns tested T=ABCD, T=AEFG, T=AHIJ, T=AKL

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns II
 Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 204
 Q102. Do you use trails, sidewalks, and bicycle routes for fitness training?

	<-AGE OF RESPONDENT->				<-KNOW GOVT->		<RESIDENCE>		<--PRECINCT OF RESIDENCE-->			
	TOTAL	18-44	45-64	65+	Great /Fair	Very Little	Own	Rent	1&2	3&4	5&6	7&8
	-----	-----	-----	---	-----	-----	---	-----	---	---	---	---
TOTAL	301	119	130	52	171	130	227	74	81	77	66	77
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
Yes	60CD	43ACD	16D	1	36	24	43	17	14	14	18	14
	20%	36%	12%	2%	21%	18%	19%	23%	17%	18%	27%	18%
No	241B	76	114AB	51ABC	135	106	184	57	67	63	48	63
	80%	64%	88%	98%	79%	82%	81%	77%	83%	82%	73%	82%
Unsure	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns I
 Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 205

Q103. Do you use trails, sidewalks, and bicycle routes for commuting?

	TOTAL	<-RESIDENTIAL LONGEV->			<EXPECTED LONGEVITY->			<-TYPE OF HOUSEHOLD-->			<--GENDER-->	
		Less 10 Yrs	10-30 Years	Over 30 Years	Less 5 Yrs	5-10 Years	Over 10 Yrs	Senior	Child	Empty Nest	Male	Female
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
TOTAL	301	99	148	54	12	25	264	106	96	205	139	162
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
Yes	53HJ 18%	22 22%	23 16%	8 15%	1 8%	8A 32%	44 17%	11 10%	28AHJ 29%	25 12%	26 19%	27 17%
No	248FI 82%	77 78%	125 84%	46 85%	11 92%	17 68%	220 83%	95AI 90%	68 71%	180AI 88%	113 81%	135 83%
Unsure	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns II
 Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 206

Q103. Do you use trails, sidewalks, and bicycle routes for commuting?

	TOTAL	<-AGE OF RESPONDENT->			<-KNOW GOVT-> Great Very /Fair Little		<RESIDENCE>		<--PRECINCT OF RESIDENCE-->			
		18-44	45-64	65+			Own	Rent	1&2	3&4	5&6	7&8
	-----	-----	-----	---	-----	-----	---	-----	---	---	---	---
TOTAL	301	119	130	52	171	130	227	74	81	77	66	77
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
Yes	53DJ 18%	30ACD 25%	19 15%	4 8%	30 18%	23 18%	39 17%	14 19%	16J 20%	5 6%	18AJ 27%	14J 18%
No	248BK 82%	89 75%	111B 85%	48AB 92%	141 82%	107 82%	188 83%	60 81%	65 80%	72AIKL 94%	48 73%	63 82%
Unsure	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

 (sig=.05) (all_pairs) columns tested T=ABCD, T=AEF, T=AGH, T=AIJKL

Tables Prepared by The Morris Leatherman Company

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns I
Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 207

Q104. How would you rate the city's trails and sidewalks?

	<-RESIDENTIAL LONGEV->				<EXPECTED LONGEVITY->			<-TYPE OF HOUSEHOLD-->			<--GENDER-->	
	TOTAL	Less 10 Yrs	10-30 Years	Over 30 Years	Less 5 Yrs	5-10 Years	Over 10 Yrs	Senior	Child	Empty Nest	Male	Female
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
TOTAL	400	138	178	84	35	30	335	155	108	292	192	208
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
Excellent	54B 14%	11 8%	28B 16%	15B 18%	1 3%	2 7%	51AE 15%	33AJ 21%	13 12%	41 14%	24 13%	30 14%
Good	322GH 81%	116 84%	141 79%	65 77%	33AG 94%	26 87%	263 79%	110 71%	87 81%	235H 80%	159 83%	163 78%
Only fair	19 5%	10 7%	7 4%	2 2%	-	2 7%	17 5%	8 5%	8 7%	11 4%	8 4%	11 5%
Poor	1 *	1 1%	-	-	-	-	1 *	1 1%	-	1 *	-	1 *
Unsure	4 1%	-	2 1%	2 2%	1 3%	-	3 1%	3 2%	-	4 1%	1 1%	3 1%

(sig=.05) (all_pairs) columns tested T=ABCD, T=AEFG, T=AHIJ, T=AKL
Note: Percentage less than 0.5 printed as *.

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns II
Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 208
Q104. How would you rate the city's trails and sidewalks?

	<-AGE OF RESPONDENT->			<-KNOW GOVT-> Great Very /Fair Little		<RESIDENCE>		<--PRECINCT OF RESIDENCE-->				
	TOTAL	18-44	45-64	65+	Great /Fair	Very Little	Own	Rent	1&2	3&4	5&6	7&8
	-----	-----	-----	---	-----	-----	---	-----	---	---	---	---
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
TOTAL	400 100% %	158 100% %	159 100% %	83 100% %	235 100% %	165 100% %	303 100% %	97 100% %	107 100% %	94 100% %	97 100% %	102 100% %
Excellent	54B 14%	10 6%	30AB 19%	14B 17%	36 15%	18 11%	43 14%	11 11%	22AK 21%	11 12%	10 10%	11 11%
Good	322CI 81%	141ACD 89%	118 74%	63 76%	189 80%	133 81%	240 79%	82 85%	76 71%	73 78%	84I 87%	89AI 87%
Only fair	19EL 5%	6 4%	10 6%	3 4%	6 3%	13AE 8%	16 5%	3 3%	7L 7%	9AKL 10%	2 2%	1 1%
Poor	1 *	-	-	1 1%	1 *	-	1 *	-	-	1 1%	-	-
Unsure	4 1%	1 1%	1 1%	2 2%	3 1%	1 1%	3 1%	1 1%	2 2%	-	1 1%	1 1%

(sig=.05) (all_pairs) columns tested T=ABCD, T=AEF, T=AGH, T=AIJKL
Note: Percentage less than 0.5 printed as *.

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns I
 Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 209
 Q105. Why did you rate trails and sidewalks negatively?

	<-RESIDENTIAL LONGEV->				<EXPECTED LONGEVITY->			<-TYPE OF HOUSEHOLD-->			<--GENDER-->	
	TOTAL	Less 10 Yrs	10-30 Years	Over 30 Years	Less 5 Yrs	5-10 Years	Over 10 Yrs	Senior	Child	Empty Nest	Male	Female
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
TOTAL	20	11	7	2	-	2	18	9	8	12	8	12
	100%	100%	100%	100%		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
Need sidewalks	14	6	6	2	-	2	12	7	6	8	6	8
	70%	55%	86%	100%		100%	67%	78%	75%	67%	75%	67%
More lights	3	2	1	-	-	-	3	-	2	1	1	2
	15%	18%	14%				17%		25%	8%	13%	17%
Trails unconnected	1	1	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	1	-	1
	5%	9%					6%			8%		8%
Unsure	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Scattered	2	2	-	-	-	-	2	2	-	2	1	1
	10%	18%					11%	22%		17%	13%	8%

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns II
 Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 210
 Q105. Why did you rate trails and sidewalks negatively?

	TOTAL	<-AGE OF RESPONDENT->			<-KNOW	GOVT->	<RESIDENCE>		<--PRECINCT OF RESIDENCE-->			
		18-44	45-64	65+	Great /Fair	Very Little	Own	Rent	1&2	3&4	5&6	7&8
	-----	-----	-----	---	-----	-----	---	-----	---	---	---	---
TOTAL	20	6	10	4	7	13	17	3	7	10	2	1
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
Need sidewalks	14K 70%	5 83%	7 70%	2 50%	5 71%	9 69%	11 65%	3 100%	5 71%	8 80%	-	1 100%
More lights	3 15%	1 17%	2 20%	-	1 14%	2 15%	3 18%	-	-	1 10%	2AIJ 100%	-
Trails unconnected	1 5%	-	1 10%	-	-	1 8%	1 6%	-	1 14%	-	-	-
Unsure	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Scattered	2 10%	-	-	2AC 50%	1 14%	1 8%	2 12%	-	1 14%	1 10%	-	-

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns I
 Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 211

Q106. If neighborhood trails and sidewalks were connected, how likely would you be to walk or bicycle to your destination?

	<-RESIDENTIAL LONGEV->			<EXPECTED LONGEVITY->			<-TYPE OF HOUSEHOLD-->			<--GENDER-->		
	TOTAL	Less 10 Yrs	10-30 Years	Over 30 Years	Less 5 Yrs	5-10 Years	Over 10 Yrs	Senior	Child	Empty Nest	Male	Female
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
TOTAL	400	138	178	84	35	30	335	155	108	292	192	208
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
Very likely	80	33	35	12	5	5	70	27	27	53	31	49
	20%	24%	20%	14%	14%	17%	21%	17%	25%	18%	16%	24%
Somewhat likely	204DGHJL	84AD	90D	30	22	21AG	161	48	66AHJ	138H	108AL	96
	51%	61%	51%	36%	63%	70%	48%	31%	61%	47%	56%	46%
Not any more likely	114BI	21	51B	42ABC	7	4	103AF	78AIJ	15	99AI	52	62
	29%	15%	29%	50%	20%	13%	31%	50%	14%	34%	27%	30%
Unsure	2	-	2	-	1AG	-	1	2	-	2	1	1
	1%		1%		3%		*	1%		1%	1%	*

(sig=.05) (all_pairs) columns tested T=ABCD, T=AEFG, T=AHIJ, T=AKL
 Note: Percentage less than 0.5 printed as *.

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns II
 Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 212

Q106. If neighborhood trails and sidewalks were connected, how likely would you be to walk or bicycle to your destination?

	<-AGE OF RESPONDENT->				<-KNOW GOVVT->		<RESIDENCE>		<--PRECINCT OF RESIDENCE-->			
	TOTAL	18-44	45-64	65+	Great /Fair	Very Little	Own	Rent	1&2	3&4	5&6	7&8
	-----	-----	-----	---	-----	-----	---	-----	---	---	---	---
TOTAL	400	158	159	83	235	165	303	97	107	94	97	102
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
Very likely	80D	32D	41AD	7	42	38	54	26	23	23	17	17
	20%	20%	26%	8%	18%	23%	18%	27%	21%	24%	18%	17%
Somewhat likely	204CDFI	110ACD	67	27	131AF	73	155	49	41	52I	56I	55I
	51%	70%	42%	33%	56%	44%	51%	51%	38%	55%	58%	54%
Not any more likely	114BJ	15	51B	48ABC	60	54	93	21	42AJK	19	24	29
	29%	9%	32%	58%	26%	33%	31%	22%	39%	20%	25%	28%
Unsure	2	1	-	1	2	-	1	1	1	-	-	1
	1%	1%		1%	1%		*	1%	1%			1%

(sig=.05) (all_pairs) columns tested T=ABCD, T=AEF, T=AGH, T=AIJKL
 Note: Percentage less than 0.5 printed as *.

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns I
Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 213

Q107. Would you support or oppose the use of city funding for on-street bicycle lanes?

	<-RESIDENTIAL LONGEV->				<EXPECTED LONGEVITY->			<-TYPE OF HOUSEHOLD-->			<--GENDER-->	
	TOTAL	Less 10 Yrs	10-30 Years	Over 30 Years	Less 5 Yrs	5-10 Years	Over 10 Yrs	Senior	Child	Empty Nest	Male	Female
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
TOTAL	400	138	178	84	35	30	335	155	108	292	192	208
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
SUPPORT	179DGHJ	79ACD	78D	22	19	21AG	139	42	71AHJ	108H	90	89
-----	45%	57%	44%	26%	54%	70%	41%	27%	66%	37%	47%	43%
Strongly support	37DGH	19AD	15	3	5	7AG	25	6	8	29H	22	15
	9%	14%	8%	4%	14%	23%	7%	4%	7%	10%	11%	7%
Support	142DHJ	60AD	63D	19	14	14	114	36	63AHJ	79	68	74
	36%	43%	35%	23%	40%	47%	34%	23%	58%	27%	35%	36%
OPPOSE	215BFI	57	97B	61ABC	15	8	192AF	110AIJ	37	178AI	99	116
-----	54%	41%	54%	73%	43%	27%	57%	71%	34%	61%	52%	56%
Oppose	137IK	41	63	33	14	7	116	64AI	24	113AI	52	85AK
	34%	30%	35%	39%	40%	23%	35%	41%	22%	39%	27%	41%
Strongly oppose	78BEFIL	16	34	28ABC	1	1	76AEF	46AI	13	65AI	47AL	31
	20%	12%	19%	33%	3%	3%	23%	30%	12%	22%	24%	15%
UNSURE	6	2	3	1	1	1	4	3	-	6	3	3
-----	2%	1%	2%	1%	3%	3%	1%	2%		2%	2%	1%

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns II
 Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 214

Q107. Would you support or oppose the use of city funding for on-street bicycle lanes?

	TOTAL	<-AGE OF RESPONDENT->			<-KNOW GOVT->		<RESIDENCE>		<--PRECINCT OF RESIDENCE-->			
		18-44	45-64	65+	Great /Fair	Very Little	Own	Rent	1&2	3&4	5&6	7&8
	-----	-----	-----	---	-----	-----	---	-----	---	---	---	---
TOTAL	400	158	159	83	235	165	303	97	107	94	97	102
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
SUPPORT	179CDL	101ACD	55	23	110	69	129	50	45	38	59AIJL	37
-----	45%	64%	35%	28%	47%	42%	43%	52%	42%	40%	61%	36%
Strongly support	37D	23ACD	11	3	23	14	29	8	13	6	11	7
	9%	15%	7%	4%	10%	8%	10%	8%	12%	6%	11%	7%
Support	142CD	78ACD	44	20	87	55	100	42	32	32	48AIJL	30
	36%	49%	28%	24%	37%	33%	33%	43%	30%	34%	49%	29%
OPPOSE	215BK	56	101AB	58AB	122	93	170	45	60K	55K	35	65AK
-----	54%	35%	64%	70%	52%	56%	56%	46%	56%	59%	36%	64%
Oppose	137BK	43	57	37AB	72	65	105	32	43K	30K	18	46AK
	34%	27%	36%	45%	31%	39%	35%	33%	40%	32%	19%	45%
Strongly oppose	78B	13	44AB	21B	50	28	65	13	17	25A	17	19
	20%	8%	28%	25%	21%	17%	21%	13%	16%	27%	18%	19%
UNSURE	6	1	3	2	3	3	4	2	2	1	3	-
-----	2%	1%	2%	2%	1%	2%	1%	2%	2%	1%	3%	

(sig=.05) (all_pairs) columns tested T=ABCD, T=AEF, T=AGH, T=AIJKL

Tables Prepared by The Morris Leatherman Company

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns I
Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 215

Q108. Would you support or oppose the use of city funding for off-street trails?

	<-RESIDENTIAL LONGEV->			<EXPECTED LONGEVITY->			<-TYPE OF HOUSEHOLD-->			<--GENDER-->		
	TOTAL	Less 10 Yrs	10-30 Years	Over 30 Years	Less 5 Yrs	5-10 Years	Over 10 Yrs	Senior	Child	Empty Nest	Male	Female
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
TOTAL	400	138	178	84	35	30	335	155	108	292	192	208
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
SUPPORT	275DHJ	103D	131D	41	25	21	229	81	85AHJ	190H	137	138
-----	69%	75%	74%	49%	71%	70%	68%	52%	79%	65%	71%	66%
Strongly support	88DHJ	42AD	38D	8	11	9	68	18	32AHJ	56H	44	44
	22%	30%	21%	10%	31%	30%	20%	12%	30%	19%	23%	21%
Support	187	61	93A	33	14	12	161	63	53	134	93	94
	47%	44%	52%	39%	40%	40%	48%	41%	49%	46%	48%	45%
OPPOSE	121I	34	47	40ABC	10	9	102	71AIJ	23	98AI	53	68
-----	30%	25%	26%	48%	29%	30%	30%	46%	21%	34%	28%	33%
Oppose	69I	22	29	18	9	5	55	37AI	10	59AI	29	40
	17%	16%	16%	21%	26%	17%	16%	24%	9%	20%	15%	19%
Strongly oppose	52	12	18	22ABC	1	4	47	34AIJ	13	39	24	28
	13%	9%	10%	26%	3%	13%	14%	22%	12%	13%	13%	13%
UNSURE	4	1	-	3AC	-	-	4	3	-	4	2	2
-----	1%	1%		4%			1%	2%		1%	1%	1%

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns II
Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 216

Q108. Would you support or oppose the use of city funding for off-street trails?

	TOTAL	<-AGE OF RESPONDENT->			<-KNOW GOV'T->		<RESIDENCE>		<--PRECINCT OF RESIDENCE-->			
		18-44	45-64	65+	Great /Fair	Very Little	Own	Rent	1&2	3&4	5&6	7&8
	-----	-----	-----	---	-----	-----	---	-----	---	---	---	---
TOTAL	400 100% %	158 100% %	159 100% %	83 100% %	235 100% %	165 100% %	303 100% %	97 100% %	107 100% %	94 100% %	97 100% %	102 100% %
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
SUPPORT	275DGI ----- 69%	127ACD 80%	109D 69%	39 47%	163 69%	112 68%	199 66%	76AG 78%	61 57%	65 69%	79AIJL 81%	70 69%
Strongly support	88D 22%	48AD 30%	34D 21%	6 7%	54 23%	34 21%	65 21%	23 24%	20 19%	28A 30%	20 21%	20 20%
Support	187I 47%	79 50%	75 47%	33 40%	109 46%	78 47%	134 44%	53 55%	41 38%	37 39%	59AIJ 61%	50 49%
OPPOSE	121BHK ----- 30%	30 19%	50B 31%	41ABC 49%	71 30%	50 30%	101AH 33%	20 21%	46AJK 43%	27 29%	17 18%	31K 30%
Oppose	69BK 17%	18 11%	21 13%	30ABC 36%	38 16%	31 19%	58 19%	11 11%	29AJK 27%	14K 15%	6 6%	20K 20%
Strongly oppose	52B 13%	12 8%	29AB 18%	11 13%	33 14%	19 12%	43 14%	9 9%	17 16%	13 14%	11 11%	11 11%
UNSURE	4 ----- 1%	1 1%	-	3AC 4%	1 *	3 2%	3 1%	1 1%	-	2 2%	1 1%	1 1%

(sig=.05) (all_pairs) columns tested T=ABCD, T=AEF, T=AGH, T=AIJKL
Note: Percentage less than 0.5 printed as *.

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns I
Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 217

Q109. Would you support or oppose the use of city funding for bicycle boulevards?

	<-RESIDENTIAL LONGEV->				<EXPECTED LONGEVITY->			<-TYPE OF HOUSEHOLD-->			<--GENDER-->	
	TOTAL	Less 10 Yrs	10-30 Years	Over 30 Years	Less 5 Yrs	5-10 Years	Over 10 Yrs	Senior	Child	Empty Nest	Male	Female
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
TOTAL	400	138	178	84	35	30	335	155	108	292	192	208
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
SUPPORT	216DGHJL	97ACD	90D	29	27AG	17	172	56	72AHJ	144H	114AL	102
-----	54%	70%	51%	35%	77%	57%	51%	36%	67%	49%	59%	49%
Strongly support	107DGHJ	51ACD	43	13	16AG	11	80	24	39AHJ	68	59	48
	27%	37%	24%	15%	46%	37%	24%	15%	36%	23%	31%	23%
Support	109H	46AD	47	16	11	6	92	32	33	76	55	54
	27%	33%	26%	19%	31%	20%	27%	21%	31%	26%	29%	26%
OPPOSE	181BEIK	40	88B	53ABC	8	13	160AE	97AIJ	36	145AI	76	105AK
-----	45%	29%	49%	63%	23%	43%	48%	63%	33%	50%	40%	50%
Oppose	126BK	31	64B	31B	8	8	110	60AI	28	98	48	78AK
	32%	22%	36%	37%	23%	27%	33%	39%	26%	34%	25%	38%
Strongly oppose	55BEI	9	24B	22ABC	-	5E	50E	37AIJ	8	47AI	28	27
	14%	7%	13%	26%	-	17%	15%	24%	7%	16%	15%	13%
UNSURE	3	1	-	2C	-	-	3	2	-	3	2	1
-----	1%	1%	-	2%	-	-	1%	1%	-	1%	1%	*

(sig=.05) (all_pairs) columns tested T=ABCD, T=AEFG, T=AHIJ, T=AKL
Note: Percentage less than 0.5 printed as *.

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns II
 Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 218

Q109. Would you support or oppose the use of city funding for bicycle boulevards?

	<-AGE OF RESPONDENT->			<-KNOW GOV'T->		<RESIDENCE>		<--PRECINCT OF RESIDENCE-->				
	TOTAL	18-44	45-64	65+	Great /Fair	Very Little	Own	Rent	1&2	3&4	5&6	7&8
	-----	-----	-----	---	-----	-----	---	-----	---	---	---	---
TOTAL	400	158	159	83	235	165	303	97	107	94	97	102
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
SUPPORT	216CDG	111ACD	76	29	125	91	153	63AG	59	57	51	49
-----	54%	70%	48%	35%	53%	55%	50%	65%	55%	61%	53%	48%
Strongly support	107CD	59ACD	34	14	61	46	76	31	32	25	27	23
	27%	37%	21%	17%	26%	28%	25%	32%	30%	27%	28%	23%
Support	109D	52AD	42	15	64	45	77	32	27	32	24	26
	27%	33%	26%	18%	27%	27%	25%	33%	25%	34%	25%	25%
OPPOSE	181BH	47	80B	54ABC	109	72	148AH	33	48	37	43	53
-----	45%	30%	50%	65%	46%	44%	49%	34%	45%	39%	44%	52%
Oppose	126B	39	48	39ABC	78	48	101	25	27	29	30	40I
	32%	25%	30%	47%	33%	29%	33%	26%	25%	31%	31%	39%
Strongly oppose	55B	8	32AB	15B	31	24	47	8	21AJ	8	13	13
	14%	5%	20%	18%	13%	15%	16%	8%	20%	9%	13%	13%
UNSURE	3	-	3A	-	1	2	2	1	-	-	3A	-
-----	1%		2%		*	1%	1%	1%			3%	

(sig=.05) (all_pairs) columns tested T=ABCD, T=AEF, T=AGH, T=AIJKL
 Note: Percentage less than 0.5 printed as *.

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns I
 Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 219

Q110. Would you support or oppose the use of city funding for a central community pedestrian plaza?

	<-RESIDENTIAL LONGEV->			<EXPECTED LONGEVITY->			<-TYPE OF HOUSEHOLD-->			<--GENDER-->		
	TOTAL	Less 10 Yrs	10-30 Years	Over 30 Years	Less 5 Yrs	5-10 Years	Over 10 Yrs	Senior	Child	Empty Nest	Male	Female
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
TOTAL	400	138	178	84	35	30	335	155	108	292	192	208
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
SUPPORT	217DHJ	92AD	100D	25	25AG	17	175	62	74AHJ	143	108	109
-----	54%	67%	56%	30%	71%	57%	52%	40%	69%	49%	56%	52%
Strongly support	78DH	33D	38D	7	11	7	60	19	24H	54	39	39
	20%	24%	21%	8%	31%	23%	18%	12%	22%	18%	20%	19%
Support	139DHJ	59AD	62D	18	14	10	115	43	50AHJ	89	69	70
	35%	43%	35%	21%	40%	33%	34%	28%	46%	30%	36%	34%
OPPOSE	153BEI	38	62	53ABC	8	10	135E	80AI	29	124AI	70	83
-----	38%	28%	35%	63%	23%	33%	40%	52%	27%	42%	36%	40%
Oppose	93	28	41	24	6	4	83	40	21	72	42	51
	23%	20%	23%	29%	17%	13%	25%	26%	19%	25%	22%	25%
Strongly oppose	60BI	10	21	29ABC	2	6	52	40AIJ	8	52AI	28	32
	15%	7%	12%	35%	6%	20%	16%	26%	7%	18%	15%	15%
UNSURE	30	8	16	6	2	3	25	13	5	25	14	16
-----	8%	6%	9%	7%	6%	10%	7%	8%	5%	9%	7%	8%

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns II
 Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 220

Q110. Would you support or oppose the use of city funding for a central community pedestrian plaza?

	<-AGE OF RESPONDENT->			<-KNOW GOVT->		<RESIDENCE>		<--PRECINCT OF RESIDENCE-->				
	TOTAL	18-44	45-64	65+	Great /Fair	Very Little	Own	Rent	1&2	3&4	5&6	7&8
	-----	-----	-----	---	-----	-----	---	-----	---	---	---	---
TOTAL	400	158	159	83	235	165	303	97	107	94	97	102
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
SUPPORT	217CDG	113ACD	72	32	130	87	154	63AG	55	54	58	50
-----	54%	72%	45%	39%	55%	53%	51%	65%	51%	57%	60%	49%
Strongly support	78CGI	43AC	21	14	53	25	50	28AG	12	23I	23I	20
	20%	27%	13%	17%	23%	15%	17%	29%	11%	24%	24%	20%
Support	139D	70ACD	51	18	77	62	104	35	43	31	35	30
	35%	44%	32%	22%	33%	38%	34%	36%	40%	33%	36%	29%
OPPOSE	153BH	39	72AB	42AB	92	61	125AH	28	41	30	37	45
-----	38%	25%	45%	51%	39%	37%	41%	29%	38%	32%	38%	44%
Oppose	93	32	41	20	54	39	72	21	24	16	23	30J
	23%	20%	26%	24%	23%	24%	24%	22%	22%	17%	24%	29%
Strongly oppose	60BH	7	31AB	22AB	38	22	53AH	7	17	14	14	15
	15%	4%	19%	27%	16%	13%	17%	7%	16%	15%	14%	15%
UNSURE	30BK	6	15B	9B	13	17	24	6	11K	10K	2	7
-----	8%	4%	9%	11%	6%	10%	8%	6%	10%	11%	2%	7%

 (sig=.05) (all_pairs) columns tested T=ABCD, T=AEF, T=AGH, T=AIJKL

Tables Prepared by The Morris Leatherman Company

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns I
Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 221

Q111. Would you support or oppose the use of city funding for community gardens?

	<-RESIDENTIAL LONGEV->			<EXPECTED LONGEVITY->			<-TYPE OF HOUSEHOLD-->			<--GENDER-->		
	TOTAL	Less 10 Yrs	10-30 Years	Over 30 Years	Less 5 Yrs	5-10 Years	Over 10 Yrs	Senior	Child	Empty Nest	Male	Female
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
TOTAL	400	138	178	84	35	30	335	155	108	292	192	208
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
SUPPORT	288DHK	102D	136D	50	27	23	238	103	83	205	127	161AK
-----	72%	74%	76%	60%	77%	77%	71%	66%	77%	70%	66%	77%
Strongly support	116K	43	53	20	11	12	93	49	28	88	38	78AK
	29%	31%	30%	24%	31%	40%	28%	32%	26%	30%	20%	38%
Support	172H	59	83	30	16	11	145	54	55H	117	89	83
	43%	43%	47%	36%	46%	37%	43%	35%	51%	40%	46%	40%
OPPOSE	107L	34	40	33ABC	8	6	93	50A	24	83	64AL	43
-----	27%	25%	22%	39%	23%	20%	28%	32%	22%	28%	33%	21%
Oppose	70	23	27	20	6	4	60	30	19	51	39	31
	18%	17%	15%	24%	17%	13%	18%	19%	18%	17%	20%	15%
Strongly oppose	37L	11	13	13AC	2	2	33	20AI	5	32	25AL	12
	9%	8%	7%	15%	6%	7%	10%	13%	5%	11%	13%	6%
UNSURE	5	2	2	1	-	1	4	2	1	4	1	4
-----	1%	1%	1%	1%		3%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	2%

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns II
 Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 222

Q111. Would you support or oppose the use of city funding for community gardens?

	TOTAL	<-AGE OF RESPONDENT->			<-KNOW GOV'T->		<RESIDENCE>		<--PRECINCT OF RESIDENCE-->			
		18-44	45-64	65+	Great /Fair	Very Little	Own	Rent	1&2	3&4	5&6	7&8
	-----	-----	-----	---	-----	-----	---	-----	---	---	---	---
TOTAL	400	158	159	83	235	165	303	97	107	94	97	102
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
SUPPORT	288E	125ACD	109	54	158	130AE	212	76	80	69	70	69
-----	72%	79%	69%	65%	67%	79%	70%	78%	75%	73%	72%	68%
Strongly support	116	44	49	23	62	54	81	35	39AK	28	23	26
	29%	28%	31%	28%	26%	33%	27%	36%	36%	30%	24%	25%
Support	172	81ACD	60	31	96	76	131	41	41	41	47	43
	43%	51%	38%	37%	41%	46%	43%	42%	38%	44%	48%	42%
OPPOSE	107BFH	32	47	28B	75AF	32	89AH	18	25	25	26	31
-----	27%	20%	30%	34%	32%	19%	29%	19%	23%	27%	27%	30%
Oppose	70I	24	25	21A	47	23	59	11	12	18	21I	19
	18%	15%	16%	25%	20%	14%	19%	11%	11%	19%	22%	19%
Strongly oppose	37BF	8	22AB	7	28AF	9	30	7	13	7	5	12
	9%	5%	14%	8%	12%	5%	10%	7%	12%	7%	5%	12%
UNSURE	5	1	3	1	2	3	2	3	2	-	1	2
-----	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	2%	1%	3%	2%		1%	2%

 (sig=.05) (all_pairs) columns tested T=ABCD, T=AEF, T=AGH, T=AIJKL

Tables Prepared by The Morris Leatherman Company

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns I
Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 223

Q112. Would you support or oppose the use of city funding for on-street bicycle lanes?

	<-RESIDENTIAL LONGEV->			<EXPECTED LONGEVITY->			<-TYPE OF HOUSEHOLD-->			<--GENDER-->		
	TOTAL	Less 10 Yrs	10-30 Years	Over 30 Years	Less 5 Yrs	5-10 Years	Over 10 Yrs	Senior	Child	Empty Nest	Male	Female
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
TOTAL	400	138	178	84	35	30	335	155	108	292	192	208
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
SUPPORT	163DGHJ	71ACD	71D	21	18	18AG	127	50	56AHJ	107	82	81
-----	41%	51%	40%	25%	51%	60%	38%	32%	52%	37%	43%	39%
Strongly support	49DGHJ	24AD	21	4	6	7G	36	9	19AHJ	30	27	22
	12%	17%	12%	5%	17%	23%	11%	6%	18%	10%	14%	11%
Support	114	47D	50	17	12	11	91	41	37	77	55	59
	29%	34%	28%	20%	34%	37%	27%	26%	34%	26%	29%	28%
OPPOSE	231BFI	65	103	63ABC	17	12	202AF	104AI	51	180AI	108	123
-----	58%	47%	58%	75%	49%	40%	60%	67%	47%	62%	56%	59%
Oppose	147F	43	66	38B	15F	5	127F	62	33	114	63	84
	37%	31%	37%	45%	43%	17%	38%	40%	31%	39%	33%	40%
Strongly oppose	84E	22	37	25AB	2	7E	75E	42AI	18	66	45	39
	21%	16%	21%	30%	6%	23%	22%	27%	17%	23%	23%	19%
UNSURE	6	2	4	-	-	-	6	1	1	5	2	4
-----	2%	1%	2%				2%	1%	1%	2%	1%	2%

(sig=.05) (all_pairs) columns tested T=ABCD, T=AEFG, T=AHIJ, T=AKL

Tables Prepared by The Morris Leatherman Company

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns II
 Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 224

Q112. Would you support or oppose the use of city funding for on-street bicycle lanes?

	<-AGE OF RESPONDENT->				<-KNOW GOVT->		<RESIDENCE>		<--PRECINCT OF RESIDENCE-->			
	TOTAL	18-44	45-64	65+	Great /Fair	Very Little	Own	Rent	1&2	3&4	5&6	7&8
	-----	-----	-----	---	-----	-----	---	-----	---	---	---	---
TOTAL	400	158	159	83	235	165	303	97	107	94	97	102
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
SUPPORT	163CDJ	87ACD	53	23	98	65	118	45	39	25	61AIJL	38
-----	41%	55%	33%	28%	42%	39%	39%	46%	36%	27%	63%	37%
Strongly support	49CJ	34ACD	8	7	28	21	34	15	14	6	18AJ	11
	12%	22%	5%	8%	12%	13%	11%	15%	13%	6%	19%	11%
Support	114DJ	53D	45	16	70	44	84	30	25	19	43AIJL	27
	29%	34%	28%	19%	30%	27%	28%	31%	23%	20%	44%	26%
OPPOSE	231BK	71	101B	59AB	134	97	180	51	65K	68AK	35	63K
-----	58%	45%	64%	71%	57%	59%	59%	53%	61%	72%	36%	62%
Oppose	147K	52	56	39AB	89	58	113	34	39K	43AK	21	44K
	37%	33%	35%	47%	38%	35%	37%	35%	36%	46%	22%	43%
Strongly oppose	84B	19	45AB	20B	45	39	67	17	26	25K	14	19
	21%	12%	28%	24%	19%	24%	22%	18%	24%	27%	14%	19%
UNSURE	6B	-	5AB	1	3	3	5	1	3	1	1	1
-----	2%		3%	1%	1%	2%	2%	1%	3%	1%	1%	1%

(sig=.05) (all_pairs) columns tested T=ABCD, T=AEF, T=AGH, T=AIJKL

Tables Prepared by The Morris Leatherman Company

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns I
Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 225

Q113. Would you support or oppose the use of city funding for an off-leash dog area?

	<-RESIDENTIAL LONGEV->			<EXPECTED LONGEVITY->			<-TYPE OF HOUSEHOLD-->			<--GENDER-->		
	TOTAL	Less 10 Yrs	10-30 Years	Over 30 Years	Less 5 Yrs	5-10 Years	Over 10 Yrs	Senior	Child	Empty Nest	Male	Female
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
TOTAL	400	138	178	84	35	30	335	155	108	292	192	208
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
SUPPORT	267DGHJ	103AD	122D	42	28	24	215	80	82AHJ	185H	133	134
-----	67%	75%	69%	50%	80%	80%	64%	52%	76%	63%	69%	64%
Strongly support	109H	47A	43	19	12	9	88	30	30	79	60	49
	27%	34%	24%	23%	34%	30%	26%	19%	28%	27%	31%	24%
Support	158DHJ	56D	79D	23	16	15	127	50	52AHJ	106	73	85
	40%	41%	44%	27%	46%	50%	38%	32%	48%	36%	38%	41%
OPPOSE	121	34	50	37ABC	6	6	109A	67AIJ	26	95	51	70
-----	30%	25%	28%	44%	17%	20%	33%	43%	24%	33%	27%	34%
Oppose	76K	23	29	24ABC	5	3	68	44AIJ	19	57	28	48AK
	19%	17%	16%	29%	14%	10%	20%	28%	18%	20%	15%	23%
Strongly oppose	45	11	21	13	1	3	41	23I	7	38	23	22
	11%	8%	12%	15%	3%	10%	12%	15%	6%	13%	12%	11%
UNSURE	12I	1	6	5B	1	-	11	8AI	-	12AI	8	4
-----	3%	1%	3%	6%	3%		3%	5%		4%	4%	2%

(sig=.05) (all_pairs) columns tested T=ABCD, T=AEFG, T=AHIJ, T=AKL

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns II
Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 226

Q113. Would you support or oppose the use of city funding for an off-leash dog area?

	<-AGE OF RESPONDENT->				<-KNOW GOVT-> Great Very /Fair Little		<RESIDENCE>		<--PRECINCT OF RESIDENCE-->			
	TOTAL	18-44	45-64	65+	Great /Fair	Very Little	Own	Rent	1&2	3&4	5&6	7&8
	-----	-----	-----	---	-----	-----	---	-----	---	---	---	---
TOTAL	400	158	159	83	235	165	303	97	107	94	97	102
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
SUPPORT	267CDI	130ACD	94	43	154	113	195	72	59	59	74AIJ	75I
-----	67%	82%	59%	52%	66%	68%	64%	74%	55%	63%	76%	74%
Strongly support	109CDG	60ACD	34	15	67	42	70	39AG	25	24	22	38AIK
	27%	38%	21%	18%	29%	25%	23%	40%	23%	26%	23%	37%
Support	158	70	60	28	87	71	125	33	34	35	52AIJL	37
	40%	44%	38%	34%	37%	43%	41%	34%	32%	37%	54%	36%
OPPOSE	121B	28	56B	37AB	76	45	98	23	42AKL	31	22	26
-----	30%	18%	35%	45%	32%	27%	32%	24%	39%	33%	23%	25%
Oppose	76B	21	26	29ABC	47	29	64	12	24	18	14	20
	19%	13%	16%	35%	20%	18%	21%	12%	22%	19%	14%	20%
Strongly oppose	45BL	7	30AB	8	29	16	34	11	18AL	13	8	6
	11%	4%	19%	10%	12%	10%	11%	11%	17%	14%	8%	6%
UNSURE	12B	-	9AB	3B	5	7	10	2	6	4	1	1
-----	3%		6%	4%	2%	4%	3%	2%	6%	4%	1%	1%

(sig=.05) (all_pairs) columns tested T=ABCD, T=AEF, T=AGH, T=AIJKL

Tables Prepared by The Morris Leatherman Company

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns I
Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 227

Q114. Which of the following best reflects your opinion about reconstruction of tenni courts?

	<-RESIDENTIAL LONGEV->			<EXPECTED LONGEVITY->			<-TYPE OF HOUSEHOLD-->			<--GENDER-->		
	TOTAL	Less 10 Yrs	10-30 Years	Over 30 Years	Less 5 Yrs	5-10 Years	Over 10 Yrs	Senior	Child	Empty Nest	Male	Female
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
TOTAL	400	138	178	84	35	30	335	155	108	292	192	208
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
Fund replacement/all	30	10	14	6	2	2	26	13	10	20	16	14
	8%	7%	8%	7%	6%	7%	8%	8%	9%	7%	8%	7%
Reduce number/reconstruct	128DGH	54AD	55	19	23AG	15AG	90	34	38H	90H	64	64
	32%	39%	31%	23%	66%	50%	27%	22%	35%	31%	33%	31%
Reconstruct/program needs	142E	42	70	30	5	8	129AE	58	39	103	60	82
	36%	30%	39%	36%	14%	27%	39%	37%	36%	35%	31%	39%
No replacement	76	20	28	28ABC	4	4	68	38AI	14	62	41	35
	19%	14%	16%	33%	11%	13%	20%	25%	13%	21%	21%	17%
Unsure	24D	12D	11	1	1	1	22	12	7	17	11	13
	6%	9%	6%	1%	3%	3%	7%	8%	6%	6%	6%	6%

(sig=.05) (all_pairs) columns tested T=ABCD, T=AEFG, T=AHIJ, T=AKL

Tables Prepared by The Morris Leatherman Company

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns II
 Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 228

Q114. Which of the following best reflects your opinion about reconstruction of tenni courts?

	<-AGE OF RESPONDENT->			<-KNOW GOV'T->		<RESIDENCE>		<--PRECINCT OF RESIDENCE-->				
	TOTAL	18-44	45-64	65+	Great /Fair	Very Little	Own	Rent	1&2	3&4	5&6	7&8
	-----	-----	-----	---	-----	-----	---	-----	---	---	---	---
TOTAL	400	158	159	83	235	165	303	97	107	94	97	102
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
Fund replacement/all	30J 8%	14 9%	9 6%	7 8%	19 8%	11 7%	21 7%	9 9%	7 7%	2 2%	14AJ 14%	7 7%
Reduce number/reconstruct	128CDL 32%	71ACD 45%	41 26%	16 19%	81 34%	47 28%	97 32%	31 32%	36L 34%	28 30%	43AJL 44%	21 21%
Reconstruct/program needs	142K 36%	48 30%	63 40%	31 37%	80 34%	62 38%	108 36%	34 35%	30 28%	44AIK 47%	18 19%	50AIK 49%
No replacement	76B 19%	18 11%	34B 21%	24AB 29%	45 19%	31 19%	62 20%	14 14%	27J 25%	13 14%	15 15%	21 21%
Unsure	24 6%	7 4%	12 8%	5 6%	10 4%	14 8%	15 5%	9 9%	7 7%	7 7%	7 7%	3 3%

 (sig=.05) (all_pairs) columns tested T=ABCD, T=AEF, T=AGH, T=AIJKL

Tables Prepared by The Morris Leatherman Company

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns I
 Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 229

Q115. Have you or members of your household participated in any City park and recreation programs?

	TOTAL	<-RESIDENTIAL LONGEV->			<EXPECTED LONGEVITY->			<-TYPE OF HOUSEHOLD-->			<--GENDER-->	
		Less 10 Yrs	10-30 Years	Over 30 Years	Less 5 Yrs	5-10 Years	Over 10 Yrs	Senior	Child	Empty Nest	Male	Female
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
TOTAL	400	138	178	84	35	30	335	155	108	292	192	208
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
Yes	136DHJ	53D	64D	19	9	6	121A	28	65AHJ	71	64	72
	34%	38%	36%	23%	26%	20%	36%	18%	60%	24%	33%	35%
No	264GI	85	114	65ABC	26	24	214	127AI	43	221AI	128	136
	66%	62%	64%	77%	74%	80%	64%	82%	40%	76%	67%	65%
Unsure	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

 (sig=.05) (all_pairs) columns tested T=ABCD, T=AEFG, T=AHIJ, T=AKL

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns II
 Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 230

Q115. Have you or members of your household participated in any City park and recreation programs?

	TOTAL	<-AGE OF RESPONDENT->			<-KNOW GOVT->		<RESIDENCE>		<--PRECINCT OF RESIDENCE-->			
		18-44	45-64	65+	Great /Fair	Very Little	Own	Rent	1&2	3&4	5&6	7&8
	-----	-----	-----	---	-----	-----	---	-----	---	---	---	---
TOTAL	400	158	159	83	235	165	303	97	107	94	97	102
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
Yes	136CDK 34%	76ACD 48%	44 28%	16 19%	83 35%	53 32%	96 32%	40 41%	39K 36%	38K 40%	18 19%	41K 40%
No	264B 66%	82 52%	115AB 72%	67AB 81%	152 65%	112 68%	207 68%	57 59%	68 64%	56 60%	79AIJL 81%	61 60%
Unsure	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns I
Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 231
Q116. Which ones?

	TOTAL	<-RESIDENTIAL LONGEV->			<EXPECTED LONGEVITY->			<-TYPE OF HOUSEHOLD-->			<--GENDER-->	
		Less 10 Yrs	10-30 Years	Over 30 Years	Less 5 Yrs	5-10 Years	Over 10 Yrs	Senior	Child	Empty Nest	Male	Female
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
TOTAL	136	53	64	19	9	6	121	28	65	71	64	72
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
Summer programs	36H 26%	13 25%	19 30%	4 21%	-	2 33%	34 28%	3 11%	18 28%	18 25%	18 28%	18 25%
Multiple sports	24 18%	13 25%	10 16%	1 5%	2 22%	1 17%	21 17%	2 7%	13 20%	11 15%	9 14%	15 21%
Baseball/Softball	20 15%	13AC 25%	6 9%	1 5%	3 33%	-	17 14%	1 4%	9 14%	11 15%	8 13%	12 17%
Arts/Crafts/Hobby	19B 14%	3 6%	11 17%	5B 26%	-	2 33%	17 14%	4 14%	8 12%	11 15%	10 16%	9 13%
Fitness	13 10%	5 9%	6 9%	2 11%	1 11%	1 17%	11 9%	3 11%	4 6%	9 13%	8 13%	5 7%
Senior programs	10BI 7%	-	6B 9%	4AB 21%	1 11%	-	9 7%	10AIJ 36%	1 2%	9AI 13%	5 8%	5 7%
Swimming	8J 6%	3 6%	4 6%	1 5%	-	-	8 7%	2J 7%	8AJ 12%	-	4 6%	4 6%
Hockey	4GJ 3%	2 4%	2 3%	-	2AG 22%	-	2 2%	1 4%	4AJ 6%	-	2 3%	2 3%
Community events	2 1%	1 2%	-	1 5%	-	-	2 2%	2AI 7%	-	2 3%	-	2 3%
Unsure	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

(sig=.05) (all_pairs) columns tested T=ABCD, T=AEFG, T=AHIJ, T=AKL

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns II
 Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 232
 Q116. Which ones?

	TOTAL	<-AGE OF RESPONDENT->			<-KNOW GOV'T->		<RESIDENCE>		<--PRECINCT OF RESIDENCE-->			
		18-44	45-64	65+	Great /Fair	Very Little	Own	Rent	1&2	3&4	5&6	7&8
	-----	-----	-----	----	-----	-----	----	-----	----	-----	-----	----
TOTAL	136 100% %	76 100% %	44 100% %	16 100% %	83 100% %	53 100% %	96 100% %	40 100% %	39 100% %	38 100% %	18 100% %	41 100% %
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
Summer programs	36 26%	19 25%	15 34%	2 13%	23 28%	13 25%	28 29%	8 20%	7 18%	12 32%	4 22%	13 32%
Multiple sports	24CG 18%	22AC 29%	1 2%	1 6%	12 14%	12 23%	11 11%	13AG 33%	4 10%	9 24%	1 6%	10 24%
Baseball/Softball	20 15%	12 16%	7 16%	1 6%	12 14%	8 15%	12 13%	8 20%	6 15%	6 16%	3 17%	5 12%
Arts/Crafts/Hobby	19 14%	8 11%	8 18%	3 19%	13 16%	6 11%	14 15%	5 13%	6 15%	6 16%	-	7 17%
Fitness	13 10%	6 8%	5 11%	2 13%	5 6%	8 15%	11 11%	2 5%	6L 15%	3 8%	3 17%	1 2%
Senior programs	10B 7%	2 3%	3 7%	5ABC 31%	9 11%	1 2%	7 7%	3 8%	4 10%	1 3%	3 17%	2 5%
Swimming	8 6%	4 5%	4 9%	-	7 8%	1 2%	8 8%	-	3 8%	-	3AJ 17%	2 5%
Hockey	4 3%	3 4%	1 2%	-	1 1%	3 6%	3 3%	1 3%	2 5%	1 3%	-	1 2%
Community events	2 1%	-	-	2ABC 13%	1 1%	1 2%	2 2%	-	1 3%	-	1 6%	-
Unsure	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

(sig=.05) (all_pairs) columns tested T=ABCD, T=AEF, T=AGH, T=AIJKL

Tables Prepared by The Morris Leatherman Company

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns I
 Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 233

Q117. Were you satisfied with your experience?

	TOTAL	<-RESIDENTIAL LONGEV->			<EXPECTED LONGEVITY->			<-TYPE OF HOUSEHOLD-->			<--GENDER-->	
		Less 10 Yrs	10-30 Years	Over 30 Years	Less 5 Yrs	5-10 Years	Over 10 Yrs	Senior	Child	Empty Nest	Male	Female
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
TOTAL	136	53	64	19	9	6	121	28	65	71	64	72
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
Satisfied	132	52	62	18	8	6	118	28	62	70	62	70
	97%	98%	97%	95%	89%	100%	98%	100%	95%	99%	97%	97%
Dissatisfied	1	-	-	1A	-	-	1	-	1	-	-	1
	1%			5%			1%		2%			1%
Unsure	3	1	2	-	1	-	2	-	2	1	2	1
	2%	2%	3%		11%		2%		3%	1%	3%	1%

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns II
 Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 234
 Q117. Were you satisfied with your experience?

	TOTAL	<-AGE OF RESPONDENT->			<-KNOW GOVT->		<RESIDENCE>		<--PRECINCT OF RESIDENCE-->			
		18-44	45-64	65+	Great /Fair	Very Little	Own	Rent	1&2	3&4	5&6	7&8
	-----	-----	-----	---	-----	-----	---	-----	---	---	---	---
TOTAL	136	76	44	16	83	53	96	40	39	38	18	41
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
Satisfied	132L	74	42	16	80	52	92	40	39	38	17	38
	97%	97%	95%	100%	96%	98%	96%	100%	100%	100%	94%	93%
Dissatisfied	1	-	1	-	1	-	1	-	-	-	1A	-
	1%		2%		1%		1%				6%	
Unsure	3	2	1	-	2	1	3	-	-	-	-	3A
	2%	3%	2%		2%	2%	3%					7%

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns I
 Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 235

Q118. Does the current mix of City park and recreation programming meet the needs of your household?

	TOTAL	<-RESIDENTIAL LONGEV->			<EXPECTED LONGEVITY->			<-TYPE OF HOUSEHOLD-->			<--GENDER-->	
		Less 10 Yrs	10-30 Years	Over 30 Years	Less 5 Yrs	5-10 Years	Over 10 Yrs	Senior	Child	Empty Nest	Male	Female
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
TOTAL	400	138	178	84	35	30	335	155	108	292	192	208
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
Yes	396	137	176	83	34	30	332	153	106	290	191	205
	99%	99%	99%	99%	97%	100%	99%	99%	98%	99%	99%	99%
No	2	-	1	1	-	-	2	1	1	1	1	1
	1%		1%	1%			1%	1%	1%	*	1%	*
Unsure	2	1	1	-	1AG	-	1	1	1	1	-	2
	1%	1%	1%		3%		*	1%	1%	*		1%

(sig=.05) (all_pairs) columns tested T=ABCD, T=AEFG, T=AHIJ, T=AKL
 Note: Percentage less than 0.5 printed as *.

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns II
 Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 236

Q118. Does the current mix of City park and recreation programming meet the needs of your household?

	TOTAL	<-AGE OF RESPONDENT->			<-KNOW GOVT-> Great Very /Fair Little		<RESIDENCE>		<--PRECINCT OF RESIDENCE-->			
		18-44	45-64	65+			Own	Rent	1&2	3&4	5&6	7&8
	-----	-----	-----	----	-----	-----	---	-----	---	---	---	---
TOTAL	400	158	159	83	235	165	303	97	107	94	97	102
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
Yes	396FH	157	158	81	235AF	161	302AH	94	106	94	96	100
	99%	99%	99%	98%	100%	98%	100%	97%	99%	100%	99%	98%
No	2	-	1	1	-	2	1	1	1	-	1	-
	1%		1%	1%		1%	*	1%	1%		1%	
Unsure	2G	1	-	1	-	2	-	2AG	-	-	-	2A
	1%	1%		1%		1%		2%				2%

 (sig=.05) (all_pairs) columns tested T=ABCD, T=AEF, T=AGH, T=AIJKL
 Note: Percentage less than 0.5 printed as *.

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns I
 Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 237

Q119. What programs do you feel are lacking?

	TOTAL	<-RESIDENTIAL LONGEV->			<EXPECTED LONGEVITY->			<-TYPE OF HOUSEHOLD-->			<--GENDER-->	
		Less 10 Yrs	10-30 Years	Over 30 Years	Less 5 Yrs	5-10 Years	Over 10 Yrs	Senior	Child	Empty Nest	Male	Female
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
TOTAL	2	-	1	1	-	-	2	1	1	1	1	1
	100%		100%	100%			100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
Senior programs	1	-	-	1	-	-	1	1	-	1	1	-
	50%			100%			50%	100%		100%	100%	
Teen programs	1	-	1	-	-	-	1	-	1	-	-	1
	50%		100%				50%		100%			100%

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns II
 Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 238
 Q119. What programs do you feel are lacking?

	<-AGE OF RESPONDENT->			<-KNOW GOVT->		<RESIDENCE>		<--PRECINCT OF RESIDENCE-->				
	TOTAL	18-44	45-64	65+	Great /Fair	Very Little	Own	Rent	1&2	3&4	5&6	7&8
	-----	-----	-----	----	-----	-----	---	-----	---	---	---	---
TOTAL	2	-	1	1	-	2	1	1	1	-	1	-
	100%		100%	100%		100%	100%	100%	100%		100%	
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
Senior programs	1	-	-	1	-	1	1	-	-	-	1	-
	50%			100%		50%	100%				100%	
Teen programs	1	-	1	-	-	1	-	1	1	-	-	-
	50%		100%			50%		100%	100%			

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns I
Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 239

Q120. Does your household currently leave the city for park and recreation facilities or activities?

	<-RESIDENTIAL LONGEV->				<EXPECTED LONGEVITY->			<-TYPE OF HOUSEHOLD-->			<--GENDER-->	
	TOTAL	Less 10 Yrs	10-30 Years	Over 30 Years	Less 5 Yrs	5-10 Years	Over 10 Yrs	Senior	Child	Empty Nest	Male	Female
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
TOTAL	400	138	178	84	35	30	335	155	108	292	192	208
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
No	185CK	70C	66	49AC	17	11	157	91AIJ	42	143	76	109AK
	46%	51%	37%	58%	49%	37%	47%	59%	39%	49%	40%	52%
Lakes/Boating	111FHL	32	54	25	9	3	99F	33	28	83	66AL	45
	28%	23%	30%	30%	26%	10%	30%	21%	26%	28%	34%	22%
Parks	26	5	18AB	3	1	4	21	7	8	18	13	13
	7%	4%	10%	4%	3%	13%	6%	5%	7%	6%	7%	6%
Golf courses	24BK	2	18AB	4	1	1	22	18AI	5	19	6	18AK
	6%	1%	10%	5%	3%	3%	7%	12%	5%	7%	3%	9%
Trails	23DHJ	11D	12D	-	2	5AG	16	4	11AHJ	12	9	14
	6%	8%	7%		6%	17%	5%	3%	10%	4%	5%	7%
Community Center	17GHL	12ACD	4	1	5AG	4AG	8	1	8H	9	14AL	3
	4%	9%	2%	1%	14%	13%	2%	1%	7%	3%	7%	1%
Pool	12H	5	5	2	-	1	11	1	5H	7	6	6
	3%	4%	3%	2%		3%	3%	1%	5%	2%	3%	3%
Unsure	2	1	1	-	-	1AG	1	-	1	1	2	-
	1%	1%	1%			3%	*		1%	*	1%	

(sig=.05) (all_pairs) columns tested T=ABCD, T=AEFG, T=AHIJ, T=AKL
Note: Percentage less than 0.5 printed as *.

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns II
 Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 240

Q120. Does your household currently leave the city for park and recreation facilities or activities?

	TOTAL	<-AGE OF RESPONDENT->			<-KNOW GOVT-> Great Very /Fair Little		<RESIDENCE>		<--PRECINCT OF RESIDENCE-->			
		18-44	45-64	65+			Own	Rent	1&2	3&4	5&6	7&8
	-----	-----	-----	----	-----	-----	---	-----	---	---	---	---
TOTAL	400 100% %	158 100% %	159 100% %	83 100% %	235 100% %	165 100% %	303 100% %	97 100% %	107 100% %	94 100% %	97 100% %	102 100% %
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
No	185BEK 46%	62 39%	65 41%	58ABC 70%	82 35%	103AE 62%	135 45%	50 52%	65AJKL 61%	39 41%	35 36%	46 45%
Lakes/Boating	111DFIK 28%	41D 26%	59ABD 37%	11 13%	83AF 35%	28 17%	85 28%	26 27%	19 18%	41AIK 44%	15 15%	36AIK 35%
Parks	26 7%	11 7%	10 6%	5 6%	17 7%	9 5%	19 6%	7 7%	7 7%	5 5%	8 8%	6 6%
Golf courses	24J 6%	5 3%	14B 9%	5 6%	15 6%	9 5%	21 7%	3 3%	6 6%	1 1%	12AJ 12%	5 5%
Trails	23 6%	15ACD 9%	6 4%	2 2%	15 6%	8 5%	19 6%	4 4%	4 4%	2 2%	15AIJL 15%	2 2%
Community Center	17C 4%	15ACD 9%	1 1%	1 1%	13 6%	4 2%	13 4%	4 4%	4 4%	3 3%	9AL 9%	1 1%
Pool	12 3%	7 4%	4 3%	1 1%	8 3%	4 2%	9 3%	3 3%	2 2%	2 2%	2 2%	6A 6%
Unsure	2 1%	2 1%	-	-	2 1%	-	2 1%	-	-	1 1%	1 1%	-

(sig=.05) (all_pairs) columns tested T=ABCD, T=AEF, T=AGH, T=AIJKL

Tables Prepared by The Morris Leatherman Company

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns I
Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 241

Q121. What is the most important environmental issue needing to be addressed in the City of Golden Valley?

	<-RESIDENTIAL LONGEV->			<EXPECTED LONGEVITY->			<-TYPE OF HOUSEHOLD-->			<--GENDER-->		
	TOTAL	Less 10 Yrs	10-30 Years	Over 30 Years	Less 5 Yrs	5-10 Years	Over 10 Yrs	Senior	Child	Empty Nest	Male	Female
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
TOTAL	400 100% %	138 100% %	178 100% %	84 100% %	35 100% %	30 100% %	335 100% %	155 100% %	108 100% %	292 100% %	192 100% %	208 100% %
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
None	71E 18%	19 14%	33 19%	19 23%	1 3%	2 7%	68AE 20%	31 20%	16 15%	55 19%	35 18%	36 17%
Air quality	61 15%	23 17%	24 13%	14 17%	6 17%	4 13%	51 15%	23 15%	13 12%	48 16%	32 17%	29 14%
Litter	41J 10%	15 11%	22 12%	4 5%	6 17%	3 10%	32 10%	12 8%	17AHJ 16%	24 8%	22 11%	19 9%
Lawn run-off	38 10%	9 7%	20 11%	9 11%	3 9%	7AG 23%	28 8%	15 10%	11 10%	27 9%	22 11%	16 8%
Lake/Pond water quality	35 9%	17 12%	11 6%	7 8%	3 9%	2 7%	30 9%	10 6%	13 12%	22 8%	20 10%	15 7%
Drinking water quality	26 7%	11 8%	13 7%	2 2%	4 11%	1 3%	21 6%	8 5%	8 7%	18 6%	13 7%	13 6%
Natural habitats	16DK 4%	7D 5%	9D 5%	-	-	2 7%	14 4%	7 5%	4 4%	12 4%	3 2%	13AK 6%
Recycling/Garbage	16 4%	2 1%	12AB 7%	2 2%	2 6%	-	14 4%	8 5%	5 5%	11 4%	6 3%	10 5%
Backyard fire pits	11G 3%	6 4%	5 3%	-	1 3%	5AG 17%	5 1%	2 1%	2 2%	9 3%	7 4%	4 2%
Invasive species	10 3%	4 3%	3 2%	3 4%	-	1 3%	9 3%	2 1%	3 3%	7 2%	5 3%	5 2%
Mosquitos	9 2%	5 4%	4 2%	-	-	-	9 3%	3 2%	2 2%	7 2%	5 3%	4 2%
Too much tree removal	8CGK 2%	5C 4%	-	3C 4%	3AG 9%	1 3%	4 1%	3 2%	2 2%	6 2%	1 1%	7AK 3%
Too many deer	6 2%	-	5B 3%	1 1%	-	-	6 2%	2 1%	-	6 2%	3 2%	3 1%

(sig=.05) (all_pairs) columns tested T=ABCD, T=AEFG, T=AHIJ, T=AKL
Note: Percentage less than 0.5 printed as *.

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns I
 Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 241 (continued)

Q121. What is the most important environmental issue needing to be addressed in the City of Golden Valley?

	TOTAL	<-RESIDENTIAL LONGEV->			<EXPECTED LONGEVITY->			<-TYPE OF HOUSEHOLD-->			<--GENDER-->	
		Less 10 Yrs	10-30 Years	Over 30 Years	Less 5 Yrs	5-10 Years	Over 10 Yrs	Senior	Child Nest	Empty	Male	Female
Renewable energy	1G *	-	1 1%	-	1AG 3%	-	-	-	-	1 *	-	1 *
Unsure	36C 9%	10 7%	8 4%	18ABC 21%	3 9%	2 7%	31 9%	21A 14%	8 7%	28 10%	12 6%	24 12%
Scattered	15 4%	5 4%	8 4%	2 2%	2 6%	-	13 4%	8 5%	4 4%	11 4%	6 3%	9 4%

(sig=.05) (all_pairs) columns tested T=ABCD, T=AEFG, T=AHIJ, T=AKL
 Note: Percentage less than 0.5 printed as *.

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns II
 Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 242

Q121. What is the most important environmental issue needing to be addressed in the City of Golden Valley?

	<-AGE OF RESPONDENT->			<-KNOW GOVT->		<RESIDENCE>		<--PRECINCT OF RESIDENCE-->				
	TOTAL	18-44	45-64	65+	Great /Fair	Very Little	Own	Rent	1&2	3&4	5&6	7&8
	-----	-----	-----	---	-----	-----	---	-----	---	---	---	---
TOTAL	400 100% %	158 100% %	159 100% %	83 100% %	235 100% %	165 100% %	303 100% %	97 100% %	107 100% %	94 100% %	97 100% %	102 100% %
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
None	71BG 18%	20 13%	37AB 23%	14 17%	38 16%	33 20%	44 15%	27AG 28%	13 12%	27AIK 29%	12 12%	19 19%
Air quality	61 15%	26 16%	18 11%	17 20%	41 17%	20 12%	45 15%	16 16%	16 15%	15 16%	13 13%	17 17%
Litter	41D 10%	22D 14%	17D 11%	2 2%	22 9%	19 12%	30 10%	11 11%	12 11%	11 12%	9 9%	9 9%
Lawn run-off	38 10%	12 8%	15 9%	11 13%	25 11%	13 8%	33 11%	5 5%	9 8%	5 5%	15AJ 15%	9 9%
Lake/Pond water quality	35 9%	16 10%	16 10%	3 4%	22 9%	13 8%	29 10%	6 6%	9 8%	6 6%	12 12%	8 8%
Drinking water quality	26 7%	12 8%	10 6%	4 5%	20 9%	6 4%	19 6%	7 7%	7 7%	8 9%	6 6%	5 5%
Natural habitats	16 4%	7 4%	4 3%	5 6%	6 3%	10 6%	10 3%	6 6%	2 2%	4 4%	3 3%	7 7%
Recycling/Garbage	16 4%	6 4%	7 4%	3 4%	10 4%	6 4%	15 5%	1 1%	2 2%	3 3%	8AI 8%	3 3%
Backyard fire pits	11C 3%	7C 4%	1 1%	3 4%	5 2%	6 4%	8 3%	3 3%	1 1%	2 2%	7AIL 7%	1 1%
Invasive species	10 3%	4 3%	4 3%	2 2%	7 3%	3 2%	7 2%	3 3%	2 2%	-	1 1%	7AJK 7%
Mosquitos	9 2%	2 1%	5 3%	2 2%	5 2%	4 2%	5 2%	4 4%	-	4I 4%	1 1%	4I 4%
Too much tree removal	8 2%	5 3%	2 1%	1 1%	7 3%	1 1%	7 2%	1 1%	4 4%	-	3 3%	1 1%
Too many deer	6F 2%	3 2%	3 2%	-	6AF 3%	-	6 2%	-	1 1%	1 1%	2 2%	2 2%

(sig=.05) (all_pairs) columns tested T=ABCD, T=AEF, T=AGH, T=AIJKL
 Note: Percentage less than 0.5 printed as *.

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns II
 Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 242 (continued)

Q121. What is the most important environmental issue needing to be addressed in the City of Golden Valley?

	TOTAL	<-AGE OF RESPONDENT->			<-KNOW GOVT->		<RESIDENCE>		<--PRECINCT OF RESIDENCE-->			
		18-44	45-64	65+	Great /Fair	Very Little	Own	Rent	1&2	3&4	5&6	7&8
Renewable energy	1 *	1 1%	-	-	-	1 1%	-	1 1%	-	-	-	1 1%
Unsure	36EK 9%	10 6%	13 8%	13AB 16%	12 5%	24AE 15%	32 11%	4 4%	20AJKL 19%	7 7%	2 2%	7 7%
Scattered	15 4%	5 3%	7 4%	3 4%	9 4%	6 4%	13 4%	2 2%	9AJL 8%	1 1%	3 3%	2 2%

 (sig=.05) (all_pairs) columns tested T=ABCD, T=AEF, T=AGH, T=AIJKL
 Note: Percentage less than 0.5 printed as *.

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns I
 Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 243

Q122. How would you rate the water quality of lakes, streams and wetlands in Golden Valley?

	TOTAL	<-RESIDENTIAL LONGEV->			<EXPECTED LONGEVITY->			<-TYPE OF HOUSEHOLD-->			<--GENDER-->	
		Less 10 Yrs	10-30 Years	Over 30 Years	Less 5 Yrs	5-10 Years	Over 10 Yrs	Senior	Child	Empty Nest	Male	Female
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
TOTAL	400	138	178	84	35	30	335	155	108	292	192	208
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
Excellent	43E	20	15	8	-	4E	39E	13	13	30	16	27
	11%	14%	8%	10%		13%	12%	8%	12%	10%	8%	13%
Good	299	100	142AD	57	28	24	247	116	74	225	147	152
	75%	72%	80%	68%	80%	80%	74%	75%	69%	77%	77%	73%
Only fair	40	13	15	12	5	2	33	17	14	26	23	17
	10%	9%	8%	14%	14%	7%	10%	11%	13%	9%	12%	8%
Poor	12	5	5	2	2	-	10	4	6	6	6	6
	3%	4%	3%	2%	6%		3%	3%	6%	2%	3%	3%
Unsure	6K	-	1	5ABC	-	-	6	5A	1	5	-	6AK
	2%		1%	6%			2%	3%	1%	2%		3%

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns II
 Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 244

Q122. How would you rate the water quality of lakes, streams and wetlands in Golden Valley?

	TOTAL	<-AGE OF RESPONDENT->			<-KNOW GOVT-> Great Very /Fair Little		<RESIDENCE>		<--PRECINCT OF RESIDENCE-->			
		18-44	45-64	65+	Great /Fair	Very Little	Own	Rent	1&2	3&4	5&6	7&8
	-----	-----	-----	---	-----	-----	---	-----	---	---	---	---
TOTAL	400 100% %	158 100% %	159 100% %	83 100% %	235 100% %	165 100% %	303 100% %	97 100% %	107 100% %	94 100% %	97 100% %	102 100% %
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
Excellent	43E 11%	20 13%	16 10%	7 8%	19 8%	24AE 15%	31 10%	12 12%	10 9%	15 16%	7 7%	11 11%
Good	299I 75%	117 74%	122 77%	60 72%	182 77%	117 71%	225 74%	74 76%	71 66%	71 76%	76 78%	81I 79%
Only fair	40J 10%	14 9%	17 11%	9 11%	25 11%	15 9%	31 10%	9 9%	19AJK 18%	4 4%	8 8%	9 9%
Poor	12L 3%	7 4%	3 2%	2 2%	8 3%	4 2%	11 4%	1 1%	3 3%	4L 4%	5L 5%	-
Unsure	6BE 2%	-	1 1%	5ABC 6%	1 *	5AE 3%	5 2%	1 1%	4A 4%	-	1 1%	1 1%

(sig=.05) (all_pairs) columns tested T=ABCD, T=AEF, T=AGH, T=AIJKL
 Note: Percentage less than 0.5 printed as *.

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns I
Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 245

Q123. How concerned are you about invasive species in the city?

	TOTAL	<-RESIDENTIAL LONGEV->			<EXPECTED LONGEVITY->			<-TYPE OF HOUSEHOLD-->			<--GENDER-->	
		Less 10 Yrs	10-30 Years	Over 30 Years	Less 5 Yrs	5-10 Years	Over 10 Yrs	Senior	Child	Empty Nest	Male	Female
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
TOTAL	400	138	178	84	35	30	335	155	108	292	192	208
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
Very	43E	20	15	8	-	4E	39E	13	13	30	16	27
	11%	14%	8%	10%		13%	12%	8%	12%	10%	8%	13%
Somewhat	299	100	142AD	57	28	24	247	116	74	225	147	152
	75%	72%	80%	68%	80%	80%	74%	75%	69%	77%	77%	73%
Not too	40	13	15	12	5	2	33	17	14	26	23	17
	10%	9%	8%	14%	14%	7%	10%	11%	13%	9%	12%	8%
Not at all	12	5	5	2	2	-	10	4	6	6	6	6
	3%	4%	3%	2%	6%		3%	3%	6%	2%	3%	3%
Unsure	6K	-	1	5ABC	-	-	6	5A	1	5	-	6AK
	2%		1%	6%			2%	3%	1%	2%		3%

(sig=.05) (all_pairs) columns tested T=ABCD, T=AEFG, T=AHIJ, T=AKL

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns II
 Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 246

Q123. How concerned are you about invasive species in the city?

	<-AGE OF RESPONDENT->			<-KNOW GOVT->		<RESIDENCE>		<--PRECINCT OF RESIDENCE-->				
	TOTAL	18-44	45-64	65+	Great /Fair	Very Little	Own	Rent	1&2	3&4	5&6	7&8
	-----	-----	-----	----	-----	-----	---	-----	---	---	---	---
TOTAL	400 100% %	158 100% %	159 100% %	83 100% %	235 100% %	165 100% %	303 100% %	97 100% %	107 100% %	94 100% %	97 100% %	102 100% %
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
Very	43E 11%	20 13%	16 10%	7 8%	19 8%	24AE 15%	31 10%	12 12%	10 9%	15 16%	7 7%	11 11%
Somewhat	299I 75%	117 74%	122 77%	60 72%	182 77%	117 71%	225 74%	74 76%	71 66%	71 76%	76 78%	81I 79%
Not too	40J 10%	14 9%	17 11%	9 11%	25 11%	15 9%	31 10%	9 9%	19AJK 18%	4 4%	8 8%	9 9%
Not at all	12L 3%	7 4%	3 2%	2 2%	8 3%	4 2%	11 4%	1 1%	3 3%	4L 4%	5L 5%	-
Unsure	6BE 2%	-	1 1%	5ABC 6%	1 *	5AE 3%	5 2%	1 1%	4A 4%	-	1 1%	1 1%

(sig=.05) (all_pairs) columns tested T=ABCD, T=AEF, T=AGH, T=AIJKL
 Note: Percentage less than 0.5 printed as *.

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns I
Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 247

Q124. How would you rate the ease of getting from place to place within the City of Golden Valley?

	TOTAL	<-RESIDENTIAL LONGEV->			<EXPECTED LONGEVITY->			<-TYPE OF HOUSEHOLD-->			<--GENDER-->	
		Less 10 Yrs	10-30 Years	Over 30 Years	Less 5 Yrs	5-10 Years	Over 10 Yrs	Senior	Child	Empty Nest	Male	Female
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
TOTAL	400	138	178	84	35	30	335	155	108	292	192	208
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
FAVOR	371H	131	165	75	34	29	308	136	104H	267	179	192
-----	93%	95%	93%	89%	97%	97%	92%	88%	96%	91%	93%	92%
Excellent	58D	30ACD	22	6	5	6	47	18	19	39	29	29
	15%	22%	12%	7%	14%	20%	14%	12%	18%	13%	15%	14%
Good	313	101	143	69	29	23	261	118	85	228	150	163
	78%	73%	80%	82%	83%	77%	78%	76%	79%	78%	78%	78%
UNFAVOR	29	7	13	9	1	1	27	19AI	4	25	13	16
-----	7%	5%	7%	11%	3%	3%	8%	12%	4%	9%	7%	8%
Only fair	27	7	13	7	1	1	25	17AI	4	23	13	14
	7%	5%	7%	8%	3%	3%	7%	11%	4%	8%	7%	7%
Poor	2	-	-	2AC	-	-	2	2	-	2	-	2
	1%			2%			1%	1%		1%		1%
UNSURE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

(sig=.05) (all_pairs) columns tested T=ABCD, T=AEFG, T=AHIJ, T=AKL

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns II
 Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 248

Q124. How would you rate the ease of getting from place to place within the City of Golden Valley?

	<-AGE OF RESPONDENT->			<-KNOW GOV'T->		<RESIDENCE>		<--PRECINCT OF RESIDENCE-->				
	TOTAL	18-44	45-64	65+	Great /Fair	Very Little	Own	Rent	1&2	3&4	5&6	7&8
	-----	-----	-----	----	-----	-----	---	-----	---	---	---	---
TOTAL	400	158	159	83	235	165	303	97	107	94	97	102
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
FAVOR	371DK	152AD	148	71	221	150	277	94	102K	87	85	97
-----	93%	96%	93%	86%	94%	91%	91%	97%	95%	93%	88%	95%
Excellent	58	30AC	17	11	34	24	43	15	20	11	13	14
	15%	19%	11%	13%	14%	15%	14%	15%	19%	12%	13%	14%
Good	313	122	131	60	187	126	234	79	82	76	72	83
	78%	77%	82%	72%	80%	76%	77%	81%	77%	81%	74%	81%
UNFAVOR	29B	6	11	12AB	14	15	26	3	5	7	12AI	5
-----	7%	4%	7%	14%	6%	9%	9%	3%	5%	7%	12%	5%
Only fair	27	6	11	10AB	14	13	24	3	3	7	12AI	5
	7%	4%	7%	12%	6%	8%	8%	3%	3%	7%	12%	5%
Poor	2	-	-	2AC	-	2	2	-	2A	-	-	-
	1%			2%		1%	1%		2%			
UNSURE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

(sig=.05) (all_pairs) columns tested T=ABCD, T=AEF, T=AGH, T=AIJKL

Tables Prepared by The Morris Leatherman Company

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns I
 Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 249

Q125. Do you leave the City of Golden Valley on a regular or daily basis?

	TOTAL	<-RESIDENTIAL LONGEV->			<EXPECTED LONGEVITY->			<-TYPE OF HOUSEHOLD-->			<--GENDER-->	
		Less 10 Yrs	10-30 Years	Over 30 Years	Less 5 Yrs	5-10 Years	Over 10 Yrs	Senior	Child	Empty Nest	Male	Female
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
TOTAL	400	138	178	84	35	30	335	155	108	292	192	208
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
Yes	208DEHJ 52%	75D 54%	110AD 62%	23 27%	10 29%	22AEG 73%	176E 53%	47 30%	82AHJ 76%	126H 43%	101 53%	107 51%
No	106GH 27%	50ACD 36%	39 22%	17 20%	22AFG 63%	7 23%	77 23%	28 18%	25 23%	81H 28%	54 28%	52 25%
Not employed/Retired	86BCFI 22%	13 9%	29 16%	44ABC 52%	3 9%	1 3%	82AEF 24%	80AIJ 52%	1 1%	85AI 29%	37 19%	49 24%
Unsure	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns II
 Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 250
 Q125. Do you leave the City of Golden Valley on a regular or daily basis?

	TOTAL	<-AGE OF RESPONDENT->			<-KNOW GOVT-> Great Very /Fair Little		<RESIDENCE>		<--PRECINCT OF RESIDENCE-->			
		18-44	45-64	65+			Own	Rent	1&2	3&4	5&6	7&8
	-----	-----	-----	---	-----	-----	---	-----	---	---	---	---
TOTAL	400	158	159	83	235	165	303	97	107	94	97	102
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
Yes	208DH 52%	97AD 61%	100AD 63%	11 13%	127 54%	81 49%	169AH 56%	39 40%	52 49%	48 51%	59A 61%	49 48%
No	106CG 27%	61ACD 39%	28 18%	17 20%	63 27%	43 26%	67 22%	39AG 40%	28 26%	23 24%	23 24%	32 31%
Not employed/Retired	86B 22%	-	31B 19%	55ABC 66%	45 19%	41 25%	67 22%	19 20%	27 25%	23 24%	15 15%	21 21%
Unsure	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns I
 Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 251

Q126. How do you normally commute to work?

	TOTAL	<-RESIDENTIAL LONGEV->			<EXPECTED LONGEVITY->			<-TYPE OF HOUSEHOLD-->			<--GENDER-->	
		Less 10 Yrs	10-30 Years	Over 30 Years	Less 5 Yrs	5-10 Years	Over 10 Yrs	Senior	Child	Empty Nest	Male	Female
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
TOTAL	208	75	110	23	10	22	176	47	82	126	101	107
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
Drive alone	181	64	96	21	7	18	156	40	70	111	91	90
	87%	85%	87%	91%	70%	82%	89%	85%	85%	88%	90%	84%
Van or car pool	22	9	12	1	1	3	18	6	10	12	8	14
	11%	12%	11%	4%	10%	14%	10%	13%	12%	10%	8%	13%
Take bus	4G	2	2	-	2AG	1	1	1	2	2	1	3
	2%	3%	2%		20%	5%	1%	2%	2%	2%	1%	3%
Walk or bike	1	-	-	1AC	-	-	1	-	-	1	1	-
	*			4%			1%			1%	1%	

 (sig=.05) (all_pairs) columns tested T=ABCD, T=AEFG, T=AHIJ, T=AKL
 Note: Percentage less than 0.5 printed as *.

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns II
 Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 252

Q126. How do you normally commute to work?

	<-AGE OF RESPONDENT->			<-KNOW GOVT->		<RESIDENCE>		<--PRECINCT OF RESIDENCE-->				
	TOTAL	18-44	45-64	65+	Great /Fair	Very Little	Own	Rent	1&2	3&4	5&6	7&8
	-----	-----	-----	---	-----	-----	---	-----	---	---	---	---
TOTAL	208	97	100	11	127	81	169	39	52	48	59	49
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
Drive alone	181	82	91	8	112	69	148	33	45	41	50	45
	87%	85%	91%	73%	88%	85%	88%	85%	87%	85%	85%	92%
Van or car pool	22	11	8	3C	12	10	18	4	4	5	9	4
	11%	11%	8%	27%	9%	12%	11%	10%	8%	10%	15%	8%
Take bus	4	3	1	-	2	2	2	2	2	2	-	-
	2%	3%	1%		2%	2%	1%	5%	4%	4%		
Walk or bike	1	1	-	-	1	-	1	-	1	-	-	-
	*	1%			1%		1%		2%			

 (sig=.05) (all_pairs) columns tested T=ABCD, T=AEF, T=AGH, T=AIJKL
 Note: Percentage less than 0.5 printed as *.

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns I
 Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 253

Q127. Have you used public transportation during the past two years?

	<-RESIDENTIAL LONGEV->				<EXPECTED LONGEVITY->			<-TYPE OF HOUSEHOLD-->			<--GENDER-->	
	TOTAL	Less 10 Yrs	10-30 Years	Over 30 Years	Less 5 Yrs	5-10 Years	Over 10 Yrs	Senior	Child	Empty Nest	Male	Female
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
TOTAL	400	138	178	84	35	30	335	155	108	292	192	208
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
Yes	114DGHJ 29%	51AD 37%	53D 30%	10 12%	21AG 60%	13G 43%	80 24%	22 14%	45AHJ 42%	69H 24%	59 31%	55 26%
No	286BEI 72%	87 63%	125 70%	74ABC 88%	14 40%	17 57%	255AEF 76%	133AIJ 86%	63 58%	223AI 76%	133 69%	153 74%
Unsure	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns II
 Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 254

Q127. Have you used public transportation during the past two years?

	<-AGE OF RESPONDENT->				<-KNOW GOVT->		<RESIDENCE>		<--PRECINCT OF RESIDENCE-->			
	TOTAL	18-44	45-64	65+	Great /Fair	Very Little	Own	Rent	1&2	3&4	5&6	7&8
	-----	-----	-----	---	-----	-----	---	-----	---	---	---	---
TOTAL	400	158	159	83	235	165	303	97	107	94	97	102
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
Yes	114CDG 29%	74ACD 47%	28 18%	12 14%	73 31%	41 25%	75 25%	39AG 40%	28 26%	23 24%	36A 37%	27 26%
No	286BHK 72%	84 53%	131AB 82%	71AB 86%	162 69%	124 75%	228AH 75%	58 60%	79 74%	71 76%	61 63%	75 74%
Unsure	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns I
 Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 255
 Q128. How would you rate your experience?

	TOTAL	<-RESIDENTIAL LONGEV->			<EXPECTED LONGEVITY->			<-TYPE OF HOUSEHOLD-->			<--GENDER-->	
		Less 10 Yrs	10-30 Years	Over 30 Years	Less 5 Yrs	5-10 Years	Over 10 Yrs	Senior	Child	Empty Nest	Male	Female
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
TOTAL	114	51	53	10	21	13	80	22	45	69	59	55
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
FAVOR	107	49	49	9	19	13	75	22	41	66	55	52
-----	94%	96%	92%	90%	90%	100%	94%	100%	91%	96%	93%	95%
Excellent	17	7	7	3	2	2	13	6	6	11	7	10
	15%	14%	13%	30%	10%	15%	16%	27%	13%	16%	12%	18%
Good	90	42	42	6	17	11	62	16	35	55	48	42
	79%	82%	79%	60%	81%	85%	78%	73%	78%	80%	81%	76%
UNFAVOR	7	2	4	1	2	-	5	-	4	3	4	3
-----	6%	4%	8%	10%	10%		6%		9%	4%	7%	5%
Only fair	6	2	3	1	2	-	4	-	3	3	3	3
	5%	4%	6%	10%	10%		5%		7%	4%	5%	5%
Poor	1	-	1	-	-	-	1	-	1	-	1	-
	1%		2%				1%		2%		2%	
UNSURE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns II
 Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 256

Q128. How would you rate your experience?

	<-AGE OF RESPONDENT->			<-KNOW GOV'T->		<RESIDENCE>		<--PRECINCT OF RESIDENCE-->				
	TOTAL	18-44	45-64	65+	Great /Fair	Very Little	Own	Rent	1&2	3&4	5&6	7&8
	-----	-----	-----	----	-----	-----	---	-----	---	---	---	---
TOTAL	114	74	28	12	73	41	75	39	28	23	36	27
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
FAVOR	107F	69	26	12	71AF	36	71	36	26	20	36J	25
-----	94%	93%	93%	100%	97%	88%	95%	92%	93%	87%	100%	93%
Excellent	17	9	3	5ABC	14	3	12	5	5	3	3	6
	15%	12%	11%	42%	19%	7%	16%	13%	18%	13%	8%	22%
Good	90	60	23	7	57	33	59	31	21	17	33AL	19
	79%	81%	82%	58%	78%	80%	79%	79%	75%	74%	92%	70%
UNFAVOR	7E	5	2	-	2	5AE	4	3	2	3K	-	2
-----	6%	7%	7%		3%	12%	5%	8%	7%	13%		7%
Only fair	6	4	2	-	2	4	3	3	2	2	-	2
	5%	5%	7%		3%	10%	4%	8%	7%	9%		7%
Poor	1	1	-	-	-	1	1	-	-	1A	-	-
	1%	1%				2%	1%			4%		
UNSURE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

 (sig=.05) (all_pairs) columns tested T=ABCD, T=AEF, T=AGH, T=AIJKL

Tables Prepared by The Morris Leatherman Company

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns I
Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 257
Q129. Why don't you use public transportation?

	<-RESIDENTIAL LONGEV->				<EXPECTED LONGEVITY->			<-TYPE OF HOUSEHOLD-->			<--GENDER-->	
	TOTAL	Less 10 Yrs	10-30 Years	Over 30 Years	Less 5 Yrs	5-10 Years	Over 10 Yrs	Senior	Child	Empty Nest	Male	Female
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
TOTAL	286	87	125	74	14	17	255	133	63	223	133	153
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
Prefer to drive	226H	73	99	54	12	13	201	88	54H	172H	103	123
	79%	84%	79%	73%	86%	76%	79%	66%	86%	77%	77%	80%
Health issue/disabled	24CI	8	5	11AC	-	-	24	21AI	1	23AI	11	13
	8%	9%	4%	15%			9%	16%	2%	10%	8%	8%
Not convenient	13	1	11ABD	1	-	2	11	6	4	9	7	6
	5%	1%	9%	1%		12%	4%	5%	6%	4%	5%	4%
Unsafe	9	1	6	2	1	1	7	8A	2	7	4	5
	3%	1%	5%	3%	7%	6%	3%	6%	3%	3%	3%	3%
No routes available	7	2	2	3	-	1	6	6A	-	7	3	4
	2%	2%	2%	4%		6%	2%	5%		3%	2%	3%
Poor schedule	6	1	2	3	1	-	5	4	2	4	4	2
	2%	1%	2%	4%	7%		2%	3%	3%	2%	3%	1%
Unsure	1	1	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	1	1	-
	*	1%					*			*	1%	

(sig=.05) (all_pairs) columns tested T=ABCD, T=AEFG, T=AHIJ, T=AKL
Note: Percentage less than 0.5 printed as *.

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns II
 Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 258

Q129. Why don't you use public transportation?

	TOTAL	<-AGE OF RESPONDENT->			<-KNOW GOVT-> Great Very /Fair Little		<RESIDENCE>		<--PRECINCT OF RESIDENCE-->			
		18-44	45-64	65+			Own	Rent	1&2	3&4	5&6	7&8
	-----	-----	-----	---	-----	-----	---	-----	---	---	---	---
TOTAL	286 100% %	84 100% %	131 100% %	71 100% %	162 100% %	124 100% %	228 100% %	58 100% %	79 100% %	71 100% %	61 100% %	75 100% %
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
Prefer to drive	226DK 79%	75AD 89%	105D 80%	46 65%	134 83%	92 74%	180 79%	46 79%	71AK 90%	57K 80%	34 56%	64K 85%
Health issue/disabled	24BE 8%	1 1%	8 6%	15ABC 21%	7 4%	17AE 14%	16 7%	8 14%	4 5%	7 10%	7 11%	6 8%
Not convenient	13I 5%	3 4%	6 5%	4 6%	4 2%	9 7%	11 5%	2 3%	-	4I 6%	7AIL 11%	2 3%
Unsafe	9F 3%	2 2%	4 3%	3 4%	9AF 6%	-	9 4%	-	1 1%	2 3%	4 7%	2 3%
No routes available	7 2%	-	5 4%	2 3%	2 1%	5 4%	6 3%	1 2%	1 1%	1 1%	5AIL 8%	-
Poor schedule	6 2%	2 2%	3 2%	1 1%	5 3%	1 1%	5 2%	1 2%	2 3%	-	4AJL 7%	-
Unsure	1 *	1 1%	-	-	1 1%	-	1 *	-	-	-	-	1 1%

(sig=.05) (all_pairs) columns tested T=ABCD, T=AEF, T=AGH, T=AIJKL
 Note: Percentage less than 0.5 printed as *.

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns I
 Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 259

Q130. How likely are you and household members to use the Metro Blue Line?

	<-RESIDENTIAL LONGEV->			<EXPECTED LONGEVITY->			<-TYPE OF HOUSEHOLD-->			<--GENDER-->		
	TOTAL	Less 10 Yrs	10-30 Years	Over 30 Years	Less 5 Yrs	5-10 Years	Over 10 Yrs	Senior	Child	Empty Nest	Male	Female
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
TOTAL	400	138	178	84	35	30	335	155	108	292	192	208
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
Very	18	9	7	2	3	2	13	5	6	12	6	12
	5%	7%	4%	2%	9%	7%	4%	3%	6%	4%	3%	6%
Somewhat	72DHJ	27D	39D	6	3	10AEG	59	16	39AHJ	33	31	41
	18%	20%	22%	7%	9%	33%	18%	10%	36%	11%	16%	20%
Not too	109DGH	46AD	49	14	21AFG	9	79	23	29H	80H	58	51
	27%	33%	28%	17%	60%	30%	24%	15%	27%	27%	30%	25%
Not at all	196BEFI	53	81	62ABC	8	8	180AEF	109AIJ	32	164AI	95	101
	49%	38%	46%	74%	23%	27%	54%	70%	30%	56%	49%	49%
Unsure	5	3	2	-	-	1	4	2	2	3	2	3
	1%	2%	1%			3%	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	1%

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns II
 Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 260

Q130. How likely are you and household members to use the Metro Blue Line?

	TOTAL	<-AGE OF RESPONDENT->			<-KNOW GOV'T->		<RESIDENCE>		<--PRECINCT OF RESIDENCE-->			
		18-44	45-64	65+	Great /Fair	Very Little	Own	Rent	1&2	3&4	5&6	7&8
	-----	-----	-----	---	-----	-----	---	-----	---	---	---	---
TOTAL	400	158	159	83	235	165	303	97	107	94	97	102
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
Very	18G 5%	11 7%	4 3%	3 4%	10 4%	8 5%	9 3%	9AG 9%	8 7%	2 2%	2 2%	6 6%
Somewhat	72C 18%	40ACD 25%	21 13%	11 13%	40 17%	32 19%	54 18%	18 19%	15 14%	14 15%	29AIJL 30%	14 14%
Not too	109DG 27%	62ACD 39%	38D 24%	9 11%	70 30%	39 24%	75 25%	34AG 35%	22 21%	32I 34%	26 27%	29 28%
Not at all	196BH 49%	45 28%	91AB 57%	60ABC 72%	111 47%	85 52%	160AH 53%	36 37%	60K 56%	45 48%	40 41%	51 50%
Unsure	5 1%	-	5AB 3%	-	4 2%	1 1%	5 2%	-	2 2%	1 1%	-	2 2%

 (sig=.05) (all_pairs) columns tested T=ABCD, T=AEF, T=AGH, T=AIJKL

Tables Prepared by The Morris Leatherman Company

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns I
Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 261

Q131. How will you get to the Light Rail Station?

	<-RESIDENTIAL LONGEV->				<EXPECTED LONGEVITY->			<-TYPE OF HOUSEHOLD-->			<--GENDER-->	
	TOTAL	Less 10 Yrs	10-30 Years	Over 30 Years	Less 5 Yrs	5-10 Years	Over 10 Yrs	Senior	Child	Empty Nest	Male	Female
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
TOTAL	90	36	46	8	6	12	72	21	45	45	37	53
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
Walk	18	9	9	-	1	4	13	3	10	8	7	11
	20%	25%	20%		17%	33%	18%	14%	22%	18%	19%	21%
Bike	21	5	15A	1	1	2	18	8	8	13	12	9
	23%	14%	33%	13%	17%	17%	25%	38%	18%	29%	32%	17%
Use a bus	12	7	4	1	1	2	9	3	6	6	2	10
	13%	19%	9%	13%	17%	17%	13%	14%	13%	13%	5%	19%
Dropped off	19H	11	7	1	-	3	16	1	13H	6	8	11
	21%	31%	15%	13%		25%	22%	5%	29%	13%	22%	21%
Park and Ride lot	19B	3	11	5ABC	3F	-	16	6	7	12	8	11
	21%	8%	24%	63%	50%		22%	29%	16%	27%	22%	21%
Unsure	1	1	-	-	-	1AG	-	-	1	-	-	1
	1%	3%				8%			2%			2%

(sig=.05) (all_pairs) columns tested T=ABCD, T=AEFG, T=AHIJ, T=AKL

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns II
 Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 262

Q131. How will you get to the Light Rail Station?

	<-AGE OF RESPONDENT->			<-KNOW GOVT->		<RESIDENCE>		<--PRECINCT OF RESIDENCE-->				
	TOTAL	18-44	45-64	65+	Great /Fair	Very Little	Own	Rent	1&2	3&4	5&6	7&8
	-----	-----	-----	----	-----	-----	---	-----	---	---	---	---
TOTAL	90	51	25	14	50	40	63	27	23	16	31	20
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
Walk	18	11	6	1	9	9	16	2	3	4	8	3
	20%	22%	24%	7%	18%	23%	25%	7%	13%	25%	26%	15%
Bike	21	12	3	6C	10	11	13	8	4	1	11J	5
	23%	24%	12%	43%	20%	28%	21%	30%	17%	6%	35%	25%
Use a bus	12	7	5	-	8	4	9	3	3	-	7J	2
	13%	14%	20%		16%	10%	14%	11%	13%		23%	10%
Dropped off	19K	16AC	2	1	12	7	11	8	7K	6K	1	5K
	21%	31%	8%	7%	24%	18%	17%	30%	30%	38%	3%	25%
Park and Ride lot	19B	5	8B	6AB	11	8	14	5	6	4	4	5
	21%	10%	32%	43%	22%	20%	22%	19%	26%	25%	13%	25%
Unsure	1	-	1	-	-	1	-	1	-	1A	-	-
	1%		4%			3%		4%		6%		

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns I
 Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 263

Q132. How likely would you or household members use bus rapid transit along Highway 55?

	<-RESIDENTIAL LONGEV->			<EXPECTED LONGEVITY->			<-TYPE OF HOUSEHOLD-->			<--GENDER-->		
	TOTAL	Less 10 Yrs	10-30 Years	Over 30 Years	Less 5 Yrs	5-10 Years	Over 10 Yrs	Senior	Child	Empty Nest	Male	Female
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
TOTAL	400	138	178	84	35	30	335	155	108	292	192	208
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
Very	14G 4%	7 5%	6 3%	1 1%	2 6%	3AG 10%	9 3%	3 2%	6 6%	8 3%	5 3%	9 4%
Somewhat	104DGHJ 26%	56ACD 41%	39D 22%	9 11%	20AG 57%	15AG 50%	69 21%	18 12%	41AHJ 38%	63H 22%	57 30%	47 23%
Not too	76B 19%	16 12%	45AB 25%	15 18%	4 11%	3 10%	69 21%	30 19%	21 19%	55 19%	38 20%	38 18%
Not at all	199BEFI 50%	58 42%	85 48%	56ABC 67%	8 23%	9 30%	182AEF 54%	101AIJ 65%	37 34%	162AI 55%	89 46%	110 53%
Unsure	7 2%	1 1%	3 2%	3 4%	1 3%	-	6 2%	3 2%	3 3%	4 1%	3 2%	4 2%

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns II
 Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 264

Q132. How likely would you or household members use bus rapid transit along Highway 55?

	<-AGE OF RESPONDENT->				<-KNOW GOVT->		<RESIDENCE>		<--PRECINCT OF RESIDENCE-->			
	TOTAL	18-44	45-64	65+	Great /Fair	Very Little	Own	Rent	1&2	3&4	5&6	7&8
	-----	-----	-----	----	-----	-----	---	-----	---	---	---	---
TOTAL	400	158	159	83	235	165	303	97	107	94	97	102
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
Very	14CG 4%	11AC 7%	1 1%	2 2%	6 3%	8 5%	6 2%	8AG 8%	6 6%	2 2%	2 2%	4 4%
Somewhat	104CDFL 26%	70ACD 44%	24 15%	10 12%	75AF 32%	29 18%	79 26%	25 26%	21 20%	24 26%	42AIJL 43%	17 17%
Not too	76E 19%	28 18%	37 23%	11 13%	35 15%	41AE 25%	56 18%	20 21%	14 13%	25AI 27%	15 15%	22 22%
Not at all	199BJK 50%	48 30%	92AB 58%	59ABC 71%	113 48%	86 52%	157 52%	42 43%	65AJK 61%	38 40%	38 39%	58JK 57%
Unsure	7 2%	1 1%	5 3%	1 1%	6 3%	1 1%	5 2%	2 2%	1 1%	5AK 5%	-	1 1%

 (sig=.05) (all_pairs) columns tested T=ABCD, T=AEF, T=AGH, T=AIJKL

Tables Prepared by The Morris Leatherman Company

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns I
 Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 265

Q133. Do you feel that if you wanted to you could have a say about the way things are run?

	TOTAL	<-RESIDENTIAL LONGEV->			<EXPECTED LONGEVITY->			<-TYPE OF HOUSEHOLD-->			<--GENDER-->	
		Less 10 Yrs	10-30 Years	Over 30 Years	Less 5 Yrs	5-10 Years	Over 10 Yrs	Senior	Child	Empty Nest	Male	Female
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
TOTAL	400	138	178	84	35	30	335	155	108	292	192	208
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
Yes	283B	88	137AB	58	22	21	240	104	83	200	141	142
	71%	64%	77%	69%	63%	70%	72%	67%	77%	68%	73%	68%
No	90C	38C	31	21	12	7	71	43AI	18	72	39	51
	23%	28%	17%	25%	34%	23%	21%	28%	17%	25%	20%	25%
Unsure	27	12	10	5	1	2	24	8	7	20	12	15
	7%	9%	6%	6%	3%	7%	7%	5%	6%	7%	6%	7%

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns II
 Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 266

Q133. Do you feel that if you wanted to you could have a say about the way things are run?

	TOTAL	<-AGE OF RESPONDENT->			<-KNOW GOVT->		<RESIDENCE>		<--PRECINCT OF RESIDENCE-->			
		18-44	45-64	65+	Great /Fair	Very Little	Own	Rent	1&2	3&4	5&6	7&8
	-----	-----	-----	---	-----	-----	---	-----	---	---	---	---
TOTAL	400	158	159	83	235	165	303	97	107	94	97	102
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
Yes	283F	114	113	56	187AF	96	220	63	69	74I	72	68
	71%	72%	71%	67%	80%	58%	73%	65%	64%	79%	74%	67%
No	90	35	31	24	46	44	68	22	27	16	18	29
	23%	22%	19%	29%	20%	27%	22%	23%	25%	17%	19%	28%
Unsure	27EG	9	15	3	2	25AE	15	12AG	11	4	7	5
	7%	6%	9%	4%	1%	15%	5%	12%	10%	4%	7%	5%

 (sig=.05) (all_pairs) columns tested T=ABCD, T=AEF, T=AGH, T=AIJKL

Tables Prepared by The Morris Leatherman Company

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns I
 Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 267

Q134. How much do you feel you know about the work of the Mayor and City Council?

	<-RESIDENTIAL LONGEV->			<EXPECTED LONGEVITY->			<-TYPE OF HOUSEHOLD-->			<--GENDER-->		
	TOTAL	Less 10 Yrs	10-30 Years	Over 30 Years	Less 5 Yrs	5-10 Years	Over 10 Yrs	Senior	Child	Empty Nest	Male	Female
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
TOTAL	400	138	178	84	35	30	335	155	108	292	192	208
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
A LOT	235B	65	114B	56B	19	13	203	92	58	177	118	117
-----	59%	47%	64%	67%	54%	43%	61%	59%	54%	61%	61%	56%
A great deal	36	14	12	10	2	4	30	14	8	28	18	18
	9%	10%	7%	12%	6%	13%	9%	9%	7%	10%	9%	9%
A fair amount	199BF	51	102AB	46B	17	9	173F	78	50	149	100	99
	50%	37%	57%	55%	49%	30%	52%	50%	46%	51%	52%	48%
NOT A LOT	165	73ACD	64	28	16	17	132	63	50	115	74	91
-----	41%	53%	36%	33%	46%	57%	39%	41%	46%	39%	39%	44%
Very little	133	49	59	25	9	14	110	56	39	94	59	74
	33%	36%	33%	30%	26%	47%	33%	36%	36%	32%	31%	36%
None at all	32CGH	24ACD	5	3	7AG	3	22	7	11	21	15	17
	8%	17%	3%	4%	20%	10%	7%	5%	10%	7%	8%	8%
UNSURE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

 (sig=.05) (all_pairs) columns tested T=ABCD, T=AEFG, T=AHIJ, T=AKL

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns II
 Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 268

Q134. How much do you feel you know about the work of the Mayor and City Council?

	<-AGE OF RESPONDENT->			<-KNOW GOVT->		<RESIDENCE>		<--PRECINCT OF RESIDENCE-->				
	TOTAL	18-44	45-64	65+	Great /Fair	Very Little	Own	Rent	1&2	3&4	5&6	7&8
	-----	-----	-----	---	-----	-----	---	-----	---	---	---	---
TOTAL	400	158	159	83	235	165	303	97	107	94	97	102
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
A LOT	235FHI	91	96	48	235AF	-	193AH	42	53	60I	60	62
-----	59%	58%	60%	58%	100%		64%	43%	50%	64%	62%	61%
A great deal	36F	15	14	7	36AF	-	30	6	9	7	10	10
	9%	9%	9%	8%	15%		10%	6%	8%	7%	10%	10%
A fair amount	199FHI	76	82	41	199AF	-	163AH	36	44	53I	50	52
	50%	48%	52%	49%	85%		54%	37%	41%	56%	52%	51%
NOT A LOT	165EG	67	63	35	-	165AE	110	55AG	54AJ	34	37	40
-----	41%	42%	40%	42%		100%	36%	57%	50%	36%	38%	39%
Very little	133E	47	56	30	-	133AE	105	28	41	29	30	33
	33%	30%	35%	36%		81%	35%	29%	38%	31%	31%	32%
None at all	32CEG	20AC	7	5	-	32AE	5	27AG	13	5	7	7
	8%	13%	4%	6%		19%	2%	28%	12%	5%	7%	7%
UNSURE												
-----	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

(sig=.05) (all_pairs) columns tested T=ABCD, T=AEF, T=AGH, T=AIJKL

Tables Prepared by The Morris Leatherman Company

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns I
Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 269

Q135. Do you approve of the job the Mayor and City Council are doing?

	TOTAL	<-RESIDENTIAL LONGEV->			<EXPECTED LONGEVITY->			<-TYPE OF HOUSEHOLD-->			<--GENDER-->	
		Less 10 Yrs	10-30 Years	Over 30 Years	Less 5 Yrs	5-10 Years	Over 10 Yrs	Senior	Child	Empty Nest	Male	Female
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
TOTAL	400	138	178	84	35	30	335	155	108	292	192	208
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
APPROVE	338H	115	155	68	29	25	284	121	94	244	169	169
-----	85%	83%	87%	81%	83%	83%	85%	78%	87%	84%	88%	81%
Strongly approve	32	7	19	6	1	1	30	17	5	27	14	18
	8%	5%	11%	7%	3%	3%	9%	11%	5%	9%	7%	9%
Approve	306H	108	136	62	28	24	254	104	89H	217	155	151
	77%	78%	76%	74%	80%	80%	76%	67%	82%	74%	81%	73%
DISAPPROVE	42	9	21	12	3	2	37	28AI	7	35	17	25
-----	11%	7%	12%	14%	9%	7%	11%	18%	6%	12%	9%	12%
Disapprove	36	9	20	7	2	2	32	23AI	7	29	15	21
	9%	7%	11%	8%	6%	7%	10%	15%	6%	10%	8%	10%
Strongly disapprove	6	-	1	5ABC	1	-	5	5A	-	6	2	4
	2%		1%	6%	3%		1%	3%		2%	1%	2%
UNSURE	20C	14AC	2	4	3	3	14	6	7	13	6	14
-----	5%	10%	1%	5%	9%	10%	4%	4%	6%	4%	3%	7%

(sig=.05) (all_pairs) columns tested T=ABCD, T=AEFG, T=AHIJ, T=AKL

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns II
 Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 270

Q135. Do you approve of the job the Mayor and City Council are doing?

	<-AGE OF RESPONDENT->			<-KNOW GOVT->		<RESIDENCE>		<--PRECINCT OF RESIDENCE-->				
	TOTAL	18-44	45-64	65+	Great /Fair	Very Little	Own	Rent	1&2	3&4	5&6	7&8
	-----	-----	-----	---	-----	-----	---	-----	---	---	---	---
TOTAL	400	158	159	83	235	165	303	97	107	94	97	102
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
APPROVE	338	137	133	68	203	135	260	78	85	86AIL	84	83
-----	85%	87%	84%	82%	86%	82%	86%	80%	79%	91%	87%	81%
Strongly approve	32BF	7	15	10B	28AF	4	26	6	11	8	4	9
	8%	4%	9%	12%	12%	2%	9%	6%	10%	9%	4%	9%
Approve	306I	130AD	118	58	175	131	234	72	74	78I	80I	74
	77%	82%	74%	70%	74%	79%	77%	74%	69%	83%	82%	73%
DISAPPROVE	42BF	10	20	12B	32AF	10	34	8	12	8	9	13
-----	11%	6%	13%	14%	14%	6%	11%	8%	11%	9%	9%	13%
Disapprove	36	10	17	9	26	10	29	7	11	6	8	11
	9%	6%	11%	11%	11%	6%	10%	7%	10%	6%	8%	11%
Strongly disapprove	6BF	-	3	3B	6AF	-	5	1	1	2	1	2
	2%		2%	4%	3%		2%	1%	1%	2%	1%	2%
UNSURE	20EGJ	11	6	3	-	20AE	9	11AG	10AJ	-	4J	6J
-----	5%	7%	4%	4%		12%	3%	11%	9%		4%	6%

 (sig=.05) (all_pairs) columns tested T=ABCD, T=AEF, T=AGH, T=AIJKL

Tables Prepared by The Morris Leatherman Company

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns I
 Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 271
 Q136. Could you tell me why you feel that way?

	<-RESIDENTIAL LONGEV->			<EXPECTED LONGEVITY->			<-TYPE OF HOUSEHOLD-->			<--GENDER-->		
	TOTAL	Less 10 Yrs	10-30 Years	Over 30 Years	Less 5 Yrs	5-10 Years	Over 10 Yrs	Senior	Child	Empty Nest	Male	Female
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
TOTAL	380	124	176	80	32	27	321	149	101	279	186	194
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
Good job	153H	54	68	31	8	9	136	42	44H	109H	77	76
	40%	44%	39%	39%	25%	33%	42%	28%	44%	39%	41%	39%
No problems	120	39	62	19	10	12	98	55	34	86	56	64
	32%	31%	35%	24%	31%	44%	31%	37%	34%	31%	30%	33%
Listen	70	23	29	18	11AG	4	55	26	17	53	37	33
	18%	19%	16%	23%	34%	15%	17%	17%	17%	19%	20%	17%
Don't listen	24	6	9	9A	2	2	20	15A	6	18	9	15
	6%	5%	5%	11%	6%	7%	6%	10%	6%	6%	5%	8%
Poor spending	9B	-	7B	2	1	-	8	8AI	-	9	4	5
	2%		4%	3%	3%		2%	5%		3%	2%	3%
Poor job	4	2	1	1	-	-	4	3	-	4	3	1
	1%	2%	1%	1%			1%	2%		1%	2%	1%

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns II
 Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 272
 Q136. Could you tell me why you feel that way?

	TOTAL	<-AGE OF RESPONDENT->			<-KNOW GOVT-> Great Very /Fair Little		<RESIDENCE>		<--PRECINCT OF RESIDENCE-->			
		18-44	45-64	65+			Own	Rent	1&2	3&4	5&6	7&8
	-----	-----	-----	----	-----	-----	---	-----	---	---	---	---
TOTAL	380	147	153	80	235	145	294	86	97	94	93	96
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
Good job	153I 40%	66 45%	61 40%	26 33%	102 43%	51 35%	121 41%	32 37%	29 30%	44I 47%	38 41%	42I 44%
No problems	120E 32%	43 29%	49 32%	28 35%	53 23%	67AE 46%	89 30%	31 36%	37 38%	26 28%	33 35%	24 25%
Listen	70F 18%	29 20%	28 18%	13 16%	53AF 23%	17 12%	54 18%	16 19%	19 20%	16 17%	18 19%	17 18%
Don't listen	24 6%	7 5%	10 7%	7 9%	18 8%	6 4%	22 7%	2 2%	10 10%	7 7%	3 3%	4 4%
Poor spending	9G 2%	1 1%	4 3%	4B 5%	5 2%	4 3%	4 1%	5AG 6%	2 2%	-	-	7AJK 7%
Poor job	4 1%	1 1%	1 1%	2 3%	4 2%	-	4 1%	-	-	1 1%	1 1%	2 2%

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns I
Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 273

Q137. How much first hand contact have you had with City staff?

	TOTAL	<-RESIDENTIAL LONGEV->			<EXPECTED LONGEVITY->			<-TYPE OF HOUSEHOLD-->			<--GENDER-->	
		Less 10 Yrs	10-30 Years	Over 30 Years	Less 5 Yrs	5-10 Years	Over 10 Yrs	Senior	Child	Empty Nest	Male	Female
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
TOTAL	400	138	178	84	35	30	335	155	108	292	192	208
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
A LOT	207GH	70	95	42	22	19	166	69	63H	144	104	103
-----	52%	51%	53%	50%	63%	63%	50%	45%	58%	49%	54%	50%
Quite a lot	16	4	7	5	1	2	13	11A	2	14	4	12
	4%	3%	4%	6%	3%	7%	4%	7%	2%	5%	2%	6%
Some	191HJ	66	88	37	21	17	153	58	61AHJ	130	100	91
	48%	48%	49%	44%	60%	57%	46%	37%	56%	45%	52%	44%
NOT A LOT	191	66	83	42	12	11	168A	86AI	45	146	88	103
-----	48%	48%	47%	50%	34%	37%	50%	55%	42%	50%	46%	50%
Very little	149BE	40	75B	34	7	9	133AE	73AI	34	115	69	80
	37%	29%	42%	40%	20%	30%	40%	47%	31%	39%	36%	38%
None	42C	26AC	8	8	5	2	35	13	11	31	19	23
	11%	19%	4%	10%	14%	7%	10%	8%	10%	11%	10%	11%
UNSURE	2	2	-	-	1AG	-	1	-	-	2	-	2
-----	1%	1%			3%		*			1%		1%

(sig=.05) (all_pairs) columns tested T=ABCD, T=AEFG, T=AHIJ, T=AKL
Note: Percentage less than 0.5 printed as *.

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns II
 Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 274

Q137. How much first hand contact have you had with City staff?

	<-AGE OF RESPONDENT->				<-KNOW GOVT->		<RESIDENCE>		<--PRECINCT OF RESIDENCE-->			
	TOTAL	18-44	45-64	65+	Great /Fair	Very Little	Own	Rent	1&2	3&4	5&6	7&8
	-----	-----	-----	---	-----	-----	---	-----	---	---	---	---
TOTAL	400	158	159	83	235	165	303	97	107	94	97	102
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
A LOT	207DF	99ACD	75	33	164AF	43	165	42	47	44	58I	58
-----	52%	63%	47%	40%	70%	26%	54%	43%	44%	47%	60%	57%
Quite a lot	16F	3	9	4	15AF	1	13	3	7	5	2	2
	4%	2%	6%	5%	6%	1%	4%	3%	7%	5%	2%	2%
Some	191CDFI	96ACD	66	29	149AF	42	152	39	40	39	56AIJ	56I
	48%	61%	42%	35%	63%	25%	50%	40%	37%	41%	58%	55%
NOT A LOT	191BE	57	84B	50AB	71	120AE	137	54	60AKL	50	38	43
-----	48%	36%	53%	60%	30%	73%	45%	56%	56%	53%	39%	42%
Very little	149BEK	37	72AB	40AB	66	83AE	120	29	45	42K	28	34
	37%	23%	45%	48%	28%	50%	40%	30%	42%	45%	29%	33%
None	42EG	20	12	10	5	37AE	17	25AG	15	8	10	9
	11%	13%	8%	12%	2%	22%	6%	26%	14%	9%	10%	9%
UNSURE	2	2	-	-	-	2	1	1	-	-	1	1
-----	1%	1%				1%	*	1%			1%	1%

(sig=.05) (all_pairs) columns tested T=ABCD, T=AEF, T=AGH, T=AIJKL
 Note: Percentage less than 0.5 printed as *.

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns I
Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 275

Q140. How would you rate the job performance of the City staff?

	TOTAL	<-RESIDENTIAL LONGEV->			<EXPECTED LONGEVITY->			<-TYPE OF HOUSEHOLD-->			<--GENDER-->	
		Less 10 Yrs	10-30 Years	Over 30 Years	Less 5 Yrs	5-10 Years	Over 10 Yrs	Senior	Child	Empty Nest	Male	Female
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
TOTAL	400	138	178	84	35	30	335	155	108	292	192	208
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
FAVOR	345H	116	159	70	30	24	291	125	98H	247	167	178
-----	86%	84%	89%	83%	86%	80%	87%	81%	91%	85%	87%	86%
Excellent	47	14	22	11	2	4	41	18	16	31	20	27
	12%	10%	12%	13%	6%	13%	12%	12%	15%	11%	10%	13%
Good	298H	102	137	59	28	20	250	107	82	216	147	151
	75%	74%	77%	70%	80%	67%	75%	69%	76%	74%	77%	73%
UNFAVOR	32I	6	17	9	1	3	28	23AI	3	29AI	16	16
-----	8%	4%	10%	11%	3%	10%	8%	15%	3%	10%	8%	8%
Only fair	27	5	16	6	-	3	24	19AI	3	24	14	13
	7%	4%	9%	7%		10%	7%	12%	3%	8%	7%	6%
Poor	5	1	1	3A	1	-	4	4	-	5	2	3
	1%	1%	1%	4%	3%		1%	3%		2%	1%	1%
UNSURE	23C	16AC	2	5C	4	3	16	7	7	16	9	14
-----	6%	12%	1%	6%	11%	10%	5%	5%	6%	5%	5%	7%

(sig=.05) (all_pairs) columns tested T=ABCD, T=AEFG, T=AHIJ, T=AKL

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns II
 Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 276

Q140. How would you rate the job performance of the City staff?

	<-AGE OF RESPONDENT->			<-KNOW GOVT->		<RESIDENCE>		<--PRECINCT OF RESIDENCE-->				
	TOTAL	18-44	45-64	65+	Great /Fair	Very Little	Own	Rent	1&2	3&4	5&6	7&8
	-----	-----	-----	---	-----	-----	---	-----	---	---	---	---
TOTAL	400 100% %	158 100% %	159 100% %	83 100% %	235 100% %	165 100% %	303 100% %	97 100% %	107 100% %	94 100% %	97 100% %	102 100% %
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
FAVOR	345FHL 86%	138 87%	139 87%	68 82%	212AF 90%	133 81%	270AH 89%	75 77%	90 84%	87AL 93%	87L 90%	81 79%
Excellent	47 12%	19 12%	22 14%	6 7%	33 14%	14 8%	35 12%	12 12%	17L 16%	13 14%	10 10%	7 7%
Good	298H 75%	119 75%	117 74%	62 75%	179 76%	119 72%	235AH 78%	63 65%	73 68%	74 79%	77 79%	74 73%
UNFAVOR	32B 8%	7 4%	14 9%	11AB 13%	22 9%	10 6%	23 8%	9 9%	6 6%	6 6%	7 7%	13A 13%
Only fair	27 7%	7 4%	13 8%	7 8%	17 7%	10 6%	19 6%	8 8%	6 6%	3 3%	6 6%	12AJ 12%
Poor	5 1%	-	1 1%	4ABC 5%	5 2%	-	4 1%	1 1%	-	3 3%	1 1%	1 1%
UNSURE	23EGJ 6%	13 8%	6 4%	4 5%	1 *	22AE 13%	10 3%	13AG 13%	11AJK 10%	1 1%	3 3%	8J 8%

(sig=.05) (all_pairs) columns tested T=ABCD, T=AEF, T=AGH, T=AIJKL
 Note: Percentage less than 0.5 printed as *.

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns I
Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 277

Q139. Could you tell me why you feel that way?

	<-RESIDENTIAL LONGEV->				<EXPECTED LONGEVITY->			<-TYPE OF HOUSEHOLD-->			<--GENDER-->	
	TOTAL	Less 10 Yrs	10-30 Years	Over 30 Years	Less 5 Yrs	5-10 Years	Over 10 Yrs	Senior	Child	Empty Nest	Male	Female
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
TOTAL	377	122	176	79	31	27	319	148	101	276	183	194
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
Good job	132	43	54	35C	7	7	118	49	33	99	60	72
	35%	35%	31%	44%	23%	26%	37%	33%	33%	36%	33%	37%
No problems	105	34	49	22	5	8	92	49	27	78	53	52
	28%	28%	28%	28%	16%	30%	29%	33%	27%	28%	29%	27%
Listen	62DGHJ	33ACD	27D	2	14AG	7	41	4	23AHJ	39H	35	27
	16%	27%	15%	3%	45%	26%	13%	3%	23%	14%	19%	14%
Helpful	48B	9	29AB	10	4	3	41	23	15	33	22	26
	13%	7%	16%	13%	13%	11%	13%	16%	15%	12%	12%	13%
Don't listen	16K	2	7	7AB	1	2	13	14AI	1	15	3	13AK
	4%	2%	4%	9%	3%	7%	4%	9%	1%	5%	2%	7%
Poor spending	11	1	8	2	-	-	11	7	2	9	8	3
	3%	1%	5%	3%			3%	5%	2%	3%	4%	2%
Scattered	2	-	2	-	-	-	2	1	-	2	1	1
	1%		1%				1%	1%		1%	1%	1%
Unsure	1	-	-	1	-	-	1	1	-	1	1	-
	*			1%			*	1%		*	1%	
Poor job	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

(sig=.05) (all_pairs) columns tested T=ABCD, T=AEFG, T=AHIJ, T=AKL
Note: Percentage less than 0.5 printed as *.

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns II
 Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 278
 Q139. Could you tell me why you feel that way?

	<-AGE OF RESPONDENT->				<-KNOW GOVT->		<RESIDENCE>		<--PRECINCT OF RESIDENCE-->			
	TOTAL	18-44	45-64	65+	Great /Fair	Very Little	Own	Rent	1&2	3&4	5&6	7&8
	-----	-----	-----	---	-----	-----	---	-----	---	---	---	---
TOTAL	377 100% %	145 100% %	153 100% %	79 100% %	234 100% %	143 100% %	293 100% %	84 100% %	96 100% %	93 100% %	94 100% %	94 100% %
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
Good job	132K 35%	48 33%	58 38%	26 33%	85 36%	47 33%	102 35%	30 36%	33K 34%	38K 41%	19 20%	42AK 45%
No problems	105EL 28%	33 23%	50 33%	22 28%	51 22%	54AE 38%	84 29%	21 25%	39AJL 41%	20 22%	28 30%	18 19%
Listen	62CDFI 16%	45ACD 31%	12 8%	5 6%	47AF 20%	15 10%	45 15%	17 20%	8 8%	20IL 22%	24AIL 26%	10 11%
Helpful	48 13%	14 10%	20 13%	14 18%	28 12%	20 14%	37 13%	11 13%	9 9%	8 9%	19AIJ 20%	12 13%
Don't listen	16BK 4%	2 1%	7 5%	7AB 9%	12 5%	4 3%	11 4%	5 6%	3 3%	5K 5%	-	8AK 9%
Poor spending	11 3%	3 2%	6 4%	2 3%	9 4%	2 1%	11 4%	-	3 3%	2 2%	3 3%	3 3%
Scattered	2 1%	-	-	2AC 3%	2 1%	-	2 1%	-	1 1%	-	-	1 1%
Unsure	1 *	-	-	1 1%	-	1 1%	1 *	-	-	-	1 1%	-
Poor job	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

 (sig=.05) (all_pairs) columns tested T=ABCD, T=AEF, T=AGH, T=AIJKL
 Note: Percentage less than 0.5 printed as *.

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns I
Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 279

Q140. Would you favor or oppose changing to even-numbered years for city elections? Do you feel strongly that way?

	TOTAL	<-RESIDENTIAL LONGEV->			<EXPECTED LONGEVITY->			<-TYPE OF HOUSEHOLD-->			<--GENDER-->	
		Less 10 Yrs	10-30 Years	Over 30 Years	Less 5 Yrs	5-10 Years	Over 10 Yrs	Senior	Child	Empty Nest	Male	Female
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
TOTAL	400	138	178	84	35	30	335	155	108	292	192	208
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
FAVOR	268H	97	120	51	24	22	222	89	78H	190	128	140
-----	67%	70%	67%	61%	69%	73%	66%	57%	72%	65%	67%	67%
Strongly favor	55	14	22	19ABC	1	2	52AE	28A	15	40	26	29
	14%	10%	12%	23%	3%	7%	16%	18%	14%	14%	14%	14%
Favor	213DGH	83AD	98D	32	23	20	170	61	63H	150H	102	111
	53%	60%	55%	38%	66%	67%	51%	39%	58%	51%	53%	53%
OPPOSE	74B	16	35	23AB	6	4	64	40AI	15	59	43	31
-----	19%	12%	20%	27%	17%	13%	19%	26%	14%	20%	22%	15%
Oppose	73BL	15	35B	23AB	6	4	63	40AI	14	59	43AL	30
	18%	11%	20%	27%	17%	13%	19%	26%	13%	20%	22%	14%
Strong oppose	1	1	-	-	-	-	1	-	1	-	-	1
	*	1%					*		1%			*
UNSURE	58	25	23	10	5	4	49	26	15	43	21	37
-----	15%	18%	13%	12%	14%	13%	15%	17%	14%	15%	11%	18%

(sig=.05) (all_pairs) columns tested T=ABCD, T=AEFG, T=AHIJ, T=AKL
Note: Percentage less than 0.5 printed as *.

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns II
Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 280

Q140. Would you favor or oppose changing to even-numbered years for city elections? Do you feel strongly that way?

	TOTAL	<-AGE OF RESPONDENT->			<-KNOW GOVT->		<RESIDENCE>		<--PRECINCT OF RESIDENCE-->			
		18-44	45-64	65+	Great /Fair	Very Little	Own	Rent	1&2	3&4	5&6	7&8
	-----	-----	-----	---	-----	-----	---	-----	---	---	---	---
TOTAL	400	158	159	83	235	165	303	97	107	94	97	102
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
FAVOR	268	114D	105	49	166	102	207	61	69	70	65	64
-----	67%	72%	66%	59%	71%	62%	68%	63%	64%	74%	67%	63%
Strongly favor	55BK	11	30AB	14B	31	24	40	15	14	24AIKL	5	12
	14%	7%	19%	17%	13%	15%	13%	15%	13%	26%	5%	12%
Favor	213CDF	103ACD	75	35	135AF	78	167	46	55	46	60	52
	53%	65%	47%	42%	57%	47%	55%	47%	51%	49%	62%	51%
OPPOSE	74B	20	37AB	17	49	25	61	13	21	14	20	19
-----	19%	13%	23%	20%	21%	15%	20%	13%	20%	15%	21%	19%
Oppose	73B	19	37AB	17	49	24	60	13	21	13	20	19
	18%	12%	23%	20%	21%	15%	20%	13%	20%	14%	21%	19%
Strong oppose	1	1	-	-	-	1	1	-	-	1	-	-
	*	1%				1%	*			1%		
UNSURE	58EG	24	17	17C	20	38AE	35	23AG	17	10	12	19
-----	15%	15%	11%	20%	9%	23%	12%	24%	16%	11%	12%	19%

(sig=.05) (all_pairs) columns tested T=ABCD, T=AEF, T=AGH, T=AIJKL
Note: Percentage less than 0.5 printed as *.

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns I
Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 281

Q141. What is your principal source of information about Golden Valley?

	TOTAL	<-RESIDENTIAL LONGEV->			<EXPECTED LONGEVITY->			<-TYPE OF HOUSEHOLD-->			<--GENDER-->	
		Less 10 Yrs	10-30 Years	Over 30 Years	Less 5 Yrs	5-10 Years	Over 10 Yrs	Senior	Child	Empty Nest	Male	Female
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
TOTAL	400 100% %	138 100% %	178 100% %	84 100% %	35 100% %	30 100% %	335 100% %	155 100% %	108 100% %	292 100% %	192 100% %	208 100% %
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
City newsletter	223 56%	72 52%	98 55%	53 63%	17 49%	17 57%	189 56%	109AIJ 70%	52 48%	171 59%	102 53%	121 58%
Local newspaper	51I 13%	15 11%	19 11%	17AC 20%	2 6%	4 13%	45 13%	21 14%	7 6%	44AI 15%	27 14%	24 12%
City website	48DHJ 12%	17D 12%	28AD 16%	3 4%	2 6%	5 17%	41 12%	7 5%	29AHJ 27%	19 7%	19 10%	29 14%
Star Tribune	25CGHL 6%	17ACD 12%	5 3%	3 4%	7AG 20%	2 7%	16 5%	4 3%	7 6%	18 6%	18AL 9%	7 3%
Word of mouth	19 5%	4 3%	13A 7%	2 2%	2 6%	1 3%	16 5%	7 5%	6 6%	13 4%	7 4%	12 6%
Cable television	13 3%	3 2%	6 3%	4 5%	-	-	13 4%	4 3%	5 5%	8 3%	5 3%	8 4%
Nothing	18GHI 5%	10 7%	6 3%	2 2%	5AG 14%	1 3%	12 4%	2 1%	1 1%	17AHI 6%	12 6%	6 3%
Scattered	3 1%	-	3 2%	-	-	-	3 1%	1 1%	1 1%	2 1%	2 1%	1 *

(sig=.05) (all_pairs) columns tested T=ABCD, T=AEFG, T=AHIJ, T=AKL
Note: Percentage less than 0.5 printed as *.

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns II
 Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 282

Q141. What is your principal source of information about Golden Valley?

	TOTAL	<-AGE OF RESPONDENT->			<-KNOW GOVT->		<RESIDENCE>		<--PRECINCT OF RESIDENCE-->			
		18-44	45-64	65+	Great /Fair	Very Little	Own	Rent	1&2	3&4	5&6	7&8
	-----	-----	-----	----	-----	-----	---	-----	---	---	---	---
TOTAL	400 100% %	158 100% %	159 100% %	83 100% %	235 100% %	165 100% %	303 100% %	97 100% %	107 100% %	94 100% %	97 100% %	102 100% %
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
City newsletter	223BH 56%	70 44%	96B 60%	57AB 69%	127 54%	96 58%	183AH 60%	40 41%	64 60%	53 56%	57 59%	49 48%
Local newspaper	51K 13%	15 9%	22 14%	14 17%	35 15%	16 10%	36 12%	15 15%	15 14%	11 12%	6 6%	19AK 19%
City website	48D 12%	22D 14%	23D 14%	3 4%	30 13%	18 11%	41 14%	7 7%	11 10%	14 15%	12 12%	11 11%
Star Tribune	25CDG 6%	21ACD 13%	4 3%	-	18 8%	7 4%	13 4%	12AG 12%	7 7%	7 7%	7 7%	4 4%
Word of mouth	19EG 5%	9 6%	6 4%	4 5%	6 3%	13AE 8%	10 3%	9AG 9%	5 5%	3 3%	2 2%	9AK 9%
Cable television	13I 3%	7 4%	2 1%	4 5%	9 4%	4 2%	11 4%	2 2%	-	3 3%	4I 4%	6I 6%
Nothing	18DG 5%	13AD 8%	5 3%	-	7 3%	11 7%	6 2%	12AG 12%	5 5%	2 2%	8A 8%	3 3%
Scattered	3 1%	1 1%	1 1%	1 1%	3 1%	-	3 1%	-	-	1 1%	1 1%	1 1%

(sig=.05) (all_pairs) columns tested T=ABCD, T=AEF, T=AGH, T=AIJKL

Tables Prepared by The Morris Leatherman Company

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns I
Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 283

Q142. How would you prefer to receive information from the City of Golden Valley?

	<-RESIDENTIAL LONGEV->			<EXPECTED LONGEVITY->			<-TYPE OF HOUSEHOLD-->			<--GENDER-->		
	TOTAL	Less 10 Yrs	10-30 Years	Over 30 Years	Less 5 Yrs	5-10 Years	Over 10 Yrs	Senior	Child	Empty Nest	Male	Female
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
TOTAL	398	138	177	83	35	30	333	155	108	290	192	206
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
City newsletter	193BI 48%	57 41%	85 48%	51ABC 61%	16 46%	13 43%	164 49%	107AIJ 69%	31 29%	162AI 56%	84 44%	109 53%
City website	53DHJ 13%	24D 17%	24 14%	5 6%	2 6%	9AEG 30%	42 13%	9 6%	28AHJ 26%	25 9%	28 15%	25 12%
E-mail	52DHJ 13%	20 14%	27D 15%	5 6%	7 20%	3 10%	42 13%	9 6%	30AHJ 28%	22 8%	24 13%	28 14%
Local newspaper	49I 12%	12 9%	20 11%	17ABC 20%	2 6%	2 7%	45 14%	21 14%	7 6%	42AI 14%	26 14%	23 11%
Star Tribune	17H 4%	10AD 7%	6 3%	1 1%	3 9%	1 3%	13 4%	1 1%	2 2%	15H 5%	12 6%	5 2%
Cable television	8J 2%	4 3%	1 1%	3 4%	-	-	8 2%	1 1%	5AHJ 5%	3 1%	3 2%	5 2%
Word of mouth	5K 1%	-	4 2%	1 1%	-	1 3%	4 1%	4 3%	1 1%	4 1%	-	5AK 2%
Nothing	17DGHL 4%	11AD 8%	6 3%	-	5AG 14%	1 3%	11 3%	2 1%	3 3%	14 5%	13AL 7%	4 2%
Scattered	4 1%	-	4A 2%	-	-	-	4 1%	1 1%	1 1%	3 1%	2 1%	2 1%

(sig=.05) (all_pairs) columns tested T=ABCD, T=AEFG, T=AHIJ, T=AKL

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns II
 Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 284

Q142. How would you prefer to receive information from the City of Golden Valley?

	TOTAL	<-AGE OF RESPONDENT->			<-KNOW GOVT-> Great Very /Fair Little		<RESIDENCE>		<--PRECINCT OF RESIDENCE-->			
		18-44	45-64	65+			Own	Rent	1&2	3&4	5&6	7&8
	-----	-----	-----	---	-----	-----	---	-----	---	---	---	---
TOTAL	398 100% %	157 100% %	158 100% %	83 100% %	235 100% %	163 100% %	302 100% %	96 100% %	106 100% %	94 100% %	97 100% %	101 100% %
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
City newsletter	193BE 48%	48 31%	82B 52%	63ABC 76%	95 40%	98AE 60%	153 51%	40 42%	59 56%	47 50%	42 43%	45 45%
City website	53D 13%	28AD 18%	23D 15%	2 2%	33 14%	20 12%	45 15%	8 8%	14 13%	15 16%	15 15%	9 9%
E-mail	52DF 13%	29AD 18%	22D 14%	1 1%	38AF 16%	14 9%	38 13%	14 15%	9 8%	14 15%	17 18%	12 12%
Local newspaper	49FK 12%	15 10%	22 14%	12 14%	36AF 15%	13 8%	36 12%	13 14%	13 12%	11 12%	6 6%	19AK 19%
Star Tribune	17DF 4%	14ACD 9%	3 2%	-	14AF 6%	3 2%	10 3%	7 7%	6 6%	4 4%	5 5%	2 2%
Cable television	8 2%	6A 4%	1 1%	1 1%	5 2%	3 2%	6 2%	2 2%	1 1%	1 1%	2 2%	4 4%
Word of mouth	5 1%	1 1%	2 1%	2 2%	2 1%	3 2%	2 1%	3 3%	1 1%	-	-	4AK 4%
Nothing	17CG 4%	14ACD 9%	2 1%	1 1%	8 3%	9 6%	9 3%	8AG 8%	3 3%	1 1%	9AJ 9%	4 4%
Scattered	4 1%	2 1%	1 1%	1 1%	4 2%	-	3 1%	1 1%	-	1 1%	1 1%	2 2%

 (sig=.05) (all_pairs) columns tested T=ABCD, T=AEF, T=AGH, T=AIJKL

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns I
 Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 285

Q143. During the past year, did you receive the "Golden Valley City News?"

	TOTAL	<-RESIDENTIAL LONGEV->			<EXPECTED LONGEVITY->			<-TYPE OF HOUSEHOLD-->			<--GENDER-->	
		Less 10 Yrs	10-30 Years	Over 30 Years	Less 5 Yrs	5-10 Years	Over 10 Yrs	Senior	Child	Empty Nest	Male	Female
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
TOTAL	400	138	178	84	35	30	335	155	108	292	192	208
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
Yes	329BE	94	153B	82ABC	14	24E	291AE	148AIJ	86	243	154	175
	82%	68%	86%	98%	40%	80%	87%	95%	80%	83%	80%	84%
No	70DGH	44ACD	24D	2	21AFG	6	43	6	21H	49H	37	33
	18%	32%	13%	2%	60%	20%	13%	4%	19%	17%	19%	16%
Unsure	1	-	1	-	-	-	1	1	1	-	1	-
	*		1%				*	1%	1%		1%	

(sig=.05) (all_pairs) columns tested T=ABCD, T=AEFG, T=AHIJ, T=AKL
 Note: Percentage less than 0.5 printed as *.

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns II
 Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 286

Q143. During the past year, did you receive the "Golden Valley City News?"

	<-AGE OF RESPONDENT->			<-KNOW GOVT->		<RESIDENCE>		<--PRECINCT OF RESIDENCE-->				
	TOTAL	18-44	45-64	65+	Great /Fair	Very Little	Own	Rent	1&2	3&4	5&6	7&8
	-----	-----	-----	---	-----	-----	---	-----	---	---	---	---
TOTAL	400	158	159	83	235	165	303	97	107	94	97	102
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
Yes	329BH	107	143AB	79AB	193	136	263AH	66	91	71	83	84
	82%	68%	90%	95%	82%	82%	87%	68%	85%	76%	86%	82%
No	70CDG	50ACD	16	4	41	29	39	31AG	16	23A	13	18
	18%	32%	10%	5%	17%	18%	13%	32%	15%	24%	13%	18%
Unsure	1	1	-	-	1	-	1	-	-	-	1	-
	*	1%			*		*				1%	

 (sig=.05) (all_pairs) columns tested T=ABCD, T=AEF, T=AGH, T=AIJKL
 Note: Percentage less than 0.5 printed as *.

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns I
 Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 287

Q144. Does your household regularly read it?

	TOTAL	<-RESIDENTIAL LONGEV->			<EXPECTED LONGEVITY->			<-TYPE OF HOUSEHOLD-->			<--GENDER-->	
		Less 10 Yrs	10-30 Years	Over 30 Years	Less 5 Yrs	5-10 Years	Over 10 Yrs	Senior	Child	Empty Nest	Male	Female
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
TOTAL	329	94	153	82	14	24	291	148	86	243	154	175
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
Yes	277I	78	127	72	10	22	245	132AI	64	213AI	125	152
	84%	83%	83%	88%	71%	92%	84%	89%	74%	88%	81%	87%
No	52HJ	16	26	10	4	2	46	16	22AHJ	30	29	23
	16%	17%	17%	12%	29%	8%	16%	11%	26%	12%	19%	13%
Unsure	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns II
 Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 288

Q144. Does your household regularly read it?

	<-AGE OF RESPONDENT->			<-KNOW GOVT->		<RESIDENCE>		<--PRECINCT OF RESIDENCE-->				
	TOTAL	18-44	45-64	65+	Great /Fair	Very Little	Own	Rent	1&2	3&4	5&6	7&8
	-----	-----	-----	----	-----	-----	---	-----	---	---	---	---
TOTAL	329	107	143	79	193	136	263	66	91	71	83	84
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
Yes	277BH	75	131AB	71B	160	117	230AH	47	78	63	70	66
	84%	70%	92%	90%	83%	86%	87%	71%	86%	89%	84%	79%
No	52CG	32ACD	12	8	33	19	33	19AG	13	8	13	18
	16%	30%	8%	10%	17%	14%	13%	29%	14%	11%	16%	21%
Unsure	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns I
Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 289

Q145. How would you rate the content of the newsletter?

	<-RESIDENTIAL LONGEV->				<EXPECTED LONGEVITY->			<-TYPE OF HOUSEHOLD-->			<--GENDER-->	
	TOTAL	Less 10 Yrs	10-30 Years	Over 30 Years	Less 5 Yrs	5-10 Years	Over 10 Yrs	Senior	Child	Empty Nest	Male	Female
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
TOTAL	277	78	127	72	10	22	245	132	64	213	125	152
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
FAVOR	263	71	123	69	10	21	232	128	60	203	117	146
-----	95%	91%	97%	96%	100%	95%	95%	97%	94%	95%	94%	96%
Excellent	74K	21	32	21	6AG	5	63	42	14	60	25	49AK
	27%	27%	25%	29%	60%	23%	26%	32%	22%	28%	20%	32%
Good	189	50	91	48	4	16	169	86	46	143	92	97
	68%	64%	72%	67%	40%	73%	69%	65%	72%	67%	74%	64%
UNFAVOR	14	7	4	3	-	1	13	4	4	10	8	6
-----	5%	9%	3%	4%		5%	5%	3%	6%	5%	6%	4%
Only fair	13	6	4	3	-	1	12	3	4	9	8	5
	5%	8%	3%	4%		5%	5%	2%	6%	4%	6%	3%
Poor	1	1	-	-	-	-	1	1	-	1	-	1
	*	1%					*	1%		*		1%
UNSURE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

(sig=.05) (all_pairs) columns tested T=ABCD, T=AEFG, T=AHIJ, T=AKL
Note: Percentage less than 0.5 printed as *.

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns II
 Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 290

Q145. How would you rate the content of the newsletter?

	<-AGE OF RESPONDENT->			<-KNOW GOVT->		<RESIDENCE>		<--PRECINCT OF RESIDENCE-->				
	TOTAL	18-44	45-64	65+	Great /Fair	Very Little	Own	Rent	1&2	3&4	5&6	7&8
	-----	-----	-----	----	-----	-----	---	-----	---	---	---	---
TOTAL	277	75	131	71	160	117	230	47	78	63	70	66
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
FAVOR	263	71	124	68	154	109	218	45	75	60	66	62
-----	95%	95%	95%	96%	96%	93%	95%	96%	96%	95%	94%	94%
Excellent	74K	21	30	23	44	30	64	10	35AJKL	14	9	16
	27%	28%	23%	32%	28%	26%	28%	21%	45%	22%	13%	24%
Good	189I	50	94	45	110	79	154	35	40	46I	57AI	46I
	68%	67%	72%	63%	69%	68%	67%	74%	51%	73%	81%	70%
UNFAVOR	14	4	7	3	6	8	12	2	3	3	4	4
-----	5%	5%	5%	4%	4%	7%	5%	4%	4%	5%	6%	6%
Only fair	13	4	7	2	5	8	11	2	3	2	4	4
	5%	5%	5%	3%	3%	7%	5%	4%	4%	3%	6%	6%
Poor	1	-	-	1	1	-	1	-	-	1	-	-
	*			1%	1%		*			2%		
UNSURE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

(sig=.05) (all_pairs) columns tested T=ABCD, T=AEF, T=AGH, T=AIJKL
 Note: Percentage less than 0.5 printed as *.

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns I
Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 291

Q146. How would you rate the format and appearance of the newsletter?

	<-RESIDENTIAL LONGEV->				<EXPECTED LONGEVITY->			<-TYPE OF HOUSEHOLD-->			<--GENDER-->	
	TOTAL	Less 10 Yrs	10-30 Years	Over 30 Years	Less 5 Yrs	5-10 Years	Over 10 Yrs	Senior	Child	Empty Nest	Male	Female
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
TOTAL	277	78	127	72	10	22	245	132	64	213	125	152
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
FAVOR	261B	70	122	69	9	22	230	126	60	201	115	146
-----	94%	90%	96%	96%	90%	100%	94%	95%	94%	94%	92%	96%
Excellent	66K	24	25	17	6AFG	2	58	36	16	50	22	44AK
	24%	31%	20%	24%	60%	9%	24%	27%	25%	23%	18%	29%
Good	195BE	46	97AB	52	3	20AEG	172E	90	44	151	93	102
	70%	59%	76%	72%	30%	91%	70%	68%	69%	71%	74%	67%
UNFAVOR	16	8A	5	3	1	-	15	6	4	12	10	6
-----	6%	10%	4%	4%	10%		6%	5%	6%	6%	8%	4%
Only fair	15	7	5	3	1	-	14	5	4	11	10	5
	5%	9%	4%	4%	10%		6%	4%	6%	5%	8%	3%
Poor	1	1	-	-	-	-	1	1	-	1	-	1
	*	1%					*	1%		*		1%
UNSURE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

(sig=.05) (all_pairs) columns tested T=ABCD, T=AEFG, T=AHIJ, T=AKL
Note: Percentage less than 0.5 printed as *.

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns II
 Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 292

Q146. How would you rate the format and appearance of the newsletter?

	TOTAL	<-AGE OF RESPONDENT->			<-KNOW GOVT-> Great Very /Fair Little		<RESIDENCE>		<--PRECINCT OF RESIDENCE-->			
		18-44	45-64	65+	Great /Fair	Very Little	Own	Rent	1&2	3&4	5&6	7&8
	-----	-----	-----	---	-----	-----	---	-----	---	---	---	---
TOTAL	277	75	131	71	160	117	230	47	78	63	70	66
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
FAVOR	261	70	123	68	150	111	216	45	76	59	66	60
-----	94%	93%	94%	96%	94%	95%	94%	96%	97%	94%	94%	91%
Excellent	66C	21	24	21	39	27	53	13	29AJKL	13	11	13
	24%	28%	18%	30%	24%	23%	23%	28%	37%	21%	16%	20%
Good	195I	49	99	47	111	84	163	32	47	46	55I	47
	70%	65%	76%	66%	69%	72%	71%	68%	60%	73%	79%	71%
UNFAVOR	16	5	8	3	10	6	14	2	2	4	4	6
-----	6%	7%	6%	4%	6%	5%	6%	4%	3%	6%	6%	9%
Only fair	15	5	8	2	9	6	13	2	2	3	4	6
	5%	7%	6%	3%	6%	5%	6%	4%	3%	5%	6%	9%
Poor	1	-	-	1	1	-	1	-	-	1	-	-
	*			1%	1%		*			2%		
UNSURE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

(sig=.05) (all_pairs) columns tested T=ABCD, T=AEF, T=AGH, T=AIJKL
 Note: Percentage less than 0.5 printed as *.

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns I
Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 293

Q147. Are there any changes or improvements you would make to the City's newsletter?

	<-RESIDENTIAL LONGEV->				<EXPECTED LONGEVITY->			<-TYPE OF HOUSEHOLD-->			<--GENDER-->	
	TOTAL	Less 10 Yrs	10-30 Years	Over 30 Years	Less 5 Yrs	5-10 Years	Over 10 Yrs	Senior	Child	Empty Nest	Male	Female
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
TOTAL	277	78	127	72	10	22	245	132	64	213	125	152
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
None	158CF	49	62	47C	7	8	143F	81	30	128	64	94
	57%	63%	49%	65%	70%	36%	58%	61%	47%	60%	51%	62%
More details	56L	14	32	10	1	6	49	24	17	39	36AL	20
	20%	18%	25%	14%	10%	27%	20%	18%	27%	18%	29%	13%
Budget information	35G	7	21	7	1	7AG	27	15	8	27	16	19
	13%	9%	17%	10%	10%	32%	11%	11%	13%	13%	13%	13%
City Council	9	1	3	5A	1	-	8	4	1	8	2	7
	3%	1%	2%	7%	10%		3%	3%	2%	4%	2%	5%
Parks and rec	6HJ	4A	2	-	-	1	5	-	5AHJ	1	2	4
	2%	5%	2%			5%	2%		8%	*	2%	3%
Unsure	4	2	1	1	-	-	4	1	2	2	1	3
	1%	3%	1%	1%			2%	1%	3%	1%	1%	2%
Scattered	9	1	6	2	-	-	9	7	1	8	4	5
	3%	1%	5%	3%			4%	5%	2%	4%	3%	3%

(sig=.05) (all_pairs) columns tested T=ABCD, T=AEFG, T=AHIJ, T=AKL
Note: Percentage less than 0.5 printed as *.

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns II
 Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 294

Q147. Are there any changes or improvements you would make to the City's newsletter?

	TOTAL	<-AGE OF RESPONDENT->			<-KNOW GOVT-> Great Very /Fair Little		<RESIDENCE>		<--PRECINCT OF RESIDENCE-->			
		18-44	45-64	65+			Own	Rent	1&2	3&4	5&6	7&8
	-----	-----	-----	----	-----	-----	----	-----	----	----	----	----
TOTAL	277 100% %	75 100% %	131 100% %	71 100% %	160 100% %	117 100% %	230 100% %	47 100% %	78 100% %	63 100% %	70 100% %	66 100% %
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
None	158BK 57%	35 47%	76 58%	47B 66%	87 54%	71 61%	127 55%	31 66%	57AKL 73%	38 60%	31 44%	32 48%
More details	56I 20%	17 23%	26 20%	13 18%	31 19%	25 21%	47 20%	9 19%	9 12%	13 21%	17I 24%	17I 26%
Budget information	35F 13%	14 19%	14 11%	7 10%	28AF 18%	7 6%	31 13%	4 9%	5 6%	8 13%	13I 19%	9 14%
City Council	9 3%	1 1%	7 5%	1 1%	8 5%	1 1%	6 3%	3 6%	3 4%	1 2%	1 1%	4 6%
Parks and rec	6 2%	5ACD 7%	1 1%	-	4 3%	2 2%	6 3%	-	-	1 2%	2 3%	3 5%
Unsure	4E 1%	2 3%	1 1%	1 1%	-	4AE 3%	4 2%	-	3A 4%	-	1 1%	-
Scattered	9E 3%	1 1%	6 5%	2 3%	2 1%	7AE 6%	9 4%	-	1 1%	2 3%	5A 7%	1 2%

 (sig=.05) (all_pairs) columns tested T=ABCD, T=AEF, T=AGH, T=AIJKL

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns I
 Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 295

Q148. Do you receive and read the New Hope/Golden Valley Sun Post newspaper? Do you read city legal notices?

	<-RESIDENTIAL LONGEV->			<EXPECTED LONGEVITY->			<-TYPE OF HOUSEHOLD-->			<--GENDER-->		
	TOTAL	Less 10 Yrs	10-30 Years	Over 30 Years	Less 5 Yrs	5-10 Years	Over 10 Yrs	Senior	Child	Empty Nest	Male	Female
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
TOTAL	400	138	178	84	35	30	335	155	108	292	192	208
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
No	95	40	38	17	10	11	74	35	26	69	42	53
	24%	29%	21%	20%	29%	37%	22%	23%	24%	24%	22%	25%
Yes/Yes	169	63	73	33	22AFG	10	137	68	45	124	87	82
	42%	46%	41%	39%	63%	33%	41%	44%	42%	42%	45%	39%
Yes/No	135BE	35	67B	33B	3	9E	123AE	51	37	98	62	73
	34%	25%	38%	39%	9%	30%	37%	33%	34%	34%	32%	35%
Unsure	1	-	-	1	-	-	1	1	-	1	1	-
	*			1%			*	1%		*	1%	

(sig=.05) (all_pairs) columns tested T=ABCD, T=AEFG, T=AHIJ, T=AKL
 Note: Percentage less than 0.5 printed as *.

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns II
 Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 296

Q148. Do you receive and read the New Hope/Golden Valley Sun Post newspaper? Do you read city legal notices?

	<-AGE OF RESPONDENT->			<-KNOW GOV'T->		<RESIDENCE>		<--PRECINCT OF RESIDENCE-->				
	TOTAL	18-44	45-64	65+	Great /Fair	Very Little	Own	Rent	1&2	3&4	5&6	7&8
	-----	-----	-----	---	-----	-----	---	-----	---	---	---	---
TOTAL	400	158	159	83	235	165	303	97	107	94	97	102
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
No	95EG 24%	36 23%	41 26%	18 22%	21 9%	74AE 45%	60 20%	35AG 36%	29 27%	19 20%	30L 31%	17 17%
Yes/Yes	169FL 42%	73 46%	61 38%	35 42%	127AF 54%	42 25%	131 43%	38 39%	56AJL 52%	34 36%	45 46%	34 33%
Yes/No	135HIK 34%	49 31%	57 36%	29 35%	86 37%	49 30%	111AH 37%	24 25%	22 21%	41AIK 44%	22 23%	50AIK 49%
Unsure	1 *	-	-	1 1%	1 *	-	1 *	-	-	-	-	1 1%

 (sig=.05) (all_pairs) columns tested T=ABCD, T=AEF, T=AGH, T=AIJKL
 Note: Percentage less than 0.5 printed as *.

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns I
Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 297
Q149. How would you prefer to receive city legal notices?

	<-RESIDENTIAL LONGEV->				<EXPECTED LONGEVITY->			<-TYPE OF HOUSEHOLD-->			<--GENDER-->	
	TOTAL	Less 10 Yrs	10-30 Years	Over 30 Years	Less 5 Yrs	5-10 Years	Over 10 Yrs	Senior	Child	Empty Nest	Male	Female
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
TOTAL	400	138	178	84	35	30	335	155	108	292	192	208
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
Newspaper	81I	24	33	24A	9	7	65	44AI	14	67AI	37	44
	20%	17%	19%	29%	26%	23%	19%	28%	13%	23%	19%	21%
Newsletter	187I	62	80	45	19	13	155	93AI	39	148AI	88	99
	47%	45%	45%	54%	54%	43%	46%	60%	36%	51%	46%	48%
Website	37HJ	15	16	6	1	3	33	6	19AHJ	18	23	14
	9%	11%	9%	7%	3%	10%	10%	4%	18%	6%	12%	7%
E-mail	72DHJ	23	42AD	7	5	5	62	12	28AHJ	44H	32	40
	18%	17%	24%	8%	14%	17%	19%	8%	26%	15%	17%	19%
Text	22H	14ACD	7	1	1	2	19	-	8H	14H	12	10
	6%	10%	4%	1%	3%	7%	6%		7%	5%	6%	5%
Unsure	1	-	-	1	-	-	1	-	-	1	-	1
	*			1%			*			*		*

(sig=.05) (all_pairs) columns tested T=ABCD, T=AEFG, T=AHIJ, T=AKL
Note: Percentage less than 0.5 printed as *.

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns II
Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 298

Q149. How would you prefer to receive city legal notices?

	<-AGE OF RESPONDENT->			<-KNOW GOVT->		<RESIDENCE>		<--PRECINCT OF RESIDENCE-->				
	TOTAL	18-44	45-64	65+	Great /Fair	Very Little	Own	Rent	1&2	3&4	5&6	7&8
	-----	-----	-----	---	-----	-----	---	-----	---	---	---	---
TOTAL	400 100% %	158 100% %	159 100% %	83 100% %	235 100% %	165 100% %	303 100% %	97 100% %	107 100% %	94 100% %	97 100% %	102 100% %
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
Newspaper	81K 20%	26 16%	34 21%	21 25%	52 22%	29 18%	67 22%	14 14%	31AK 29%	19 20%	12 12%	19 19%
Newsletter	187BE 47%	60 38%	73 46%	54ABC 65%	96 41%	91AE 55%	138 46%	49 51%	47 44%	43 46%	53 55%	44 43%
Website	37DH 9%	16D 10%	19D 12%	2 2%	26 11%	11 7%	33AH 11%	4 4%	6 6%	7 7%	14AI 14%	10 10%
E-mail	72D 18%	37AD 23%	29D 18%	6 7%	49 21%	23 14%	53 17%	19 20%	15 14%	19 20%	14 14%	24 24%
Text	22CDG 6%	19ACD 12%	3 2%	-	12 5%	10 6%	11 4%	11AG 11%	7 7%	6 6%	4 4%	5 5%
Unsure	1 *	-	1 1%	-	-	1 1%	1 *	-	1 1%	-	-	-

(sig=.05) (all_pairs) columns tested T=ABCD, T=AEF, T=AGH, T=AIJKL
Note: Percentage less than 0.5 printed as *.

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns I
 Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 299

Q150. Does your household currently subscribe to cable television, satellite television, or neither?

	TOTAL	<-RESIDENTIAL LONGEV->			<EXPECTED LONGEVITY->			<-TYPE OF HOUSEHOLD-->			<--GENDER-->	
		Less 10 Yrs	10-30 Years	Over 30 Years	Less 5 Yrs	5-10 Years	Over 10 Yrs	Senior	Child	Empty Nest	Male	Female
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
TOTAL	400	138	178	84	35	30	335	155	108	292	192	208
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
Cable	195E 49%	60 43%	84 47%	51ABC 61%	6 17%	14E 47%	175AE 52%	88AI 57%	45 42%	150 51%	88 46%	107 51%
Satellite	146DGH 37%	61AD 44%	68D 38%	17 20%	25AFG 71%	10 33%	111 33%	39 25%	44H 41%	102H 35%	79 41%	67 32%
Neither	59 15%	17 12%	26 15%	16 19%	4 11%	6 20%	49 15%	28 18%	19 18%	40 14%	25 13%	34 16%
Unsure	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns II
 Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 300

Q150. Does your household currently subscribe to cable television, satellite television, or neither?

	TOTAL	<-AGE OF RESPONDENT->			<-KNOW GOV'T->		<RESIDENCE>		<--PRECINCT OF RESIDENCE-->			
		18-44	45-64	65+	Great /Fair	Very Little	Own	Rent	1&2	3&4	5&6	7&8
	-----	-----	-----	---	-----	-----	---	-----	---	---	---	---
TOTAL	400	158	159	83	235	165	303	97	107	94	97	102
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
Cable	195BH	63	87B	45B	109	86	159AH	36	62AJ	41	46	46
	49%	40%	55%	54%	46%	52%	52%	37%	58%	44%	47%	45%
Satellite	146	69AD	54	23	95	51	110	36	32	37	34	43
	37%	44%	34%	28%	40%	31%	36%	37%	30%	39%	35%	42%
Neither	59G	26	18	15	31	28	34	25AG	13	16	17	13
	15%	16%	11%	18%	13%	17%	11%	26%	12%	17%	18%	13%
Unsure	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns I
 Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 301

Q151. Bulletin Board listing of meetings, events and information?

	TOTAL	<-RESIDENTIAL LONGEV->			<EXPECTED LONGEVITY->			<-TYPE OF HOUSEHOLD-->			<--GENDER-->	
		Less 10 Yrs	10-30 Years	Over 30 Years	Less 5 Yrs	5-10 Years	Over 10 Yrs	Senior	Child	Empty Nest	Male	Female
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
TOTAL	195	60	84	51	6	14	175	88	45	150	88	107
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
Frequently	6	-	2	4AB	-	-	6	3	2	4	2	4
	3%		2%	8%			3%	3%	4%	3%	2%	4%
Occasionally	58J	15	28	15	-	8AEG	50	30	20AJ	38	23	35
	30%	25%	33%	29%		57%	29%	34%	44%	25%	26%	33%
Do not watch	131FI	45	54	32	6F	6	119	55	23	108AI	63	68
	67%	75%	64%	63%	100%	43%	68%	63%	51%	72%	72%	64%
Unsure	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

 (sig=.05) (all_pairs) columns tested T=ABCD, T=AIEFG, T=AHIJ, T=AKL

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns II
 Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 302
 Q151. Bulletin Board listing of meetings, events and information?

	<-AGE OF RESPONDENT->			<-KNOW GOV'T->		<RESIDENCE>		<--PRECINCT OF RESIDENCE-->				
	TOTAL	18-44	45-64	65+	Great /Fair	Very Little	Own	Rent	1&2	3&4	5&6	7&8
	-----	-----	-----	----	-----	-----	---	-----	---	---	---	---
TOTAL	195 100% %	63 100% %	87 100% %	45 100% %	109 100% %	86 100% %	159 100% %	36 100% %	62 100% %	41 100% %	46 100% %	46 100% %
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
Frequently	6F 3%	2 3%	3 3%	1 2%	6AF 6%	-	4 3%	2 6%	1 2%	2 5%	1 2%	2 4%
Occasionally	58HJL 30%	21 33%	23 26%	14 31%	35 32%	23 27%	55AH 35%	3 8%	19JL 31%	5 12%	30AIJL 65%	4 9%
Do not watch	131GK 67%	40 63%	61 70%	30 67%	68 62%	63 73%	100 63%	31AG 86%	42K 68%	34AK 83%	15 33%	40AIK 87%
Unsure	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns I
 Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 303
 Q152. Live or taped re-broadcasts of City Council meetings?

	TOTAL	<-RESIDENTIAL LONGEV->			<EXPECTED LONGEVITY->			<-TYPE OF HOUSEHOLD-->			<--GENDER-->	
		Less 10 Yrs	10-30 Years	Over 30 Years	Less 5 Yrs	5-10 Years	Over 10 Yrs	Senior	Child	Empty Nest	Male	Female
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
TOTAL	195	60	84	51	6	14	175	88	45	150	88	107
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
Frequently	21GJ 11%	6 10%	9 11%	6 12%	-	5AG 36%	16 9%	7 8%	12AHJ 27%	9 6%	7 8%	14 13%
Occasionally	38B 19%	6 10%	21B 25%	11 22%	-	2 14%	36 21%	23A 26%	10 22%	28 19%	20 23%	18 17%
Do not watch	136I 70%	48AC 80%	54 64%	34 67%	6F 100%	7 50%	123 70%	58 66%	23 51%	113AI 75%	61 69%	75 70%
Unsure	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns II
 Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 304
 Q152. Live or taped re-broadcasts of City Council meetings?

	<-AGE OF RESPONDENT->			<-KNOW	GOVT->	<RESIDENCE>		<--PRECINCT OF RESIDENCE-->				
	TOTAL	18-44	45-64	65+	Great /Fair	Very Little	Own	Rent	1&2	3&4	5&6	7&8
	-----	-----	-----	---	-----	-----	---	-----	---	---	---	---
TOTAL	195 100% %	63 100% %	87 100% %	45 100% %	109 100% %	86 100% %	159 100% %	36 100% %	62 100% %	41 100% %	46 100% %	46 100% %
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
Frequently	21DF 11%	11AD 17%	9 10%	1 2%	17AF 16%	4 5%	19 12%	2 6%	5 8%	1 2%	13AIJL 28%	2 4%
Occasionally	38 19%	8 13%	19 22%	11 24%	24 22%	14 16%	32 20%	6 17%	14 23%	4 10%	13J 28%	7 15%
Do not watch	136EK 70%	44 70%	59 68%	33 73%	68 62%	68AE 79%	108 68%	28 78%	43K 69%	36AIK 88%	20 43%	37K 80%
Unsure	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns I
 Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 305
 Q153. City programming?

	TOTAL	<-RESIDENTIAL LONGEV->			<EXPECTED LONGEVITY->			<-TYPE OF HOUSEHOLD-->			<--GENDER-->	
		Less 10 Yrs	10-30 Years	Over 30 Years	Less 5 Yrs	5-10 Years	Over 10 Yrs	Senior	Child	Empty Nest	Male	Female
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
TOTAL	195	60	84	51	6	14	175	88	45	150	88	107
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
Frequently	16	5	5	6	-	1	15	7	6	10	9	7
	8%	8%	6%	12%		7%	9%	8%	13%	7%	10%	7%
Occasionally	50B	8	24B	18B	-	7AEG	43	30A	12	38	21	29
	26%	13%	29%	35%		50%	25%	34%	27%	25%	24%	27%
Do not watch	129DH	47AD	55	27	6F	6	117	51	27	102	58	71
	66%	78%	65%	53%	100%	43%	67%	58%	60%	68%	66%	66%
Unsure	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns II
 Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 306
 Q153. City programming?

	TOTAL	<-AGE OF RESPONDENT->			<-KNOW GOV'T-> Great Very /Fair Little		<RESIDENCE>		<--PRECINCT OF RESIDENCE-->			
		18-44	45-64	65+			Own	Rent	1&2	3&4	5&6	7&8
	-----	-----	-----	---	-----	-----	---	-----	---	---	---	---
TOTAL	195	63	87	45	109	86	159	36	62	41	46	46
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
Frequently	16F	7	6	3	13AF	3	13	3	3	4	6	3
	8%	11%	7%	7%	12%	3%	8%	8%	5%	10%	13%	7%
Occasionally	50J	13	23	14	29	21	45	5	18J	4	21AJL	7
	26%	21%	26%	31%	27%	24%	28%	14%	29%	10%	46%	15%
Do not watch	129K	43	58	28	67	62	101	28	41K	33AK	19	36AK
	66%	68%	67%	62%	61%	72%	64%	78%	66%	80%	41%	78%
Unsure	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

 (sig=.05) (all_pairs) columns tested T=ABCD, T=AEF, T=AGH, T=AIJKL

Tables Prepared by The Morris Leatherman Company

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns I
 Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 307

Q154. Do you have access to the Internet at home only, work only or both?

	<-RESIDENTIAL LONGEV->			<EXPECTED LONGEVITY->			<-TYPE OF HOUSEHOLD-->			<--GENDER-->		
	TOTAL	Less 10 Yrs	10-30 Years	Over 30 Years	Less 5 Yrs	5-10 Years	Over 10 Yrs	Senior	Child	Empty Nest	Male	Female
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
TOTAL	400	138	178	84	35	30	335	155	108	292	192	208
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
Home only	108BI	27	50	31AB	11	5	92	53AI	19	89AI	44	64
	27%	20%	28%	37%	31%	17%	27%	34%	18%	30%	23%	31%
Work only	9G	5	4	-	4AG	-	5	1	2	7	6	3
	2%	4%	2%		11%		1%	1%	2%	2%	3%	1%
Both	179DEHJ	76AD	87D	16	6	20AEG	153E	29	82AHJ	97H	89	90
	45%	55%	49%	19%	17%	67%	46%	19%	76%	33%	46%	43%
Neither	104CI	30	37	37ABC	14AF	5	85	72AIJ	5	99AI	53	51
	26%	22%	21%	44%	40%	17%	25%	46%	5%	34%	28%	25%
Unsure	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

 (sig=.05) (all_pairs) columns tested T=ABCD, T=AIEFG, T=AHIJ, T=AKL

Tables Prepared by The Morris Leatherman Company

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns II
 Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 308

Q154. Do you have access to the Internet at home only, work only or both?

	<-AGE OF RESPONDENT->			<-KNOW GOVT->		<RESIDENCE>		<--PRECINCT OF RESIDENCE-->				
	TOTAL	18-44	45-64	65+	Great /Fair	Very Little	Own	Rent	1&2	3&4	5&6	7&8
	-----	-----	-----	---	-----	-----	---	-----	---	---	---	---
TOTAL	400	158	159	83	235	165	303	97	107	94	97	102
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
Home only	108K	36	40	32ABC	62	46	80	28	33K	26	18	31
	27%	23%	25%	39%	26%	28%	26%	29%	31%	28%	19%	30%
Work only	9	6	3	-	8	1	6	3	3	3	3	-
	2%	4%	2%		3%	1%	2%	3%	3%	3%	3%	
Both	179D	93AD	82AD	4	107	72	142	37	49	41	44	45
	45%	59%	52%	5%	46%	44%	47%	38%	46%	44%	45%	44%
Neither	104B	23	34	47ABC	58	46	75	29	22	24	32I	26
	26%	15%	21%	57%	25%	28%	25%	30%	21%	26%	33%	25%
Unsure	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns I
 Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 309

Q155. Have you accessed the City's website?

	TOTAL	<-RESIDENTIAL LONGEV->			<EXPECTED LONGEVITY->			<-TYPE OF HOUSEHOLD-->			<--GENDER-->	
		Less 10 Yrs	10-30 Years	Over 30 Years	Less 5 Yrs	5-10 Years	Over 10 Yrs	Senior	Child	Empty Nest	Male	Female
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
TOTAL	296	108	141	47	21	25	250	83	103	193	139	157
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
Yes	186E	63	98AD	25	6	17E	163E	49	70	116	86	100
	63%	58%	70%	53%	29%	68%	65%	59%	68%	60%	62%	64%
No	110C	45	43	22C	15AFG	8	87	34	33	77	53	57
	37%	42%	30%	47%	71%	32%	35%	41%	32%	40%	38%	36%
Unsure	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

 (sig=.05) (all_pairs) columns tested T=ABCD, T=AEFG, T=AHIJ, T=AKL

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns II
 Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 310

Q155. Have you accessed the City's website?

	<-AGE OF RESPONDENT->				<-KNOW GOVT->		<RESIDENCE>		<--PRECINCT OF RESIDENCE-->			
	TOTAL	18-44	45-64	65+	Great /Fair	Very Little	Own	Rent	1&2	3&4	5&6	7&8
	-----	-----	-----	----	-----	-----	---	-----	---	---	---	---
TOTAL	296	135	125	36	177	119	228	68	85	70	65	76
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
Yes	186FHI	77	89AB	20	121AF	65	156AH	30	45	48I	45I	48
	63%	57%	71%	56%	68%	55%	68%	44%	53%	69%	69%	63%
No	110CEG	58C	36	16	56	54AE	72	38AG	40AJK	22	20	28
	37%	43%	29%	44%	32%	45%	32%	56%	47%	31%	31%	37%
Unsure	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns I
Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 311
Q156. How would you rate the city's website?

	<-RESIDENTIAL LONGEV->				<EXPECTED LONGEVITY->			<-TYPE OF HOUSEHOLD-->			<--GENDER-->	
	TOTAL	Less 10 Yrs	10-30 Years	Over 30 Years	Less 5 Yrs	5-10 Years	Over 10 Yrs	Senior	Child	Empty Nest	Male	Female
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
TOTAL	186	63	98	25	6	17	163	49	70	116	86	100
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
FAVOR	168HJ	61ACD	86	21	5	16	147	37	69AHJ	99	75	93
-----	90%	97%	88%	84%	83%	94%	90%	76%	99%	85%	87%	93%
Excellent	42	16	21	5	1	3	38	10	19	23	17	25
	23%	25%	21%	20%	17%	18%	23%	20%	27%	20%	20%	25%
Good	126H	45	65	16	4	13	109	27	50	76	58	68
	68%	71%	66%	64%	67%	76%	67%	55%	71%	66%	67%	68%
UNFAVOR	18BI	2	12B	4B	1	1	16	12AI	1	17AI	11	7
-----	10%	3%	12%	16%	17%	6%	10%	24%	1%	15%	13%	7%
Only fair	17BI	1	12B	4B	1	1	15	11AI	1	16AI	11	6
	9%	2%	12%	16%	17%	6%	9%	22%	1%	14%	13%	6%
Poor	1	1	-	-	-	-	1	1	-	1	-	1
	1%	2%					1%	2%		1%		1%
UNSURE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns II
 Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 312

Q156. How would you rate the city's website?

	<-AGE OF RESPONDENT->			<-KNOW GOV'T->		<RESIDENCE>		<--PRECINCT OF RESIDENCE-->				
	TOTAL	18-44	45-64	65+	Great /Fair	Very Little	Own	Rent	1&2	3&4	5&6	7&8
	-----	-----	-----	----	-----	-----	---	-----	---	---	---	---
TOTAL	186	77	89	20	121	65	156	30	45	48	45	48
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
FAVOR	168	75ACD	77	16	109	59	140	28	41	43	42	42
-----	90%	97%	87%	80%	90%	91%	90%	93%	91%	90%	93%	88%
Excellent	42	18	22	2	29	13	36	6	14	11	9	8
	23%	23%	25%	10%	24%	20%	23%	20%	31%	23%	20%	17%
Good	126	57	55	14	80	46	104	22	27	32	33	34
	68%	74%	62%	70%	66%	71%	67%	73%	60%	67%	73%	71%
UNFAVOR	18B	2	12B	4B	12	6	16	2	4	5	3	6
-----	10%	3%	13%	20%	10%	9%	10%	7%	9%	10%	7%	13%
Only fair	17B	2	12AB	3B	11	6	15	2	4	4	3	6
	9%	3%	13%	15%	9%	9%	10%	7%	9%	8%	7%	13%
Poor	1	-	-	1AC	1	-	1	-	-	1	-	-
	1%			5%	1%		1%			2%		
UNSURE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

 (sig=.05) (all_pairs) columns tested T=ABCD, T=AEF, T=AGH, T=AIJKL

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns I
 Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 313
 Q157. Were you able to find what you were looking for?

	TOTAL	<-RESIDENTIAL LONGEV->			<EXPECTED LONGEVITY->			<-TYPE OF HOUSEHOLD-->			<--GENDER-->	
		Less 10 Yrs	10-30 Years	Over 30 Years	Less 5 Yrs	5-10 Years	Over 10 Yrs	Senior	Child	Empty Nest	Male	Female
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
TOTAL	186	63	98	25	6	17	163	49	70	116	86	100
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
Yes	176E	61	91	24	4	16	156E	46	66	110	82	94
	95%	97%	93%	96%	67%	94%	96%	94%	94%	95%	95%	94%
No	9	2	6	1	2AG	1	6	3	3	6	4	5
	5%	3%	6%	4%	33%	6%	4%	6%	4%	5%	5%	5%
Unsure	1	-	1	-	-	-	1	-	1	-	-	1
	1%		1%				1%		1%			1%

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns II
 Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 314
 Q157. Were you able to find what you were looking for?

	TOTAL	<-AGE OF RESPONDENT->			<-KNOW GOVT-> Great Very /Fair Little		<RESIDENCE>		<--PRECINCT OF RESIDENCE-->			
		18-44	45-64	65+			Own	Rent	1&2	3&4	5&6	7&8
	-----	-----	-----	---	-----	-----	---	-----	---	---	---	---
TOTAL	186	77	89	20	121	65	156	30	45	48	45	48
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
Yes	176	72	86	18	114	62	148	28	44	44	43	45
	95%	94%	97%	90%	94%	95%	95%	93%	98%	92%	96%	94%
No	9	4	3	2	6	3	8	1	1	3	2	3
	5%	5%	3%	10%	5%	5%	5%	3%	2%	6%	4%	6%
Unsure	1G	1	-	-	1	-	-	1AG	-	1	-	-
	1%	1%			1%			3%		2%		

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns I
 Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 315

Q158. Are you aware the City is webstreaming city meetings and programs? Have you viewed in website?

	TOTAL	<-RESIDENTIAL LONGEV->			<EXPECTED LONGEVITY->			<-TYPE OF HOUSEHOLD-->			<--GENDER-->	
		Less 10 Yrs	10-30 Years	Over 30 Years	Less 5 Yrs	5-10 Years	Over 10 Yrs	Senior	Child	Empty Nest	Male	Female
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
TOTAL	296	108	141	47	21	25	250	83	103	193	139	157
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
No	105	41	43	21	10	11	84	38A	35	70	53	52
	35%	38%	30%	45%	48%	44%	34%	46%	34%	36%	38%	33%
Yes/Yes	73	27	37	9	4	5	64	20	30	43	32	41
	25%	25%	26%	19%	19%	20%	26%	24%	29%	22%	23%	26%
Yes/No	118H	40	61	17	7	9	102	25	38	80	54	64
	40%	37%	43%	36%	33%	36%	41%	30%	37%	41%	39%	41%
Unsure	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

 (sig=.05) (all_pairs) columns tested T=ABCD, T=AEFG, T=AHIJ, T=AKL

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns II
 Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 316

Q158. Are you aware the City is webstreaming city meetings and programs? Have you viewed in website?

	<-AGE OF RESPONDENT->				<-KNOW GOV'T->		<RESIDENCE>		<--PRECINCT OF RESIDENCE-->			
	TOTAL	18-44	45-64	65+	Great /Fair	Very Little	Own	Rent	1&2	3&4	5&6	7&8
	-----	-----	-----	---	-----	-----	---	-----	---	---	---	---
TOTAL	296	135	125	36	177	119	228	68	85	70	65	76
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
No	105EGK	46	39	20ABC	41	64AE	72	33AG	37K	29K	16	23
	35%	34%	31%	56%	23%	54%	32%	49%	44%	41%	25%	30%
Yes/Yes	73DFHL	34D	36D	3	60AF	13	63AH	10	19	15	28AIJL	11
	25%	25%	29%	8%	34%	11%	28%	15%	22%	21%	43%	14%
Yes/No	118	55	50	13	76	42	93	25	29	26	21	42AIJK
	40%	41%	40%	36%	43%	35%	41%	37%	34%	37%	32%	55%
Unsure	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

 (sig=.05) (all_pairs) columns tested T=ABCD, T=AEF, T=AGH, T=AIJKL

Tables Prepared by The Morris Leatherman Company

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns I
 Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 317

Q159. Are you aware the city provides e-mail updates to residents who subscribe for the service called GV Direct Connect?

	TOTAL	<-RESIDENTIAL LONGEV->			<EXPECTED LONGEVITY->			<-TYPE OF HOUSEHOLD-->			<--GENDER-->	
		Less 10 Yrs	10-30 Years	Over 30 Years	Less 5 Yrs	5-10 Years	Over 10 Yrs	Senior	Child	Empty Nest	Male	Female
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
TOTAL	296	108	141	47	21	25	250	83	103	193	139	157
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
No	56	21	27	8	2	3	51	15	24	32	32	24
	19%	19%	19%	17%	10%	12%	20%	18%	23%	17%	23%	15%
Yes/Yes	135	49	70	16	9	12	114	31	44	91	56	79
	46%	45%	50%	34%	43%	48%	46%	37%	43%	47%	40%	50%
Yes/No	105	38	44	23AC	10	10	85	37A	35	70	51	54
	35%	35%	31%	49%	48%	40%	34%	45%	34%	36%	37%	34%
Unsure	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

 (sig=.05) (all_pairs) columns tested T=ABCD, T=AIEFG, T=AHIJ, T=AKL

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns II
 Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 318

Q159. Are you aware the city provides e-mail updates to residents who subscribe for the service called GV Direct Connect?

	TOTAL	<-AGE OF RESPONDENT->			<-KNOW GOV'T->		<RESIDENCE>		<--PRECINCT OF RESIDENCE-->			
		18-44	45-64	65+	Great /Fair	Very Little	Own	Rent	1&2	3&4	5&6	7&8
	-----	-----	-----	---	-----	-----	---	-----	---	---	---	---
TOTAL	296	135	125	36	177	119	228	68	85	70	65	76
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
No	56F 19%	27 20%	26 21%	3 8%	44AF 25%	12 10%	47 21%	9 13%	17 20%	10 14%	17 26%	12 16%
Yes/Yes	135FI 46%	63 47%	60 48%	12 33%	91AF 51%	44 37%	111 49%	24 35%	31 36%	29 41%	37AI 57%	38 50%
Yes/No	105EGK 35%	45 33%	39 31%	21ABC 58%	42 24%	63AE 53%	70 31%	35AG 51%	37K 44%	31K 44%	11 17%	26K 34%
Unsure	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

 (sig=.05) (all_pairs) columns tested T=ABCD, T=AEF, T=AGH, T=AIJKL

Tables Prepared by The Morris Leatherman Company

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns I
Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 319
Q160. Use of Facebook?

	TOTAL	<-RESIDENTIAL LONGEV->			<EXPECTED LONGEVITY->			<-TYPE OF HOUSEHOLD-->			<--GENDER-->	
		Less 10 Yrs	10-30 Years	Over 30 Years	Less 5 Yrs	5-10 Years	Over 10 Yrs	Senior	Child	Empty Nest	Male	Female
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
TOTAL	296	108	141	47	21	25	250	83	103	193	139	157
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
Not used	64BL 22%	14 13%	31 22%	19ABC 40%	5 24%	3 12%	56 22%	36AIJ 43%	16 16%	48 25%	39AL 28%	25 16%
Used/Likely	133HJK 45%	45 42%	73AD 52%	15 32%	8 38%	14 56%	111 44%	29 35%	64AHJ 62%	69 36%	52 37%	81AK 52%
Used/Not likely	99CHI 33%	49ACD 45%	37 26%	13 28%	8 38%	8 32%	83 33%	18 22%	23 22%	76AHI 39%	48 35%	51 32%
Unsure	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

(sig=.05) (all_pairs) columns tested T=ABCD, T=AIEFG, T=AHIJ, T=AKL

Tables Prepared by The Morris Leatherman Company

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns II
 Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 320
 Q160. Use of Facebook?

	TOTAL	<-AGE OF RESPONDENT->			<-KNOW GOV'T->		<RESIDENCE>		<--PRECINCT OF RESIDENCE-->			
		18-44	45-64	65+	Great /Fair	Very Little	Own	Rent	1&2	3&4	5&6	7&8
	-----	-----	-----	---	-----	-----	---	-----	---	---	---	---
TOTAL	296	135	125	36	177	119	228	68	85	70	65	76
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
Not used	64BFL 22%	16 12%	33B 26%	15AB 42%	47AF 27%	17 14%	54 24%	10 15%	24L 28%	16 23%	14 22%	10 13%
Used/Likely	133 45%	65 48%	54 43%	14 39%	78 44%	55 46%	107 47%	26 38%	35 41%	33 47%	31 48%	34 45%
Used/Not likely	99G 33%	54AD 40%	38 30%	7 19%	52 29%	47 39%	67 29%	32AG 47%	26 31%	21 30%	20 31%	32 42%
Unsure	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns I
 Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 321
 Q161. Twitter?

	TOTAL	<-RESIDENTIAL LONGEV->			<EXPECTED LONGEVITY->			<-TYPE OF HOUSEHOLD-->			<--GENDER-->	
		Less 10 Yrs	10-30 Years	Over 30 Years	Less 5 Yrs	5-10 Years	Over 10 Yrs	Senior	Child	Empty Nest	Male	Female
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
TOTAL	296	108	141	47	21	25	250	83	103	193	139	157
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
Not used	184B	53	95B	36AB	9	11	164AEF	73AIJ	59	125	82	102
	62%	49%	67%	77%	43%	44%	66%	88%	57%	65%	59%	65%
Used/Likely	52HJ	25	20	7	6	6	40	5	25AHJ	27	26	26
	18%	23%	14%	15%	29%	24%	16%	6%	24%	14%	19%	17%
Used/Not likely	60DH	30AD	26	4	6	8	46	5	19H	41H	31	29
	20%	28%	18%	9%	29%	32%	18%	6%	18%	21%	22%	18%
Unsure	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns II
Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 322
Q161. Twitter?

	TOTAL	<-AGE OF RESPONDENT->			<-KNOW GOV'T->		<RESIDENCE>		<--PRECINCT OF RESIDENCE-->			
		18-44	45-64	65+	Great /Fair	Very Little	Own	Rent	1&2	3&4	5&6	7&8
	-----	-----	-----	---	-----	-----	---	-----	---	---	---	---
TOTAL	296	135	125	36	177	119	228	68	85	70	65	76
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
Not used	184BK	62	88AB	34ABC	112	72	147	37	56K	49K	32	47
	62%	46%	70%	94%	63%	61%	64%	54%	66%	70%	49%	62%
Used/Likely	52D	32AD	20D	-	37	15	42	10	15	9	15	13
	18%	24%	16%		21%	13%	18%	15%	18%	13%	23%	17%
Used/Not likely	60CDEG	41ACD	17	2	28	32AE	39	21AG	14	12	18	16
	20%	30%	14%	6%	16%	27%	17%	31%	16%	17%	28%	21%
Unsure	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns I
Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 323
Q162. YouTube?

	TOTAL	<-RESIDENTIAL LONGEV->			<EXPECTED LONGEVITY->			<-TYPE OF HOUSEHOLD-->			<--GENDER-->	
		Less 10 Yrs	10-30 Years	Over 30 Years	Less 5 Yrs	5-10 Years	Over 10 Yrs	Senior	Child	Empty Nest	Male	Female
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
TOTAL	296	108	141	47	21	25	250	83	103	193	139	157
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
Not used	139BEFI 47%	40 37%	68 48%	31ABC 66%	1 5%	6 24%	132AEF 53%	62AIJ 75%	31 30%	108AI 56%	58 42%	81 52%
Used/Likely	74GHJ 25%	25 23%	41 29%	8 17%	7 33%	11AG 44%	56 22%	9 11%	38AHJ 37%	36 19%	39 28%	35 22%
Used/Not likely	83GH 28%	43ACD 40%	32 23%	8 17%	13AG 62%	8 32%	62 25%	12 14%	34H 33%	49H 25%	42 30%	41 26%
Unsure	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns II
 Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 324
 Q162. YouTube?

	TOTAL	<-AGE OF RESPONDENT->			<-KNOW GOV'T->		<RESIDENCE>		<--PRECINCT OF RESIDENCE-->			
		18-44	45-64	65+	Great /Fair	Very Little	Own	Rent	1&2	3&4	5&6	7&8
	-----	-----	-----	---	-----	-----	---	-----	---	---	---	---
TOTAL	296	135	125	36	177	119	228	68	85	70	65	76
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
Not used	139BHK	39	72AB	28ABC	90	49	115AH	24	37K	34K	18	50AIJK
	47%	29%	58%	78%	51%	41%	50%	35%	44%	49%	28%	66%
Used/Likely	74DL	46ACD	25	3	51	23	56	18	19L	22L	27AIL	6
	25%	34%	20%	8%	29%	19%	25%	26%	22%	31%	42%	8%
Used/Not likely	83DEG	50ACD	28	5	36	47AE	57	26AG	29	14	20	20
	28%	37%	22%	14%	20%	39%	25%	38%	34%	20%	31%	26%
Unsure	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns I
Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 325
Q163. Podcasts?

	TOTAL	<-RESIDENTIAL LONGEV->			<EXPECTED LONGEVITY->			<-TYPE OF HOUSEHOLD-->			<--GENDER-->	
		Less 10 Yrs	10-30 Years	Over 30 Years	Less 5 Yrs	5-10 Years	Over 10 Yrs	Senior	Child	Empty Nest	Male	Female
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
TOTAL	296	108	141	47	21	25	250	83	103	193	139	157
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
Not used	230I	78	111	41B	15	16	199	77AIJ	71	159AI	102	128
	78%	72%	79%	87%	71%	64%	80%	93%	69%	82%	73%	82%
Used/Likely	26HJL	15AD	10	1	4	1	21	1	17AHJ	9	17AL	9
	9%	14%	7%	2%	19%	4%	8%	1%	17%	5%	12%	6%
Used/Not likely	38GH	13	20	5	2	8AG	28	5	15	23	18	20
	13%	12%	14%	11%	10%	32%	11%	6%	15%	12%	13%	13%
Unsure	2	2	-	-	-	-	2	-	-	2	2	-
	1%	2%					1%			1%	1%	

(sig=.05) (all_pairs) columns tested T=ABCD, T=AIEFG, T=AHIJ, T=AKL

Tables Prepared by The Morris Leatherman Company

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns II
 Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 326
 Q163. Podcasts?

	TOTAL	<-AGE OF RESPONDENT->			<-KNOW GOV'T->		<RESIDENCE>		<--PRECINCT OF RESIDENCE-->			
		18-44	45-64	65+	Great /Fair	Very Little	Own	Rent	1&2	3&4	5&6	7&8
	-----	-----	-----	---	-----	-----	---	-----	---	---	---	---
TOTAL	296	135	125	36	177	119	228	68	85	70	65	76
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
Not used	230BK	90	108AB	32B	142	88	178	52	69K	58K	39	64K
	78%	67%	86%	89%	80%	74%	78%	76%	81%	83%	60%	84%
Used/Likely	26C	21ACD	4	1	14	12	21	5	5	5	9	7
	9%	16%	3%	3%	8%	10%	9%	7%	6%	7%	14%	9%
Used/Not likely	38L	23A	12	3	21	17	28	10	10	7	17AIJL	4
	13%	17%	10%	8%	12%	14%	12%	15%	12%	10%	26%	5%
Unsure	2	1	1	-	-	2	1	1	1	-	-	1
	1%	1%	1%			2%	*	1%	1%			1%

(sig=.05) (all_pairs) columns tested T=ABCD, T=AEF, T=AGH, T=AIJKL
 Note: Percentage less than 0.5 printed as *.

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns I
 Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 327
 Q164. Instagram?

	TOTAL	<-RESIDENTIAL LONGEV->			<EXPECTED LONGEVITY->			<-TYPE OF HOUSEHOLD-->			<--GENDER-->	
		Less 10 Yrs	10-30 Years	Over 30 Years	Less 5 Yrs	5-10 Years	Over 10 Yrs	Senior	Child	Empty Nest	Male	Female
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
TOTAL	296	108	141	47	21	25	250	83	103	193	139	157
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
Not used	220BEI	73	105	42ABC	10	16	194AE	79AIJ	65	155AI	97	123
	74%	68%	74%	89%	48%	64%	78%	95%	63%	80%	70%	78%
Used/Likely	25DGHJ	14AD	11D	-	7AFG	2	16	-	17AHJ	8	13	12
	8%	13%	8%		33%	8%	6%		17%	4%	9%	8%
Used/Not likely	51H	21	25	5	4	7	40	4	21H	30H	29	22
	17%	19%	18%	11%	19%	28%	16%	5%	20%	16%	21%	14%
Unsure	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns II
 Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 328
 Q164. Instagram?

	TOTAL	<-AGE OF RESPONDENT->			<-KNOW GOVT-> Great Very /Fair Little		<RESIDENCE>		<--PRECINCT OF RESIDENCE-->			
		18-44	45-64	65+			Own	Rent	1&2	3&4	5&6	7&8
	-----	-----	-----	----	-----	-----	----	-----	----	----	----	----
TOTAL	296	135	125	36	177	119	228	68	85	70	65	76
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
Not used	220BH	76	109AB	35AB	135	85	179AH	41	67	55	43	55
	74%	56%	87%	97%	76%	71%	79%	60%	79%	79%	66%	72%
Used/Likely	25C	25ACD	-	-	13	12	16	9	7	6	6	6
	8%	19%			7%	10%	7%	13%	8%	9%	9%	8%
Used/Not likely	51DG	34ACD	16	1	29	22	33	18AG	11	9	16	15
	17%	25%	13%	3%	16%	18%	14%	26%	13%	13%	25%	20%
Unsure	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns I
Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 329
Q164. Snapchat?

	TOTAL	<-RESIDENTIAL LONGEV->			<EXPECTED LONGEVITY->			<-TYPE OF HOUSEHOLD-->			<--GENDER-->	
		Less 10 Yrs	10-30 Years	Over 30 Years	Less 5 Yrs	5-10 Years	Over 10 Yrs	Senior	Child	Empty Nest	Male	Female
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
TOTAL	296	108	141	47	21	25	250	83	103	193	139	157
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
Not used	183BEFI	51	92B	40ABC	6	10	167AEF	77AIJ	52	131AI	83	100
	62%	47%	65%	85%	29%	40%	67%	93%	50%	68%	60%	64%
Used/Likely	35DGHJ	17D	18D	-	7AG	5	23	-	26AHJ	9H	13	22
	12%	16%	13%		33%	20%	9%		25%	5%	9%	14%
Used/Not likely	78GH	40ACD	31	7	8	10	60	6	25H	53H	43	35
	26%	37%	22%	15%	38%	40%	24%	7%	24%	27%	31%	22%
Unsure	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

(sig=.05) (all_pairs) columns tested T=ABCD, T=AEFG, T=AHIJ, T=AKL

Tables Prepared by The Morris Leatherman Company

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns II
 Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 330
 Q164. Snapchat?

	TOTAL	<-AGE OF RESPONDENT->			<-KNOW GOV'T->		<RESIDENCE>		<--PRECINCT OF RESIDENCE-->			
		18-44	45-64	65+	Great /Fair	Very Little	Own	Rent	1&2	3&4	5&6	7&8
	-----	-----	-----	---	-----	-----	---	-----	---	---	---	---
TOTAL	296	135	125	36	177	119	228	68	85	70	65	76
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
Not used	183BH	49	101AB	33AB	114	69	157AH	26	57	45	34	47
	62%	36%	81%	92%	64%	58%	69%	38%	67%	64%	52%	62%
Used/Likely	35CG	34ACD	-	1	23	12	20	15AG	13	9	8	5
	12%	25%		3%	13%	10%	9%	22%	15%	13%	12%	7%
Used/Not likely	78CDGI	52ACD	24	2	40	38	51	27AG	15	16	23I	24I
	26%	39%	19%	6%	23%	32%	22%	40%	18%	23%	35%	32%
Unsure	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns I
 Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 331

Q167. Have any household members participated in any senior programs?

	TOTAL	<-RESIDENTIAL LONGEV->			<EXPECTED LONGEVITY->			<-TYPE OF HOUSEHOLD-->			<--GENDER-->	
		Less 10 Yrs	10-30 Years	Over 30 Years	Less 5 Yrs	5-10 Years	Over 10 Yrs	Senior	Child	Empty Nest	Male	Female
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
TOTAL	155	11	75	69	6	2	147	155	7	148	70	85
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
Yes	42	1	22	19	1	-	41	42	1	41	17	25
	27%	9%	29%	28%	17%		28%	27%	14%	28%	24%	29%
No	113	10	53	50	5	2	106	113	6	107	53	60
	73%	91%	71%	72%	83%	100%	72%	73%	86%	72%	76%	71%
Unsure	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

 (sig=.05) (all_pairs) columns tested T=ABCD, T=AEFG, T=AHIJ, T=AKL

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns II
 Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 332
 Q167. Have any household members participated in any senior programs?

	TOTAL	<-AGE OF RESPONDENT->			<-KNOW GOVT->		<RESIDENCE>		<--PRECINCT OF RESIDENCE-->			
		18-44	45-64	65+	Great /Fair	Very Little	Own	Rent	1&2	3&4	5&6	7&8
	-----	-----	-----	---	-----	-----	---	-----	---	---	---	---
TOTAL	155	3	74	78	92	63	127	28	41	38	38	38
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
Yes	42	2	15	25	22	20	31	11	11	6	15AJ	10
	27%	67%	20%	32%	24%	32%	24%	39%	27%	16%	39%	26%
No	113K	1	59	53	70	43	96	17	30	32K	23	28
	73%	33%	80%	68%	76%	68%	76%	61%	73%	84%	61%	74%
Unsure	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns I
Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 333

Q168. How would you rate that experience?

	TOTAL	<-RESIDENTIAL LONGEV->			<EXPECTED LONGEVITY->			<-TYPE OF HOUSEHOLD-->			<--GENDER-->	
		Less 10 Yrs	10-30 Years	Over 30 Years	Less 5 Yrs	5-10 Years	Over 10 Yrs	Senior	Child	Empty Nest	Male	Female
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
TOTAL	42	1	22	19	1	-	41	42	1	41	17	25
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
FAVOR	41	1	22	18	1	-	40	41	1	40	16	25
-----	98%	100%	100%	95%	100%		98%	98%	100%	98%	94%	100%
Excellent	10	-	5	5	-	-	10	10	1	9	3	7
	24%		23%	26%			24%	24%	100%	22%	18%	28%
Good	31	1	17	13	1	-	30	31	-	31	13	18
	74%	100%	77%	68%	100%		73%	74%		76%	76%	72%
UNFAVOR	1	-	-	1	-	-	1	1	-	1	1	-
-----	2%			5%			2%	2%		2%	6%	
Only fair	1	-	-	1	-	-	1	1	-	1	1	-
	2%			5%			2%	2%		2%	6%	
Poor	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
UNSURE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

(sig=.05) (all_pairs) columns tested T=ABCD, T=AEFG, T=AHIJ, T=AKL

Tables Prepared by The Morris Leatherman Company

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns II
 Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 334
 Q168. How would you rate that experience?

	<-AGE OF RESPONDENT->				<-KNOW GOVT->		<RESIDENCE>		<--PRECINCT OF RESIDENCE-->			
	TOTAL	18-44	45-64	65+	Great /Fair	Very Little	Own	Rent	1&2	3&4	5&6	7&8
	-----	-----	-----	----	-----	-----	---	-----	---	---	---	---
TOTAL	42	2	15	25	22	20	31	11	11	6	15	10
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
FAVOR	41	2	14	25	21	20	31	10	11	6	15	9
-----	98%	100%	93%	100%	95%	100%	100%	91%	100%	100%	100%	90%
Excellent	10	1	2	7	6	4	9	1	4	1	4	1
	24%	50%	13%	28%	27%	20%	29%	9%	36%	17%	27%	10%
Good	31	1	12	18	15	16	22	9	7	5	11	8
	74%	50%	80%	72%	68%	80%	71%	82%	64%	83%	73%	80%
UNFAVOR	1	-	1	-	1	-	-	1	-	-	-	1
-----	2%		7%		5%			9%				10%
Only fair	1	-	1	-	1	-	-	1	-	-	-	1
	2%		7%		5%			9%				10%
Poor	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
UNSURE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns I
 Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 335

Q169. Do you feel there are any programs lacking or missing?

	TOTAL	<-RESIDENTIAL LONGEV->			<EXPECTED LONGEVITY->			<-TYPE OF HOUSEHOLD-->			<--GENDER-->	
		Less 10 Yrs	10-30 Years	Over 30 Years	Less 5 Yrs	5-10 Years	Over 10 Yrs	Senior	Child	Empty Nest	Male	Female
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
TOTAL	42	1	22	19	1	-	41	42	1	41	17	25
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
No	31	1	17	13	-	-	31	31	-	31	10	21
	74%	100%	77%	68%			76%	74%		76%	59%	84%
Card leagues	6L	-	3	3	-	-	6	6	1	5	5AL	1
	14%		14%	16%			15%	14%	100%	12%	29%	4%
Unsure	5	-	2	3	1	-	4	5	-	5	2	3
	12%		9%	16%	100%		10%	12%		12%	12%	12%

 (sig=.05) (all_pairs) columns tested T=ABCD, T=AEFG, T=AHIJ, T=AKL

2016 City of Golden Valley Residential Study Breakdowns II
 Prepared on 15 Oct 2016

TABLE 336

Q169. Do you feel there are any programs lacking or missing?

	<-AGE OF RESPONDENT->			<-KNOW GOVT->		<RESIDENCE>		<--PRECINCT OF RESIDENCE-->				
	TOTAL	18-44	45-64	65+	Great /Fair	Very Little	Own	Rent	1&2	3&4	5&6	7&8
	-----	-----	-----	---	-----	-----	---	-----	---	---	---	---
TOTAL	42	2	15	25	22	20	31	11	11	6	15	10
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
No	31	1	10	20	18	13	22	9	9	3	11	8
	74%	50%	67%	80%	82%	65%	71%	82%	82%	50%	73%	80%
Card leagues	6	1	3	2	3	3	5	1	-	3AI	2	1
	14%	50%	20%	8%	14%	15%	16%	9%		50%	13%	10%
Unsure	5	-	2	3	1	4	4	1	2	-	2	1
	12%		13%	12%	5%	20%	13%	9%	18%		13%	10%